



EUROfusion

EUROFUSION WPS1-CP(16) 15151

P Drews et al.

Measurement of the plasma edge profiles using the combined probe on W7-X

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of 26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at <http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org>. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked

Measurement of the plasma edge profiles using the combined probe on W7-X

P. Drews¹, Y. Liang¹, S. Liu¹, A. Krämer-Flecken¹, O. Neubauer¹, J. Geiger², M. Rack¹, M. Dostal¹, D. Nicolai¹, O. Grulke², N. Wang¹, A. Charl¹, B. Schweer¹, Y. Gao¹, K. Hollfeld³, G. Satheeswaran¹, N. Sandri¹, D. Höschen¹ and the W7-X Team¹

¹Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung Plasma-physik, Partner of the Trilateral Euregio Cluster (TEC), 52425 Jülich, Germany

²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Greifswald, Germany

³Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Central Institute for Engineering, Electronics and Analytics/Engineering and Technology (ZEA-1), 52425 Jülich, Germany

Corresponding Author: p.drews@fz-juelich.de

Abstract:

Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X), started operation in december 2016 with a limiter configuration. In conjunction with the multipurpose manipulator, a fast reciprocating probe has been installed. This combined probe is able to measure the local electron temperatures and densities, magnetic field, the radial electric and the plasma flow. These parameters are very useful in ascertaining the edge plasma performance. In addition the fieldline tracing feature of the W7-X webservices was used to calculate the connection length along the path of the probe, for each configuration, to be compared to the measured profiles. Both, measured quantities and calculated connection lengths are used to determine the crossfield transport.

1 Introduction

Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X), one of the worlds largest stellarators, located at the IPP Greifswald, started operation recently with a limiter configuration [1]. The recent campaign could not proof the optimization of the stellarator as sufficient densities were not achieved. Both helium and hydrogen plasmas were produced, with discharge duration of up to 6 s and 4 MJ of electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) power. Edge plasma profile measurements, especially those of the electron temperature and density, will play a key role in validating the performance in comparison to the tokamak and hence the viability of a stellarator fusion reactor. Part of this first campaign were studies on the configuration effects of the magnetic topology on the transport. It was a stated aim [2] to find a configuration that has a minimized neoclassical transport and also the powerloads on the limiter or divertor for future long pulse operation have to be optimized. The combined probe was employed together with the multipurpose manipulator to obtain plasma edge

profiles. The abilities of the combined probe are to be compared with the reflectrometric at the edge.

1.1 Experimental setup

A multipurpose manipulator was installed at the outer side of the vacuum vessel on W7-X in 2015 and commissioned in early February 2016 [4][5], in order to mount a wide variety of diagnostic probes for measurements of the plasma edge profiles and for plasma wall interaction studies. The first diagnostic to be used was the so-called combined probe. It is located in the midplane of module four and able to plunge 35 cm into the vacuum vessel. The combined probe includes i) two 3D magnetic pick-up coil arrays (similar to those in [6]), ii) five Langmuir probe pins [7], and iii) a Mach setup [8]. This allowed to simultaneously measure, the edge radial profiles of the magnetic fields, the electron temperature and density, the electric field and the plasma flow. The Langmuir probe array consists of three floating potential pins, that deliberately differ in radial and poloidal position, for the calculation of the electric field and correlation. In addition, one pin is negatively biased in order to measure the ion saturation current I_{sat} and another pin is positively biased with voltage U_+ to collect electrons.




FIG. 1: Sketch of the arrangement of the of the Langmuir and Mach probe pins

The langmuir probe measurements yielded temperature, density and radial electric field profiles, in addition also time traces for the temperature and density and the plasma edge, with the MPM in fixed position, were recorded. The Langmuir pins shown in figure 1 contains three floating potential pin (P1, P4, P5), with pin P5 being on a stage 3 mm radially deeper. This arrangement of the floating potential pins was made to allow turbulence studies via correlating the differently radially and poloidally place probes. The measurements of the temperature and density were within reasonable agreement with the limiter Langmuir probes [3]. In addition one pin biased towards electrons and one towards ions is located on the top of the probe head. P6 and P7, are in parallel to the toroidal magnetic field direction and used as a Mach probe. The pick-up coils are arrayed as a set of two 3D coils wound around each other. They are radially separated by 3.1 cm

along the probe. The pick-up coil greatly increase the abilities of the probe since it allows measurements not only inside of the plasma but also at the vessel wall. The measured magnetic field profiles match the calculated ones as shown in [10].

2 Measurements




FIG. 2: Temperature and density profiles of the iota scan

The iota configuration was changed by tuning the planar coils according to table I, the measurements were undertaken under the same ECRH heating of 2.7 MW. The machine performance had considerably improved with the conditioning, such that the density levels were consistent throughout the day. It is clearly visible in the density and temperature profiles (see figure 2), that the the magnetic axis and therefore also the LCFS was shifted. The density profiles show in addition the exponential fit function used to determine the decay length. It was assumed that $T_e = T_i$ for the calculation of several quantities, since for first campaign no diagnostic was operational that could measure the ion temperature in the same detail as the Langmuir probes did for the electrons.




FIG. 3: Connection length calculated for the iota scan

TABLE I: COIL CONFIGURATIONS FOR THE IOTA SCAN

Scenario #	Index	I1 (A)	I2 (A)	I3 (A)	I4 (A)	I5 (A)	IA (A)	IB (A)
20160309.013	conf. J	12370	12370	12370	12370	12370	4824	4824
20160309.017	iota 3	12383	12383	12383	12383	12383	4829	3622
20160309.020	iota 5	12391	12391	12391	12391	12391	4832	2819
20160309.025	iota 9	12408	12408	12408	12408	12408	4839	1210
20160309.028	iota 11	12412	12412	12412	12412	12412	4841	807
20160309.032	iota 13	12420	12420	12420	12420	12420	4844	0

2.1 Iota dependency of the transport

The connectionlength for the choosen scenarios shown in figure 3 indicates a similar shift of the profiles. The calculated connectionlengths needed moving average filtering as small island like structures could lead to absurdly high results. The W7-X fieldline tracing webservice [11] was used to calculate the connection length along the path of the combined probe. The tranport of both ions and electrons is heavily dependent on the connection length as it is inversely proportional to the connectionlength [12]. A common feature for all configurations is that the connection length greatly increases after crossing the last closed surface. It is also evident that tuning the planar coils, shifts the last closed surface successively inwards, visible in the connection length and the density and temperature profiles. The higher iota configurations experience a much smaller spike in the connectionlength at about $R = 6$ m. The density decay length λ_{n_e} was obtained from:

$$n_e(r) = n_0 \exp\left(\frac{r - R_0}{\lambda_{n_e}}\right) \quad (1)$$

For the fit to represent to data starting from the last closed flux surface a threshold value of $n_e = 0.05 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the density was used to choose the appropriate range past the last closed surface. This was necessary as the electron density experienced slightly negative values just before entering the plasma, this is assumed to be caused by fast electrons. The crossfield particle transport can be calculated using [12]:

$$D_{\perp} = \frac{\lambda_{n_e}^2 c_s}{L_c} \quad (2)$$

With $c_s \approx \sqrt{\frac{2T_e}{m_i}}$ as the ion sound speed and L_c the calculated connection length. The challenge presented here is the right choice of initial parameters for the fit since, with the tuning of the planar coils the last closed surface is expected to be moving inwards.

The neoclassical diffusion coefficients were calculated using [13]:

$$D_{\text{neo}} = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-21} \frac{n_e \ln \Lambda}{B^2 \sqrt{T_e}} (1 + 2/l^2) \quad (3)$$

Figure 4 shows the results for the calculation of the crossfield and neoclassical diffusion coefficients. The electron pressure is calculated using the temperature and density profiles,




FIG. 4: Neoclassical diffusion coefficients for the iota scan

by multiplying n_e and T_e . From this electron pressure profile the power decay length λ is deduced using:

$$p_e(r) = p_0 \exp\left(\frac{r - R_0}{\lambda_{p_e}}\right) \quad (4)$$

Figure 5 shows the pressure profiles and the fitted functions, this pressure decay length is recognized as a crucial quantity concerning peak heat loads [14]. On the right hand side the power decay length against the central iota value is plotted. The pressure decay




FIG. 5: Left: pressure profiles of the iota scan, right: power decay length obtained from fit versus central iota

length was obtained from the fit of the data on the right and the error is taken from the 85% confidence bound. For the fit to represent to data starting from the last closed flux surface a threshold value of $n_e = 0.05 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the density was used to choose the appropriate range past the last closed surface. The values from the experiment show that the decay length stays constant with increasing iota to a maximum value for $\iota = 0.805$ and then sharply decreases. These findings have to be compared to the measured heatloads on the limiter, but again here the increased distance due to the inwards shift has to be taken into account.

2.2 Comparison of the radial electric field E_r

The radial electric field was measured using the floating potential pins which were $\Delta r = 3 \text{ mm}$ radially apart. The radial electric field is calculated using:

$$E_r = - \frac{\partial(U_{\text{float}} + 2.8 \cdot T_e)}{\partial r} \quad (5)$$

This can be discretized:

$$E_r = - \left(\frac{U_{\text{float-up}} - U_{\text{float-down}}}{\Delta r} + 2.8 \frac{T_e(i+1) - T_e(i)}{r(i+1) - r(i)} \right) \quad (6)$$

It is necessary here to take the $T_e(i)$ from the profile, since there are not two independent temperature measurements in different radial positions. Filtering using a low pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 50 Hz was necessary to obtain the data. The measurements of the radial electric field can be compared to those of the reflectrometry [15]. The ability to compare the results of those two diagnostics depend mostly on the plasma density profile,




FIG. 6: Radial electric field of the iota scan measured with the combined probe (solid line) and reflectometry (dots)

as it defines the penetration of the reflectometry. Figure 6 shows the two radial electric field signals for the iota scan. The two diagnostics have a different range, the combined probe is limited to the very edge of the plasma while the reflectometry measurements depending on the density and operation frequency can go as deep as the core. There is some overlap between them and this shows similar results for the electric field in the plasma edge.

3 Summary and Outlook

The Combined probe was successfully able to measure the edge plasma profiles for the electron temperature, density, radial electrical field and magnetic field. The measurements of the Langmuir probe is in good agreement with the limiter Langmuir probes and the magnetic profiles agree with the calculated values. It is possible to determine the transport by combining these measurements. The measurements of the radial electric field are in good agreement with the results from reflectometry. The data from the Langmuir probes is well agreeing with the measurements from the limiter Langmuir probes. The existing probe will be improved upon concerning the arrangement of the floating potential pins for correlation measurements, the Mach probe pins will have their ceramic insulator on the

plasma facing side removed to prevent any possible leak currents and a dedicated Mach probe array and a retarding field analyzer will be employed in the second operational phase.

References

- [1] A. Dinklage, Proceedings of the 43nd EPS, (2016)
- [2] T. Klinger, Proceedings of the 43nd EPS, (2016)
- [3] M. M. Krychowiak et al., submitted HTPD 2016
- [4] D. Nicolai et al., submitted SOFT 2016
- [5] G. Satheeswaram et al., submitted SOFT 2016
- [6] Y. Yang et al, Nucl. Fusion **52** 074014 (2012)
- [7] Tonks and Langmuir, Phys Rev. **34**, 876-922 (1929)
- [8] Kyu-Sun Chung, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **21**, 063001 (2012)
- [9] S. Chen and T. Sekiguchi, J. Appl. Phys. **36**, 2363 (1965)
- [10] P. Drews, Proceedings of the 43nd EPS, (2016)
- [11] S. Bozhenkov, Fusion Engineering and Design **88** (2013) 2997 3006
- [12] M. Kócan: Ion temperature measurements in the scrape-of layer of the Tore Supra tokamak, Université Henri Poincaré (2009)
- [13] U. Stroth, Plasmaphysik, Vieweg+Teubner (2011)
- [14] T. Eich, PRL. **107**, 215001 (2011)
- [15] A. Krämer-Flecken et al., in this conference.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank B. Stanley of the IPP Greifswald for setting up and explaining the data acquisition system at W7-X for the multi-purpose manipulator and P. Sinha and H. Niemann of the IPP Greifswald for helping with debugging the connection-length calculation.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.