



EUROfusion

WPTFV-CPR(17) 17130

M Scannapiego et al.

Experimental investigation on charcoal adsorption for cryogenic pump application

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceeding of
CEC/ICMC 2017 - Cryogenic Engineering Conference and
International Cryogenic Materials Conference 2017



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at <http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org>. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked

Experimental investigation on charcoal adsorption for cryogenic pump application

Matthieu Scannapiego and Christian Day

Institute for Technical Physics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344, Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

E-mail: matthieu.scannapiego@kit.edu

Abstract. Fusion reactors are generating energy by nuclear fusion between deuterium and tritium. In order to evacuate the high gas throughputs from the plasma exhaust, large pumping speed systems are required. Within the European Fusion Programme, the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) has taken the lead to design a three-stage cryogenic pump that can provide a separation function of hydrogen isotopes from the remaining gases; hence limiting the tritium inventory in the machine. A primary input parameter for the detailed design of a cryopump is the sticking coefficient between the gas and the pumping surface. For this purpose, the so-called TIMO open panel pump experiment was conducted in the TIMO-2 test facility at KIT in order to measure pumping speeds on an activated carbon surface at temperatures between 6 K and 24 K, for various pure gases and gas mixtures, under fusion relevant gas flow conditions, and for two different geometrical pump configurations. The influences of the panel temperature, the gas throughput and the intake gas temperature on the pumping speed have been characterized, providing valuable qualitative results to for the design of the three-stage cryopump. In a future work, supporting Monte Carlo simulations should allow for derivation of the sticking coefficients.

1. Introduction

Fusion reactors are generating energy by nuclear fusion between deuterium and tritium. Very high gas throughputs for the hydrogen species together with helium (a product of the fusion reaction) have to be processed from the plasma exhaust with powerful and high pumping speed systems. Within the European Fusion Programme (EUROfusion), KIT has taken the lead to develop for the next generation demonstration power station DEMO a three-stage cryogenic pump. This concept ranked as fall-back solution only, giving preference to continuously working and non-cryogenic pumps [1-3].

Pumping of light gases relies on cryosorption, the bonding of a gas on a solid surface at low temperature resulting in gas-solid interaction forces stronger than between the particles in a condensed state. Going towards commercially viable technological solutions, the DEMO reactor will aim at reducing operational costs and demonstrate sufficiently high availability. First of all, the tritium inventory in the fuel cycle of the reactor has to be reduced by implementing a shortcut from pumping to fuelling, by-passing the tritium plant [3,4]. Second, particular attention should be paid on the reduction of the cryogenic operational costs, one of the main drawbacks of cryopumps. These new requirements can be tackled using several pumping stages cooled at different operating temperatures: Two active carbon pumping surfaces cooled at 12 K – 22 K and below 5 K for hydrogenic species adsorption and helium adsorption, respectively, and tightly surrounded by thermal radiation shields and baffles cooled at about 80 K that also provide a first condensation pumping stage for heavy gases and impurities. By pumping at different stages, this configuration can provide a separation function of

hydrogen isotopes from the remaining gases, if the stages are regenerated separately (with regard to time and/or space). Thus, hydrogen can be internally recycled and reinjected. Moreover, the intermediate temperature sorption stage might considerably decrease the heat load to the 5 K cryogenic cooling circuit.

The pumping speed of a cryopump relates to the interaction between the gas and the sorbent which is characterized by the sticking coefficient, the ratio of the number of particles sticking to the sorbent to the number of particles impinging on it; it depends in a complex way upon the gas-adsorbent couple: it decreases with rising temperature of the adsorption surface and rising gas temperature; it decreases with increasing coverage and rising intake gas flow rate and pressure [5]. All these influences make the characterization of the sticking coefficients very complicated and can explain the scarce and inconsistent literature data on that matter. However the sticking coefficient is a primary parameter for the vacuum design of a cryogenic pump, and therefore, its proper characterization is essential. For this purpose, the so-called TIMO open panel experiment was conducted in the large and versatile cryogenic-vacuum TIMO-2 test facility at KIT in order to measure pumping speeds of various gases and gas mixtures on an activated carbon adsorption surface at various temperatures and under fusion relevant gas flow conditions. Based on the experimental results, a data base of sticking coefficients will be developed later, with the final goal to find the optimum intermediate hydrogen cryosorption pumping stage temperature offering the best compromise between the pumping efficiency and the cryogenic operational cost.

This paper summarizes the experimental pumping speed results and the conclusions drawn from this experiment.

2. Description of the TIMO open panel experiment

2.1. Cryopump basic design parameters

The pumping speed of a cryopump is not only given by the sticking coefficient, but also by the interior geometrical arrangement of the pumping surfaces. Both sticking coefficient and geometrical effects are described in an integral parameter which is called the capture coefficient – the ratio of the pumped gas particles to the total incoming gas particles through the inlet cross section area – and indicates the efficiency of a pump.

As a consequence, the sticking coefficient cannot be obtained directly from experimental results, but it can only be derived from the measurements by comparison with the numerical results. Nowadays, predictions for complex geometries are supported by the use of advanced vacuum gas flow modelling tools such as Monte Carlo algorithms [6,7].

2.2. Requirements for the test cryopump

With regard to the very high pumping speeds required in DEMO, the capture coefficient has to be maximized. It can be done within a given volume and with a given pumping inlet surface area by increasing the overall molecular transmission probability from the pump inlet to the pumping surface: by (i) opening the inlet baffle's fins even beyond the limit of optical tightness and (ii) having the adsorbing surface placed on the incoming particles' mainstream flow path.

It was therefore decided to design the so-called TIMO open panel experiment, consisting of a single pumping surface (cryopanel) loosely surrounded by 80 K thermal shields and having a large inlet cross section area integrating a high transmission probability inlet baffle. This configuration should allow for investigation on two effects which are to be expected under fusion relevant conditions, namely higher gas intake temperature and high specific flow rate (related to the pumping surface area). Moreover, this open configuration results in a capture coefficient (and so a pumping speed) being a strong function of the sticking coefficient, which makes easier the derivation of the sticking coefficient by comparison between the experimental and numerical results.

In order to understand the influence of the gas temperature on the sticking coefficient, it was decided to have a two-phase experimental plan. In the first phase, the pumping surface of the cryopanel is oriented towards the pump inlet, allowing direct hits of particles at ambient temperature on the sorption surface; in the second phase the position of the cryopanel is swapped by 180° to have

the pumping surface oriented towards the rear side of the pump, hence avoiding the gas particles entering the pump to directly hit the pumping surface without precooling down to the thermal shield temperature.

2.3. Design and assembly of the test cryopump

The design of the cryopanel aimed at gaining a homogenous temperature distribution over the outer surface. It consists of a stainless steel hydroformed rectangular panel (500 mm x 350 mm) coated with a thin layer of copper and supplied with supercritical helium (SCHe) at 4.5 K. The panel is coated on the center part with activated charcoal (granular coconut shell-based, type SC-II) and bounded with an inorganic cement (THERMOGUSS 2000) over a surface area of about 1220 cm². In order to investigate the influence of the sorbent temperature on the pumping speed, the cryopanel is equipped with resistive electrical wires meandering over the panel surface. The temperature can be regulated between 6 K and 25 K. In order to avoid any potential competitive pumping by condensation on uncoated surfaces, the remaining cryopanel blank surfaces and the 5 K SCHe supply and return lines are insulated with multi-layer insulation material. The panel temperature is controlled and monitored at nine different locations by means of silicon diodes (by Lakeshore, type DT-670-SD).

The external thermal radiation shield of the ITER model pump [8,9] has been reused to surround the cryopanel. It is made of hydroformed dimple plates. The rectangular inlet cross section area (845 mm x 726 mm) integrates a baffle consisting of fins welded on a serpentine cooling pipe. Both systems are cooled with gaseous 80 K gaseous helium (GHe).

Figure 1 shows a picture of the TIMO open panel cryopump and simplified sketches of the two different pump configurations.




Figure 1. (a) Front view of the TIMO open panel cryopump mounted on its flange and simplified sketches of the two pump configurations (b) charcoal coated side of the panel oriented towards the inlet baffle; (c) charcoal coated side of the panel oriented towards the rear side of the pump.

2.4. TIMO-2 test facility for large cryopumps

The TIMO-2 test facility [10,11] is a unique test bed for large cryopumps in terms of size and versatility. The gas is metered by thermal mass flow controllers towards the rear dome of the ~10 m³ vacuum vessel designed in accordance with PNEURO standard for vacuum pump testing. Two pumping stations (roots pump and turbomolecular pump) are connected to the vessel for regeneration and conditioning. The vessel is closed by a large adaptable flange used as the pump support and cryogenic interface. SCHe at 4.5 K and 80 K GHe are provided by the control cryostat and the 80 K facility. Warm GHe at 300 K and 475 K used for regeneration are provided by external compressors. The valve box distributes helium at different levels of temperature in the two pump circuits (cryopanel and thermal shields/baffle). Two cryogenic flexible lines are connecting the valve box to the pump flange.

Figure 2 shows a picture of the TIMO-2 facility and a CAD view of the TIMO open panel pump installed inside the TIMO-2 vacuum vessel.



Figure 2. TIMO-2 facility.




Figure 3. CAD view of the TIMO open panel pump installed inside the TIMO-2 vacuum vessel.

The pressure is measured at two different locations: 700 mm in front of the pump, and inside the pump, at the rear side. Each measurement system consists of a cascade of three capacitance diaphragm gauges for measuring pressure between atmosphere down to 10^{-4} mbar and a cold cathode ionization gauges for measurement down to ultra-high vacuum. The pumping speed S of the cryopump is given by equation (1):

$$S = \frac{Q}{p} \quad (1)$$

where Q denotes the gas throughput, and p the pressure in front of the pump. The experimental pumping speeds were calculated referenced to standard temperature of 273.15 K.

3. Pumping speed tests with pure gases

The cryopump did not perform very well with the first configuration (charcoal coated side of the panel oriented towards the pump inlet). Moreover, several problems occurred with some temperature sensors, making the temperature regulation more complicated and increasing the error on the measured average temperature of the panel. For these reasons, more effort was done on the second experimental phase (sorption side of the panel oriented toward the rear side of the pump), and thus the results presented in this paper mainly focus on the second pump configuration.

3.1. Experimental procedure

Prior to the two experimental phases, the cryopanel and the vacuum vessel were baked out to 150 °C and evacuated simultaneously to $\sim 10^{-7}$ mbar by the turbomolecular pump. Prior to any test with a new gas, the panel and the thermal shield/baffle were fully regenerated at 300 K. The cryopanel was regenerated above 250 K using 300 K GHe prior to each new test with a different gas throughput.

3.2. Tests with pure gases

The pumping speed of deuterium (D_2), protium (H_2), neon (Ne) and helium (He) has been measured for the two pump configurations. Since He can be pumped by sorption efficiently only at temperatures below 7-8 K, only tests at this cryopanel temperature (without panel electrical heating) have been performed with He.

ITER plasma scenarios and cryopump operations [10] have been used as a basis to define the gas throughputs to be used for the TIMO open panel experiment. Due to higher gas throughputs in DEMO [4] and limited space for cryogenic pumps, higher specific gas throughputs (related to the pumping surface area) are to be expected. Gas throughputs of 100 sccm, 300 sccm and 500 sccm, corresponding for the TIMO open panel pump to specific gas throughputs of 1.4 (Pa.m³/s)/m², 4.1 (Pa.m³/s)/m² and 6.9 (Pa.m³/s)/m² (at standard temperature of 273.15 K) respectively have been investigated. As the burn fraction of the fusion gas is limited to only few %, additional gas throughputs of 5 sccm, 10 sccm, 30 sccm and 50 sccm have been investigated for He.

Figure 4 shows for the second pump configuration over 15 minutes the pumping speeds of D₂, H₂ and Ne at panel temperatures between 7 K and 22 K for a gas throughput of 300 sccm, and the pumping speed of He for gas throughputs between 5 sccm and 500 sccm.




Figure 4. Pumping speeds of D₂, H₂ and Ne over time, at cryopanel temperature between 7 K and 22 K, and for a gas throughput of 300 sccm, and pumping speeds of He over time, at cryopanel temperature of about 7 K, and for gas throughputs between 5 sccm and 500 sccm.

Table 1 summarizes for the second panel configuration (Config. 2) some average values (panel average temperature, pumping speed and pressure in front of the pump) over 10 min, a relevant pumping time based on the ITER torus cryopumps' operational mode [12]. Some results with the first panel configuration (Config. 1) are additionally presented for comparison. Nonetheless, due to the different experimental procedure, the values shown are not calculated over 10 min of pumping, but at different specific gas load ranges.

The pumping speeds of Ne and D₂ are practically constant whereas the pumping speeds of H₂ and He are decreasing over time, these latter two gases being more sensitive to surface coverage. As long as the charcoal is not saturated, the pumping speeds of all gases increase with increasing gas throughputs. Though, the differences between different throughputs tend to decrease with increasing panel temperature. The increasing pumping speed with increasing gas throughput (and intake pressure) does not mean that the sticking coefficient is increasing, but this is an indication that the pump is operated in transitional pressure regime. For H₂ and He the surface coverage effects are stronger, and

after a certain time, the pumping speeds at the highest gas throughputs become the smallest. As a consequence, when the average pumping speed of He is calculated over a pumping time of 10 minute, it is practically constant for all the gas throughputs investigated.

Table 1. Summary of the pumping speed tests with D₂, H₂, Ne and He.

D ₂	100 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	7.3	8.9	12.0	14.5	16.2	18.8	20.6
			S (m ³ /s)	9.70	6.32	5.38	5.46	4.49	3.60	3.50
		P _{front} (Pa)	8.6E-03	2.2E-02	2.6E-02	2.7E-02	3.5E-02	4.7E-02	4.9E-02	
	Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.7	9.0	11.6	14.2	16.4	18.9	21.7	
		S (m ³ /s)	6.35	4.84	4.56	4.23	2.85	2.71	2.45	
	300 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	7.5	9.3	12.4	14.8	16.5	18.8	21.1
			S (m ³ /s)	14.95	9.25	7.03	6.92	6.25	4.71	4.35
			P _{front} (Pa)	2.4E-02	5.2E-02	7.5E-02	7.7E-02	8.7E-02	1.2E-01	1.3E-01
		Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.5	9.0	11.7	14.3	16.5	18.9	22.2
			S (m ³ /s)	9.35	6.51	6.21	6.09	3.88	3.76	3.49
			P _{front} (Pa)	4.0E-02	7.1E-02	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.4E-01	2.0E-01	2.1E-01
	500 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	8.1	9.4	12.5	15.0	17.1	19.3	21.2
S (m ³ /s)			16.25	11.60	7.73	7.59	7.07	4.95	4.74	
P _{front} (Pa)			4.0E-02	7.1E-02	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.4E-01	2.0E-01	2.1E-01	
Config. 1		Panel T (K)	6.6	9.1	11.7	14.4	16.7	19.1	22.2	
		S (m ³ /s)	10.79	7.10	6.69	6.63	3.96	3.78	3.33	
		P _{front} (Pa)	2.3E-02	4.7E-02	5.3E-02	6.0E-02	6.4E-02	7.3E-02	8.6E-02	
H ₂	100 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	7.6	10.0	12.2	14.5	16.8	19.0	20.7
			S (m ³ /s)	5.98	3.61	3.36	2.95	2.84	2.55	2.24
			P _{front} (Pa)	2.3E-02	4.7E-02	5.3E-02	6.0E-02	6.4E-02	7.3E-02	8.6E-02
		Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.5	9.9	11.6	14.1	15.7	18.1	21.8
			S (m ³ /s)	3.26	3.04	2.97	2.04	2.76	1.66	1.41
			P _{front} (Pa)	2.3E-02	4.7E-02	5.3E-02	6.0E-02	6.4E-02	7.3E-02	8.6E-02
	300 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	8.3	11.4	12.8	15.1	16.9	19.1	21.3
			S (m ³ /s)	9.31	4.00	3.68	3.63	3.58	2.89	2.33
			P _{front} (Pa)	5.2E-02	1.5E-01	1.7E-01	1.7E-01	1.8E-01	2.2E-01	2.7E-01
		Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.3	10.0	11.8	14.3	16.0	18.1	22.0
			S (m ³ /s)	3.64	3.05	2.92	2.43	2.73	2.12	1.70
			P _{front} (Pa)	8.7E-02	3.0E-01	4.2E-01	3.7E-01	4.2E-01	4.4E-01	5.1E-01
500 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	8.9	11.0	13.1	15.3	17.4	19.3	21.2	
		S (m ³ /s)	10.10	3.84	2.60	2.95	2.53	2.44	2.08	
		P _{front} (Pa)	8.7E-02	3.0E-01	4.2E-01	3.7E-01	4.2E-01	4.4E-01	5.1E-01	
	Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.2	10.0	11.9	14.4	16.0	18.6	22.2	
		S (m ³ /s)	3.59	2.79	2.62	2.28	2.42	1.98	1.60	
		P _{front} (Pa)	8.7E-02	3.0E-01	4.2E-01	3.7E-01	4.2E-01	4.4E-01	5.1E-01	
Ne	100 sccm	Config. 1	Panel T (K)	7.0	8.7	11.9	14.3	16.4	18.6	20.9
			S (m ³ /s)	7.81	6.94	3.49	3.11	2.90	2.92	2.66
			P _{front} (Pa)	1.0E-02	1.4E-02	4.6E-02	5.3E-02	5.9E-02	5.9E-02	6.6E-02
		Config. 2	Panel T (K)	6.5	9.7		14.0		18.0	21.9
			S (m ³ /s)	5.56	2.84		2.23		1.72	1.37
			P _{front} (Pa)	1.0E-02	1.4E-02	4.6E-02	5.3E-02	5.9E-02	5.9E-02	6.6E-02
	300 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	7.3	8.7	12.2	14.5	16.5	18.7	20.9
			S (m ³ /s)	10.74	10.15	4.99	4.00	3.79	3.71	3.53
			P _{front} (Pa)	2.9E-02	3.4E-02	1.1E-01	1.4E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.6E-01
		Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.6	9.8		14.0		18.0	22.0
			S (m ³ /s)	7.89	4.32		3.31		2.82	2.17
			P _{front} (Pa)	2.9E-02	3.4E-02	1.1E-01	1.4E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.6E-01
500 sccm	Config. 2	Panel T (K)	7.6	8.8	12.2	14.6	16.6	18.8	21.0	
		S (m ³ /s)	12.16	12.03	5.68	4.53	4.33	4.17	4.05	
		P _{front} (Pa)	4.7E-02	5.0E-02	1.6E-01	2.1E-01	2.2E-01	2.3E-01	2.4E-01	
	Config. 1	Panel T (K)	6.7	9.8		14.0		18.0	21.8	
		S (m ³ /s)	9.21	5.07		3.86		3.33	2.59	
		P _{front} (Pa)	4.7E-02	5.0E-02	1.6E-01	2.1E-01	2.2E-01	2.3E-01	2.4E-01	
He	Config. 2	Q (sccm)	5	10	30	50	100	300	500	
		Panel T (K)	6.8	7.3	7.7	8.2	8.6	8.8	8.7	
		S (m ³ /s)	0.65	0.69	0.77	0.72	0.70	0.77	0.73	
		P _{front} (Pa)	6.5E-03	1.9E-02	6.8E-02	1.3E-01	3.0E-01	8.0E-01	1.3E+00	
	Config. 1	Q (sccm)	5	10	30	50	100	300	500	
		Panel T (K)	7.0	7.1	7.2	7.1	6.8	6.7	6.7	
		S (m ³ /s)	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.30	0.30	
		P _{front} (Pa)	6.5E-03	1.9E-02	6.8E-02	1.3E-01	3.0E-01	8.0E-01	1.3E+00	

From these tests with pure gases, two main conclusions can be drawn. First, the issue raised concerning potential strong negative effect of higher gas temperature on the sticking coefficient was sound: it is clear that the charcoal coated surface area being now protected from gas at high

temperature directly impinging it, the pumping performances of the TIMO open panel with the second configuration are significantly improved (pumping speed increased up to a factor ~ 3 for He), particularly for H_2 and He, the two lightest and most difficult gases to pump. Second, the pumping speed of D_2 , H_2 and Ne is rapidly decreasing between panel temperatures of 7-8 K and 12 K, and more slowly between 12 K and 21 K. The conclusion drawn from this statement is that having an intermediate hydrogen pumping stage at ~ 20 K could be much more efficient than at 12 K in term of pumping performance over cryogenic cost.

3.3. Tests with hydrogen-helium gas mixtures

The pumping speeds of six different hydrogen-He gas mixtures have been measured with the second pump configuration in order to study the impact of the He concentration on D_2 and H_2 pumping.

Figure 5 shows the average pumping speeds over 15 minutes of D_2 -He and H_2 -He gas mixtures as well as D_2 , H_2 and He pure gases, at panel temperature of about 7 K and for gas throughputs between 10 sccm and 500 sccm.




Figure 5. Average pumping speeds of D_2 , H_2 , He, D_2 -He and H_2 -He gas mixtures over 10 minutes of pumping at panel temperature of about 7 K, for gas throughputs between 10 sccm and 500 sccm.

The main conclusion of these tests is that the pumping speed of D_2 and H_2 is exponentially decreasing with the quantity of He into the hydrogen mixtures. The burn fraction in DEMO is consequently a primary important parameter for the three-stage cryopump design.

4. Conclusion and outlook

Within EUROfusion, a three-stage cryopump has to be developed for DEMO. The first step of the development related to the characterization of the interactions between the light gases and the sorbent, at different sorbent temperatures and for different fusion relevant gases (D_2 , H_2 , He and Ne) and flow conditions, has been successfully achieved with the so-called TIMO open panel experiment. This experimental work included two phases using two different geometrical configurations of the cryopanel, allowing for deeper understanding of the influence of some key parameters on the sticking coefficient. In particular, this two phase experimental plan was aimed to investigate two effects which are to be expected under fusion relevant conditions, namely higher gas temperature and high specific flow rate related to the charcoal surface.

The TIMO open panel pump performed significantly better with lower intake gas temperature, and it is now clearly confirmed that the higher temperature of the incoming gas to the cryopanel is strongly harming the pumping performance. Thus, one of the main objectives of this task was achieved. Another conclusion is that having an intermediate hydrogen pumping stage at ~ 20 K could be much more efficient than at 12 K in term of pumping performance over cryogenic cost.

Additionally to the test with pure gases, co-pumping effects have been investigated with various hydrogen-helium mixtures. The pumping speed of D_2 and H_2 is exponentially decreasing with the quantity of He into the mixtures, so that at He concentration above 15% the hydrogen species does not

play a role anymore. Hence, the burn fraction in DEMO will be a primary importance parameter for the design of the three-stage cryopump.

Based on the experimental results, a data base of sticking coefficients at different sorption cryopanel temperature and various gas flow conditions to be used in future numerical simulations during the vacuum design phase of the three-stage cryopump will be developed, with the final goal to find the optimum intermediate hydrogen cryosorption pumping stage temperature. Support Monte Carlo simulations have been initiated in order to derive the sticking coefficients by comparison with the numerical results.

Acknowledgments

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

The authors wish to thank the TIMO-2 team, Jürgen Weinhold, Thomas Johann, Peter Pfeil, and Hartmut Stump for the preparation of the pump, the recommissioning and the operation of the TIMO-2 facility and for their help in many aspects of this work.

References

- [1] Giegerich T and Day C 2013 Conceptuation of a continuously working vacuum pump train for fusion power plants, *Fus. Eng. Des.* **88** (2013) 2206-2209
- [2] Giegerich T and Day C 2014 The KALPUREX-process – A new vacuum pumping process for exhaust gases in fusion power plants, *Fus. Eng. Des.* **89** 1476-1481
- [3] Day C and Giegerich T 2013 Exhaust Pumping of DT Fusion Devices – Current state-of-the-art and a potential roadmap to a fusion power plant, *Proceedings of the IEEE 25th Symposium on Fusion Engineering* (San Francisco, CA, USA)
- [4] Day C and Giegerich T 2013 The Direct Internal Recycling concept to simplify the fuel cycle of a fusion power plant, *Fus. Eng. Des.* **88** 616-620
- [4] Day C and Giegerich T 2013 Exhaust Pumping of DT Fusion Devices – Current state-of-the-art and a potential roadmap to a fusion power plant, *Proceedings of the IEEE 25th Symposium on Fusion Engineering* (San Francisco, CA, USA)
- [5] Haefer R 1989 Cryopumping – Theory and Practice, *Oxford Science Publications* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, Great Britain)
- [6] Luo X, Day C, Haas H and Varoutis S 2011 Experimental results and numerical modeling of high-performance large scale cryopump. I. Test particle Monte Carlo simulation, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* **A29** 041601-1-7
- [7] Varoutis S and Day C 2012 Numerical modeling of an ITER type cryopump *Fus. Eng. Des.* **87** 1395-1398
- [8] Petersohn N, Boissin J C, Murdoch D and Mack A 1997 Detailed design of the ITER primary cryopump model, *Proceeding of the 17th Symposium on Fusion Engineering* (San Diego, CA, USA) 1113-1116
- [9] Mack A, Day C, Haas H, Murdoch D K, Boissin J C and Schummer P 2001 First operation experience with ITER-FEAT model pump *Fus. Eng. Des.* **58/59** 301-306
- [10] Haas H, Day C, Dremel M, Hauer and V and Piazza G 2009 Preparing the test facility TIMO-2 for the acceptance tests with the ITER torus cryopump, *Proceedings of the ICEC 22 – ICMC 2008* 663-668
- [11] Haas H, Day C and Herzog F 2012 TIMO-2 – A cryogenic test bed for the ITER cryosorption pumps, *Adv. Cryog. Eng., AIP Conf. Proc.* **1434** 1699-1705
- [12] Pearce R J, Antipenkov A, Boussier B, Bryan S, Dremel M, Levesy B, Mayaux C and Wykes M 2013 The ITER divertor pumping system, design evolution, simplification and performance *Fus. Eng. Des.* **88** 809-813