T Hernandez et al.

Corrosion protective action of different coatings for the helium cooled pebble bed breeder concept

Corrosion protective action of different coatings for the helium cooled pebble bed breeder concept

T. Hernandez* and F.J. Sánchez

National Laboratory for Magnetic Fusion. Materials CIEMAT Avda. Complutense 40

28040 Madrid, SPAIN

*corresponding author. email: teresa.hernandez@ciemat.es

Abstract

An additional function of the permeation barriers is the protective action against corrosion. Although corrosion is not expected to be a major concern for the helium cooled pebble bed concept, it is not negligible and the joint action of the working temperature (350ºC-550ºC) and the lithium ceramic pebbles produces a corrosion layer in the surface of Eurofer material directly exposed to this environment.

Accelerated corrosion tests have been carried out in Eurofer protected by different coatings including Al_2O_3 prepared by pulsed laser deposition and thermal projection, nano-structured tungsten prepared by DC magnetron sputtering and other commercial coatings based on mixed chromium and aluminum oxinitrides obtained by arc evaporation technique. Tests were performed using a mixture of He / H_2O as a purge gas.

Two different lithium ceramics pebbles were tested: lithium orthosilicate (K-S) prepared in KIT by the KALOS method and lithium metatitanate obtained by the emulsion method in JAEA (J-T).

This paper compares the corrosion layer produced at different temperatures (550ºC and 800ºC) and shows the protection provided by certain coatings under severe conditions of temperature (800ºC) for 730 hours. The most promising results have been obtained for aluminum oxide coatings, which stands out this material as the best candidate.

Introduction

Corrosion problems in breeder blankets have always been associated with the helium cooled lithium lead (HCLL) and the dual coolant lithium lead (DCLL) breeder concepts for working with liquid metals [1] combined with magnetic fields [2]. However, recent studies have shown that also in the helium cooled pebble bed (HCPB) concept, solid state reactions occur between the ceramic lithium pebbles and the Eurofer giving rise to corrosion layers. Depending on the temperature conditions and purge gas, the corrosion layer formed may be important and should not be neglected [3]. Traditionally, He + H_2 mixture has been preferred as a purge gas, because He + H_2O cause a significant corrosion in Eurofer and an exothermic reaction with beryllium pebbles, forming hydrogen [4]. In the last years, The HCPB concept has been

established as one of the most promising and the progress in its problem has been relevant [5]. Thus, it was proposed to study not only He + H_2 as purge gas but also He + H_2O as an alternative to He + H_2 because water is a better tritium carrier than hydrogen. Anyway, even purging with He + H_2 there will be a certain percentage of tritium (less than 10%) that will be purged in the form of T_2O instead of HT and that therefore will also corrode the Eurofer. On the other hand, if the He + H_2O mixture is used, the isotopic exchange with tritium will produce HTO, which does not permeate the coolant. This can turn out to be a huge advantage for the HCPB concept [6].

In that sense, it is important to know the actual extent of the corrosion of the He / H_2O gas mixture and the capacity of certain coatings to reduce the effect. Previous works have described the corrosion produced in different atmospheres and in relevant fusion conditions of temperature (550ºC) and time (3700 hours) [7, 8]. Here, some information is provided on the capacity of certain coatings to protect the Eurofer in much more severe conditions than what will be the working conditions in the breeders. Likewise, the effect of temperature has been studied independently of the action of the pebbles, as well as the effect of the different composition of the pebbles.

Materials and methods:

The reduced activation stainless steel used in the experiments is Eurofer, and the coatings and pebbles are shown in table 1. Eurofer samples (7x7x4 mm^3) were kept in direct contact with the ceramic pebbles for up to 3700 h, in a special cylindrical chamber with a modular design manufactured at CIEMAT in which up to three breeders can be tested simultaneously (It is described in reference [8]). Chamber inner walls are covered with ZrO_2 for protection against corrosion, and a stainless steel mesh has been installed between consecutive modules in order to prevent possible breeder mixture as a consequence of the sweep gas. A purge gas flow of ~ 1cm^3/min is maintained through the different chamber sections, which is controlled by a screw flowmeter. The chamber is introduced in a tubular furnace that permits testing temperatures up to 1500 ºC. Corrosion tests have been carried out for two different ceramic pebbles, K-S - and J-T at 800ºC for 730 hours, and for two different purge gas compositions: He/H_2 (98/2 %vol) and He/H_2O (99.8/0.2 %vol).

The corrosion layer formed after the tests has been studied by scanning electron microscopy/Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM / EDX) (Zeiss Auriga Compact/Bruker XFlash). A confocal microscopy and interferometry system was used to study the topography of the corrosion layers and obtain 3D images. The equipment used was a Leica DCM8.

Results:

Effect of pebbles on corrosion

Figure 1 shows the corrosion layer that has been produced after a 3700 h test at 550 ° C with the pebbles K-S and J-T respectively in He/H_2O flow gas. At the usual working temperature for the pebbles the corrosion layer produced by the K-S pebbles is practically negligible while it is important for the J-T. The reason seems to be the distinct nature of the corrosion layer. While in the case of the K-S corresponds to a vitreous layer composed of silicon, lithium and other

metals that adheres and protects the steel, the J-T is oxidicoxide-like and porous, so it does not provide Eurofer with any protection. The exhaustive study of these layers can be found in [8 and [9]]. At higher temperature (800ºC for 730 h), the vitreous silica layer that protects the surface of the Eurofer has disappeared and the corrosion is favored by the small proportion of water contained in the helium purge stream, as can be seen in figure 2.

Effect of the temperature on corrosion

To separate the effect of the temperature itself from that produced in combination with the pebbles, an experiment was carried out in which the temperature was maintained at 800 °C and the time, 730 hours, but the pebbles were removed. The results can be seen in Figure 3.

Generalized corrosion around all material that reaches almost 500 microns depth can be found after the test. The mapping of the elements in the corrosion layer can be seen in Figure 4. As a consequence of the temperature in a He/H_2O mixture, the corrosion layer is formed by sublayers corresponding to different compositions. Next to the Eurofer is enriched in chromium and slightly in manganese, elements that disappear gradually as the surface approaches. The layer is porous and fragile so it exfoliates easily. Under these conditions, it can be ensured that the adhesion of the layer to the substrate is low and can be detached easily, which means a new exposure of the steel to the medium that will continue to act on it.

Knowing the characteristics of this corrosion layer is important because it constitutes the reference on which the protective capacity of the different coatings exposed below will be compared, as pebbles have little effect at that temperature.

Effect of coatings

The coatings that have been tested and the manufacturing method are shown in Table I.

Table I: coatings tested in this work.

Coating	Method of preparation	Coating thickness	Method description
Al_2O_3	Thermal Projection (TP) [10]	About 50 µm	A high-temperature plasma jet generated by arc discharge at high temperature
Al_2O3	Pulsed Laser Deposition (PLD) [11]	5 µm	Condensation of the plasma atoms on the surface of the substrate.
Nanostructured W	DC magnetron sputtering (MS) [12]	3.5 µm	The setup consists of a high vacuum chamber with a base pressure in the 10−8 mbar range.
Alcrona (AlCrN)	Arc Evaporation (AE) [13]	2.5 µm	Arc is struck between the backing plate (anode) and the coating material (cathode)

Thermal Projection Al_2O_3 coating

Figure 5 shows the appearance of the alumina coating prepared by thermal projection before (a) and after (b) the accelerated corrosion test at 800 °C for 730 hours.

As can be seen in the photographs, the coating remains after an experiment in such severe conditions. The adhesion of this coating is demonstrated in the same way the roughness does not seem to be altered to a great extent. Something to highlight is the "filling" with material from the Eurofer of the pores and cracks characteristic of the thermal projection process. It is most likely that the excessively high temperature has facilitated the diffusion of the alloying elements towards the surface. Beyond that part of the steel, the material appears protected.

It is interesting to note that in these conditions the two pebbles produce exactly the same results related to corrosion. This may be due to the fact that the composition no longer influences at this high temperature, as we have seen in the previous section

PLD-Alumina coatings

Figure 6a shows the appearance of the coating produced by the PLD technique. As can be seen, it is a homogeneous aluminium oxide layer, well adhered to the substrate and with very low roughness. Figure 6b shows the confocal image of the coating surface after the corrosion test at 800 °C. As can be seen in the image on the left, the coating is fragmented but remains attached to the substrate. This effect is more perceptible in the 3D image.

The specimen had a prismatic shape in which only one of its faces was covered with aluminium oxide. That face is the one shown in Figure 7 (left) that appears fragmented after the test. It is the huge layer of corrosion that has occurred in another of the faces that had not been protected and in which the K-S pebbles have been immersed. Figure 7 (right) represents a cross section of the probe in which it is possible to distinguish in the upper part the thin layer of alumina that has protected the Eurofer. On the left side and below is the thick layer of corrosion formed as a consequence of the temperature, the pebbles and the atmosphere used in the test.

Facts of special interest are the precipitations observed in the cracks of the coating. The observation by SEM shows the precipitation of a phase that forms crystalline sheets. The EDX analysis performed on such structures shows they are formed mostly by Mn and W, as can be seen in Figure 8. To complete the analysis, an EDX was made across one of the excrescences that arise between the fractures of the coating. The analysis does not give rise to doubts of the manganese being involved in its composition.

Nanostructured W

The case of the nanostructured tungsten coating is very different from alumina. The starting coating appears as a homogeneous layer about 4 microns thick and shows a texture on the surface. The interface is shown without adhesion defects. In this case, the coating was deposited all around the specimen. In figure 9 this appearance is described.

Figures 10 shows the great deterioration suffered by the coating after the corrosion test. At the corrosion test temperature the tungsten layer is not stable and comes off leaving the Eurofer unprotected. Figure 10left) presents the final state of the specimen showing it has

suffered corrosion on all its faces. The layer formed oscillates between 150 and 200 microns in thickness and, as usual, consists of two sub-layers of different composition. In some areas it is possible to visualize remnants of the original nanostructured W layer between the lithium silicate pebbles (figure 10 right). These remains appear as spherical shapes between frames of acicular crystals. The analysis of the crystals appeared on the surface carried out by EDX shows they are mostly composed of manganese oxide (Figure 11). As in the case of the PLD-alumina coating, manganese seems to diffuse easily to the surface and form crystalline structures with the silica from the lithium silicate pebbles.

ALCRONA (Al/Cr oxinitride)

Alcrona is a commercial name that corresponds to the composition of a mixed nitrous aluminum and slightly oxidized chromium. The appearance of the "as received" coating is shown in figure 12. It is a homogeneous coating although it has variable thicknesses, depending on the area. Similarly, the roughness is not homogeneous either. The compositional profile is shown in figure 13.

The situation that arises after the corrosion test at 800ºC is complex. Figure 14 shows the thick layer of corrosion produced when the Eurofer specimen has been in contact with the K-S pebbles, which approximates 500 microns thick. This result seems to indicate that the ALCRONA layer left the steel uncovered in the first hours of the experiment and did not protect the steel surface at all.

In the EDX analysis of the corrosion layer, traces of the coating are not detected. The layer of oxides formed is what is usually found in these cases, formed by two sublayers of different composition, the closest to the steel being enriched in chromium. Figure 15 shows the analysis.

Discussion

Table II summarizes the results regarding the corrosion produced with solid breeders. It is important to note that although only the results obtained with the K-S pebbles have been described, the results are similar for the J-T. When the temperature rises from 550ºC to 800ºC, the differences found at lower temperatures in the corrosion layers disappear, so it is not necessary to repeat comments or pictures. It likely seems that the effect of temperature is more important than that produced by the pebbles and is somehow masked. In any case, the results of this experiment are important because this temperature (800ºC) is well above the expected working temperature range of any breeder concept and therefore, it allows speculating on the usefulness of some coatings. If under these severe conditions they are able to protect the steel, they will most likely do it at lower temperatures. On the other hand, the measurements of the corrosion layer thicknesses obtained with the J-T pebbles can include a major error. Due to the large size of the lithium titanium pebbles they can drag part of the corrosion layer as the remaining pebbles are detached after the test. For this reason, it is more convenient to carry out the discussion based on the corrosions observed with the K-S pebbles.

Thus, before beginning the discussion, it is important to take into account that the thickness of the corrosion layer is not homogeneous and the thickness data shown in table II correspond to average values obtained at different points.

The first result to discuss is the effect of temperature. As seen in Figures 3 and 4, the corrosion layer formed solely by the action of the purge gas at 800 C is very important. The protective layer that the silicon-containing pebbles form on the Eurofer (an amorphous lithium silicate) that has been discussed in previous papers [8], is not stable at high temperature, so it does not form, or if it forms in a first stage, decomposes and leaves the material completely unprotected to external actions (Figure 2).

The same happens with some of the commercial coatings tested in this study. The data obtained with respect to ALCRONA commercial coating indicate that it is not stable under the conditions considered and disappeared in the early stages of the test, giving rise to layers of corrosion similar to those of the uncoated material.

On the other hand, the layer thickness found in the nanostructured tungsten coated material seems to indicate that it was maintained at the beginning of the test and was able to partially protect the surface of the steel, however, after some time that has not been determined; its decomposition began, as demonstrated by the remains found by scanning microscopy. Thus, the thickness of the corrosion layer found for the coating of W is half that corresponding to the bare material.

The only coatings presenting good behaviour are those of alumina. Since the tests were carried out in very extreme conditions, it is expected that in the working conditions they will be able to adequately protect the Eurofer. A layer of dense aluminium oxide, therefore, is established as a good candidate to act both as a barrier to corrosion and to prevent the tritium diffusion [14].

In spite of the results obtained with aluminium oxide coatings, it should be mentioned that there are limitations for using them as a protector in contact with lithium silicates, especially when the purge gas is a He/H_2O mixture. As mentioned above, the pebbles are composed of a mixture of lithium silicates, with the orthosilicate phase being the majority. These species are partially soluble in water and provide an acidic medium. This effect is favoured with the increase in temperature. On the other hand, aluminium oxide coatings can easily react with either a strong base or acid, dissolving in them, and exposing naked Eurofer to the reactive medium. It is for this reason that the use of aluminium oxide as a protector for the HCPB concept can have problems for long working times [15].

Table II: Corrosion layer thickness found after corrosion tests.

Coating	800ºC/730h He/H_2O		
	K-S (µm)	J-T (µm)	Remarks
Bare/pebbles	460	260	
Bare/without pebbles	-	-	470 µm no pebbles
TP-Al_2O_3	-	-	No corrosion layer observed
PLD- Al_2O_3	-	-	No corrosion layer observed
ALCRONA	480	235	
W	280	180	

Conclusions

The work has allowed differentiating between the corrosion due to the temperature and the one due to a combined action with the lithium pebbles.

On the other hand, it has been shown that aluminum oxide is an excellent protector even in conditions that far exceeds the working temperature that is expected in the breeder blanket module.

The ALCRONA commercial coating is not stable under the test conditions considered and disappeared in the early stages of the test, giving rise to layers of corrosion similar to those of the uncoated material.

Finally, nanostructured-Tungsten coating does not seem adequate for 800ºC due to its high volatilization; however, it is expected to work correctly.at lower temperatures (in the range 350ºC-550ºC).

Acknowledgements

Figure 1: Corrosion layer produced on Eurofer after 3700 hours of exposure to the He/H2O mixture at 550ºC. Left: K-S pebbles. Right: J-T pebbles.

Figure 2: Corrosion layer produced on Eurofer after 730 hours of exposure to the He/H_2O mixture at 800ºC. Left K-S pebbles. Right: J-T pebbles.


Figure 3: a) Corrosion layer formed at 800ºC and 730 hours without pebbles by the action of a He / H_2O gaseous flow. b) Expanded detail of the layer in which the existence of sublayers is appreciated.

Figure 4: EDX mapping of the corrosion layer formed at 800ºC and 730 hours by the action of a He / H2O gaseous flow showing the composition of the different oxidized sublayers (outer Fe rich and inner Cr rich).

Figure 5a: Coating prepared by thermal projection "As received". Left: cross section; Right aspect of the roughness obtained by confocal microscopy.

Figure 5b: Appearance of the TP-coating after the accelerated corrosion test with K-S pebbles and He / H2O mixture as purge gas. Left: cross section of the coating. Right: appearance of roughness after the test.

Figure 6a: "As received" coating prepared by PLD.

Figure 6b: cross section; Right aspect of the roughness obtained by confocal microscopy.

Figure 7: Coating prepared by PLD after corrosion test at 800ºC. Left: front view of the coating after the test; Right: cross section by SEM.

Figure 8: Left: precipitated crystals found in the cracks of the PLD-coating after the corrosion tests. Right: chemical analysis of them carried out by EDX.


Figure 9: Cross section view and texture of "as received" W nanostructured coating.

Figure 10: a) Corrosion layer produced in the nanostructured coating after the test at 800ºC. b) Remains of the original nanostructured W coating.


Figure 11: Surface SEM image (left) and EDX analysis of the crystals found on the surface for the nanostructured W coating (right).


Figure 12: Appearance of "as received" ALCRONA coating and roughness.

Figure 13: Cross sectional EDX analysis of the "as received" ALCRONA coating.


Figure 14: Corrosion layer produced by the K-S pebbles at 800ºC in the Eurofer covered by ALCRONA.


Figure 15: EDX analysis of the corrosion layer produced on the ALCRONA coating by the K-S pebbles at 800ºC.

References

[1] J. Konys, INEPT Nuclear Fuel Training, KIT-CN March 5-9 (2012)

[2] M. Carmona Gázquez, T. Hernández, F. Muktepavela, E. Platacis, A. Shishko, Magnetic field effect on the corrosion processes at the Eurofer–Pb–17Li flow interface, Journal of Nuclear Materials 465 (2015) 633-639.

[3] K. Mukai, F.J. Sanchez, T.Hoshino, R.Knitter, Corrosion characteristics of reduced activation ferritic-martensitic steel EUROFER by Li2TiO3 with excess Li, Nuclear Materials and Energy [15] (2018) 190-194.

[4] A.R. Raffray, M. Akiba, V. Chuyanov, L. Giancarli, S. Malang, Breeding blanket concepts for fusion and materials requirements, Journal of Nuclear Materials 307–311 (2002) 21–30.

[5] F. Hernández, F. Arbeiter, L. V. Boccaccini, E. Bubelis, V. Chakin, I. Cristescu, B. E. Ghidersa, M. Gonzalez, W. Hering,T. Hernandez, X. Z. Jin, M. Kamlah, B. Kiss, R. Knitter, M. Kolb, P. Kurinskiy, O. Leys, I. Maione, M. Moscardini, G. Zhou, Overview of the HCPB research activities in EUROfusion , IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 46(6) (2018) 2247-2261.

[6] C. Alvani, J. Avon, S. Casadio, M.A. Fiitterer, M.R. Mancini, C.A. Nannetti, S. Ravel, N. Roux, L. Sedano, V. Violante, A. Terlain, M. Tourasse, S. Tosti, M. Zanotti Effect of purge gas oxidizing potential on tritium release from Li-ceramics and on its permeation through 316L SS clads under irradiation (TRINE experiment), Journal of Nuclear Materials 233–237 (1996) 1441-1445.

[7] .T. Hernández, P. Fernández and R. Vila, Corrosion susceptibility of EUROFER97 in lithium ceramics breeders, Journal of Nuclear Materials 446 (2014) 117–123

[8] T. Hernández, M. Carmona Gázquez, F. Sánchez, M. Malo, Corrosion mechanisms of Eurofer produced by lithium ceramics under fusion relevant conditions, Nuclear Materials andEnergy 5 (2018) 110-114.

[9] T. Hernández , P. Fernández, Corrosion susceptibility comparison of EUROFER steel in contact two lithium silicate breeders, Fusion Engineering and Design 89 (2014) 1436–1439

[10] I.Shakhova, E.Mironov, F.Azarmia A.Safonov, Thermo-electrical properties of the alumina coatings deposited by different thermal spraying technologies, Ceramics International 43 [17] (2017) 15392-15401.

[11] Pulsed Laser Deposition of Thin Films, edited by Douglas B. Chrisey and Graham K. Hubler, John Wiley & Sons, 1994 ISBN 0-471-59218-8

[12] K. Ishii, High-rate low kinetic energy gas-flow-sputtering system, Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A. 7 (1989) 256–258.

[13] Sablev et al., US Patent #3,793,179, 19 Feb. 1974

[14] C. Henager, Hydrogen Permeation Barrier Coatings, Materials for the Hydrogen Economy. Edited By Russell H. Jones, George J. Thomas (2007) 181-190.

[15] C. Novák, G. Pokol, V. Izvekov, T. Gál, Studies on the reactions of aluminium oxides and hydroxides, Journal of thermal analysis 36 [5] (1990) 1895–1909.