

EUROFUSION WPS2-PR(16) 15381

J M Faustin et al.

# Modelling of ICRF fast ion generation in 2D and 3D plasma configurations

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in 43rd European Physical Society Conference on Plasma Physics (EPS)



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked

## Modelling of ICRF fast ion generation in 2D and 3D plasma configurations.

J.M. Faustin<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Graves<sup>1</sup>, W.A. Cooper<sup>1</sup>, J. Geiger<sup>2</sup>, D. Pfefferlé<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany <sup>3</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL), Princeton 08540 NJ, USA

#### Introduction

Fast ion populations can be produced in tokamaks by the absorption of waves in the range of Ion-Cyclotron Range of Frequency (ICRF). As is well known, the absorption of ICRF wave by the majority ion species cannot occur at the fundamental resonance because the left-handed component of the electric field  $E^+$  vanishes at that location. The Minority Heating (MH) scheme requires the addition of a second ion species in low concentration, and has been successfully used in experiments in order to exploit fundamental ICRF wave absorption. This scheme generally allows for the generation of fast ions no less than a few hundreds keV. Generating fast ions in higher energy range can be addressed by a newly developped ICRF scenario [1] known as the "three-ion species" method which shows tremendous potential for fast ion generation. It is intended that the recently started stellarator Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) will use ICRF waves for fast generation. In the present work, we use the SCENIC package [2] in order to initiate a comparison between these scenarios in typical axisymmetric JET-like and W7-X plasma configurations.

#### **Two-Dimensional configuration**

A typical JET-like equilibrium is established in order to demonstrate the capability of the three-ion species scheme to generate a large population of fast ions in comparison to a classic Minority Heating scheme. The background electron density and temperature profiles are respectively:  $n_e(r) = ((4.0 - 0.4)(1 - r^2) + 0.4) \times 10^{19} [m^{-3}]$ and  $T_e(r) = T_i(r) = (4.0 - 1.0)(1 - r^2)^{1.5} +$ 



Figure 1: Relative power absorbed by the plasma species.

1.0[keV], where  $r^2 \leq 1$  is the normalised toroidal flux. For simplicity, an analytic estimate for the safety factor is used:  $q(r) = q_0 + (q_1 - q_0)r^2$  where  $q_0 = 1.1$  and  $q_1 = 5.1$ . The plasma major and minor radii are respectively  $R_0 = 2.96$  m and a = 0.9 m and where the magnetic field amplitude is  $B_0 = 3.2$ T. The ICRF wave input power is  $P_{RF} = 3$ MW and the frequency is fixed at f = 32.5MHz which corresponds to on-axis fundamental resonance for <sup>3</sup>He ions. Following Ref. [1], the background ion species is a mixture of Deuterium, Hydrogen and 0.1% of <sup>3</sup>He at  $B_0 = 3.2$ T. The full-wave code LEMan is used for the estimation of the optimal mixture of Hydrogen and Deuterium which maximises power absorption on <sup>3</sup>He ions. Fig. 1 shows the relative power absorbed by each plasma species as the Hydrogen concentration increases. The LEMan code estimates an optimal mix of H:D $\simeq$  71% : 29% which is in good agreement with the estimation given in Ref. [1].

The SCENIC package is used on the basis of this plasma configuration in order to resolve the selfconsistent <sup>3</sup>He ion distribution function. The ICRF wave toroidal mode number is varied in order to investigate typical antenna phasings: dipole ( $n_{\varphi} =$  $\pm$ 27), +90° (co-current travelling wave:  $n_{\varphi}$  = -13) and  $-90^{\circ}$  (counter-current travelling wave:  $n_{\varphi} =$ 13). Fig. 2 shows that for each wave configuration, around 7 iterations (i.e. the procedure of updating the equilibrium and the plasma dielectric tensor according to the fast ion contribution [2], marked as squares in Fig. 2) are required in order to reach good convergence. It is found that the mean energy of the  ${}^{3}$ He is around 600 - 800keV for all considered phasings indicating the presence of high energy particles. The saturated energy distribution functions are shown in Fig. 3. The tails of the distributions extend easily in the MeV range, as expected for this heating scheme. The same set of parameters (thermal profiles, ICRF wave frequency) is used to establish a Minority Heating scheme (Deuterium plasma with  $[^{3}He]=1\%$ ) for



Figure 2: Mean energy per <sup>3</sup>He ions as a function of time normalised by the slowing down on electrons  $\tau_{se} = 0.18$  s.



Figure 3: Saturated <sup>3</sup>He energy distribution functions obtained for each phasing and compared to a typical minority heating scheme.

comparison. Fig. 3 clearly shows a much shorter fast ion tail for Minority Heating than for the three-ion species method. Note that the number of physical particles represented by the numerical markers is obviously not the same for the Minority Heating as for the three-ion species scheme. Therefore, the distribution functions have been normalised so that  $\int_0^\infty \sqrt{E} f(E) dE = 1$  for the sake of comparison. Figs. 2 and 3 show that the fast ion energy range is higher when +90° phasing is applied. This can be understood via the inward RF-pinch effect [3] which for +90° phasing causes the resonant particles interaction time with the wave to increase as compared with -90° and dipole phasings. The RF-pinch effect can clearly be seen by the peaking position in the fast ion pressure profile illustrated in Fig.4.



Figure 4: Fast ion pressure profiles for each investigated antenna phasing

### **Three-Dimensional configuration**

The W7-X stellarator is the first optimised quasi-isodynamic stellarator and has recently started operation. One of the experimental goals of this machine is to demonstrate the capacity of the quasi-isodynamic configuration to magnetically confine  $\alpha$ -like fast ions. ICRF is foreseen in W7-X as a potential source of fast ions within 50 – 100 keV. It was shown in Ref. [4] that the Minority Heating scheme will not be able to produce significant ion population in that range of energy, at least with the foreseen available power of 1.5 MW. This is mainly due to the high core density which prevents fast ion tail formation. A typical high-mirror W7-X equilibrium is constructed with the following density and temperature profiles expressed in terms of normalised toroidal flux  $s: n_e = 1.5 \times 10^{20} (0.9 \times (1 - s^{10})^2 + 0.1) [m^{-3}]$  and  $T_e = 4 \times 10^3 (1 - s) [eV]$ . This equilibrium is used to resolve the ICRF distribution function for both Minority Heating and three-ion species schemes. The resonant layer was set in both cases to be on-axis in the bean-shaped cross section. The Minority Heating scenario uses a Deuterium plasma with 0.5% of Hydrogen minority. The three-ion species scenario features a H:D $\simeq$  68% : 32% plasma with 0.1% of <sup>3</sup>He. The intrisic 3D dependency of the equilibrium imposes a strong coupling between the waves' poloidal and toroidal modes. Therefore it is not possible to identify a dominant mode number as generally done in 2D simulations. Instead, the antenna excitation modelled with SCENIC uses a range of coupled poloidal and toroidal modes and is therefore fully spatially localised in the ICRF simulations of W7-X.

It is seen from the resulting distribution functions shown in Fig. 5, that for the same input power  $P_{RF} = 1.5$  MW, the three-ion species scenario generates proportionnally a larger fast ion population than obtained when applying Minority Heating. The three-ion species distribution function obtained in the W7-X Figure 5: Fast ion distribution funccase still shows a relatively weak fraction of tions obtained for a Minority Heating ions above 100 keV. The concentration of  ${}^{3}$ He can in principle be reduced, in order to en-



and a three-ion heating scheme applied to W7-X.

hance the high energy disitribution, but 0.1% of <sup>3</sup>He is already below the noise of experimental detection in the plasma. Lower concentrations are still to be numerically investigated and contrasted with the simulations presented here.

#### Conclusion

The SCENIC package has been used in order to resolve and compare the ICRF distribution functions obtained when applying Minority Heating and three-ion species schemes in typical axisymmetric tokamak and stellarator configurations. The new simulations confirm the higher potential of the three-ion species scenario to generate a larger fast ion population in both types of magnetic configuration.

#### Acknowledgement

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. References

- [1] Ye.O. Kazakov, et al., Nucl. Fusion 55, 032001 (2015)
- [2] M. Jucker, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 182, 912–925 (2011)
- [3] L.G. Eriksson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1231–1234 (1998)
- [4] J.M. Faustin, et al. Plasma Phys. and Control. Fusion 58 7 074004 (2016)