

WPMST1-CPR(17) 18732

A Fil et al.

Study of detachment characteristics in TCV density ramp experiments with SOLPS-ITER

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceeding of 16th International Workshop on Plasma Edge Theory in Fusion Devices (PET)



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked

Identification of the primary processes that lead to the drop in divertor target ion current at detachment in TCV

A. Fil*,
^ 1 B. Dudson,
^ 1 B. Lipschultz, ^ 1 D. Moulton,
^ 2 K. Verhaegh, ^ 1 and EURO
fusion MST1 teams ^ 3

¹ York Plasma Institute, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DQ, UK

²Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

³See the author list in "H. Meyer et al 2017 Nucl. Fusion 57 102014"

Correspondence: *Alexandre Fil. Email: alexandre.fil@york.ac.uk

Keywords: Tokamak, TCV, SOL, Detachment

Using SOLPS-ITER we model a TCV conventional divertor discharge ^[1] density ramp to understand the role of various processes in the loss of target ion current. We find that recombination is not a strong contributor to the rollover of the target ion current at detachment. In contrast, the divertor ion source appears to play a central role in magnitude (the source of most of the ion target current) and time, apparently dropping during the density ramps due to a drop in power available for ionization.

1 Introduction

Detachment operation is needed for ITER and beyond in order to reduce heat fluxes on the divertor plates ^[2]. On DEMO, surface recombination heat fluxes will also have to be reduced ^[3]. In tokamaks, detachment is obtained via a chain of atomic processes (ionization, recombination,...), each of them being dominant at different values of density and temperature. Reaching a detached regime is characterized by a reduction of the temperature at the divertor target plates and a decrease of the total target ion fluxes. Experimental and theoretical work showed that the volume recombination and the ionization source in the divertor are the two main mechanisms responsible for the drop of the ion flux to the targets ^[4]. Each of these can be the primary mechanism for the observed ion current reduction ^[6] and recent measurements on TCV ^[7] showed that the drop of the ionization source was the main mechanism in TCV detached plasmas.

The aim of our research is to use SOLPS-ITER to complete the analysis of the divertor characteristics on TCV and to compare conventional and Super-X configurations. As a first step, it is important to understand the underlying detachment mechanism in a conventional TCV plasma. Consequently, in this paper, we will focus on a particular TCV shot, 52065, which has already been studied experimentally ^[1]. We will start by presenting this experimental



Figure 1: Experimental measurements for TCV shot 52065. Left : Separatrix upstream density (from Thomson Scattering). Right: Total ion current for inner and outer targets (from Langmuir probes).

shot and its characteristics before moving to its modeling with SOLPS-ITER. We will then compare the simulations with experimental data and conclude.

2 TCV density ramp experiments

TCV density ramp experiments ^[1] ^[8] were carried out in a lower single null configuration and the particular shot we are studying in this paper was an ohmic heated L-mode with $I_p = 340kA$, $q_{95} = 2.5$ and $B_{\phi} = 1.43T$. In this shot, the poloidal length of the inner leg was 10 cm and was 40 cm for the outer leg. The poloidal flux expansion between the outer midplane and the outer strike point was ~ 6 and the outer strike point was at $R_{target} = 0.75m$. Figure 1 shows the evolution of the upstream plasma density and the evolution of the total ion flux to the inner and outer targets. The ion flux is first increasing linearly (and not as density squared) before rolling over at $t \sim 1s$, which corresponds to an upstream density of $n_{e_{up}} \sim 4 \cdot 10^{19} m^{-3}$. It is also interesting to note that the total ion flux at the inner target does not rollover and keeps increasing during the density scan. Recent measurements using the divertor spectroscopy system (DSS) ^[7] showed that in similar experimental shots the total ionization source increases at the beginning of the density ramp before decreasing as the ionization region moves up along the outer leg. Those measurements also showed that the recombination plays a minor role and that the recombination region stays very close to the targets throughout the density ramp.

3 SOLPS-ITER modeling of detachment in TCV

We have performed 9 SOLPS-ITER converged simulations at different upstream densities (electron density at the outer midplane separatrix). In the modeling, it is varied from $5 \cdot 10^{18} m^{-3}$ to $7 \cdot 10^{19} m^{-3}$, when this experimental shot



Figure 2: Left: SOLPS-ITER mesh for simulating the TCV shot 52065. Right: Comparison between experimental density profile (in black, from Thomson scattering) and SOLPS simulation (in blue, red and yellow for increasing upstream densities) along the same line of sight. The Thomson data corresponds to an upstream density of $2 \cdot 10^{19} m^{-3}$, i.e. $t_{exp} \sim 0.6s$ and thus before the rollover

explores the $2 - 7 \cdot 10^{19} m^{-3}$ range. All simulations are using the same grid, aligned on the flux surfaces of the same experimental equilibrium (see figure 2). As initial conditions, we use a constant power crossing the core boundary of the computational domain and a constant density at this boundary (that we increase to perform the density scan). In all the simulations, we use an constant input power of 400kW, which corresponds to experimental measurements with bolometry. Kinetic neutrals (grid for neutrals not shown in Figure 2) and physical sputtering of the carbon wall is included in the simulations. SOLPS-ITER simulations are steady-state simulations and each of those simulations are run for ~ 5000 time steps of $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$ seconds, until a steady state is reached.

As SOLPS-ITER does not include turbulence, radial transport is chosen as to match experimental upstream profiles from Thomson scattering (see figure 2). Values of $D_{\perp} = 0.2m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{\perp} = 1m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$ are used in our simulations. Starting at low upstream densities, we first obtain an attached plasma with a low target density and a high temperature at the target ($4.5 \cdot 10^{18}$ and 35 eV). As we increase the upstream density, simulated plasmas progressively reach a detached regime. The ionization region progressively detach from the outer target as we increase the upstream density (see Figure 3). As we reach higher upstream densities than the experiment, the ionization region reaches the X-point and also starts detaching from the inner target.

Figure 4 displays the ionization source along the outer divertor leg for those simulations. In attached conditions, the ionization is peaked at the target. When increasing the upstream density, the ionization source is high all along the leg and then peaks close to the X-point when we reach detached conditions. The recombination also plays a role,



Figure 3: Ionization source contours for simulations at three different upstream densities.

as can be seen on Figure 5. The level of recombination is however quite low and stays localized close to the target even at high upstream densities. Looking at the ionization and recombination rates in the outer SOL of Figure 5 (from midplane to the target), we can observe that the ion flux rollover seems to coincide primarily with power starvation in the divertor region and a decrease of the total ionization.

Those observations are qualitatively comparable to experimental measurements using divertor spectroscopy in TCV ^[7]. More quantitative comparison with TCV measurements will be done in a later study, in particular for the carbon impurity levels (which are included in those simulations), the neutral pressure and the j_{sat} profiles at the targets.

As we increase the upstream density both targets (inner and outer) are indeed detaching and the total ion flux at both targets is rolling over. Those detached plasmas are characterized by a target electron temperature which is below 1 eV and a high target electron density. Even after detachment, the peak density region stays very close to the target (a few cm away). If we compare to the experimental total ion flux measured by the Langmuir probes (see Figure 5), we observe that the simulated total ion flux at the outer target rolls over at similar upstream density. However, it decreases by less than 10% when it experimentally decreases by $\sim 40\%$. Moreover, the total ion flux at the inner target is much lower and decreases when it is still increasing experimentally. An important discrepancy with experiment is that the inner target also get detached when it is not the case experimentally. We are currently running simulations including drifts as we think it will improve the comparison to experiment.



Figure 4: Ionization profiles along the outer divertor leg for 5 different upstream densities. The ionization starts peaking at the target (red curve) before moving to the X-point as the degree of detachment increases.



Figure 5: Left: Total ionization and recombination in the outer SOL (from midplane to target). Total ion flux rollsover when the ionization rolls-over and the recombination increases.

Right: Comparison of the total ion flux at the outer target (in 10^{23} particles per second) between experiment (in blue, from Langmuir probes) and SOLPS-ITER simulations (in red)

4 Conclusion and perspectives

A density ramp leading to detachment has been made with SOLPS-ITER steady-state simulations for a TCV Lmode plasma. Detached plasmas are obtained in the simulations and the total ion flux at the outer target rolls-over and is found to qualitatively agree with experimental measurements. Ionization and recombination regions and their position as the upstream density is increased are in agreement with divertor spectroscopy measurements in TCV. Simulations show that the ionization peaks at the X-point for upstream densities higher than those reached in the experiment.

Current work aims at improving the quantitative comparison with experiments, in particular to explain the discrepancy between experiment and simulations for the inner target. Important progress has already been made concerning the carbon impurity levels. To match experimental measurements, 3% of Carbon chemical sputtering for the whole wall had to be included in the model. Progress is also done in re-doing those simulations with realistic gas puffing and wall pumping instead of using a fixed core density boundary. Finally, shots with higher R_t have just been simulated and are currently being compared to the results presented in this paper, to study the effect of total flux expansion on the detachment threshold.

Acknowledgments

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

This work has also received funding from the EPSRC under the grant EP/N023846/1.

References

- [1] J. R. Harrison, et al., Nuclear Materials and Energy 2016, 000.
- [2] A. Loarte, et al., Nuclear Fusion 2007, 47, S203.
- [3] M. Wischmeier, et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 2015, 463, 22-9.
- [4] A.A. Pshenov, et al., Nuclear Materials and Energy 2017, 12, 948-952.
- [5] S. I. Krasheninnikov, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2016, 23, 055602.
- [6] B. Lipschultz, et al., Phys. Plasmas 1999, 6, 5.
- [7] K. Verhaegh, et al., Nuclear Materials and Energy 2017, 000.
- [8] C. Theiler, et al., Nuclear Fusion 2017, 57, 072008.