

EUROFUSION CP(15)09/03

L. Zani et al.

Overview of Progress on the EU DEMO Reactor Magnet System Design

(18th October 2015 – 23rd October 2015) Seoul, Korea



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. "This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org".

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org".

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked.

Overview of Progress on the EU DEMO Reactor Magnet System Design

L. Zani, C. M. Bayer, M. E. Biancolini, R. Bonifetto, P. Bruzzone, C. Brutti, D. Ciazynski, M. Coleman, I. Duran, M. Eisterer, W.H. Fietz, P.V. Gade, E. Gaio, F. Giorgetti, W. Goldacker, F. Gömöry, X. Granados, R. Heller, P. Hertout, C. Hoa, A. Kario, B. Lacroix, M. Lewandowska, A. Maistrello, L. Muzzi, A. Nijhuis, F. Nunio, A. Panin, T. Petrisor, J-M. Poncet, R. Prokopec, M. Sanmarti Cardona, L. Savoldi, S.I. Schlachter, K. Sedlak, B. Stepanov, I. Tiseanu, A. Torre, S. Turtù, R. Vallcorba, M. Vojenciak, K.-P. Weiss, R. Wesche, K. Yagotintsev, and R. Zanino.

Abstract—The DEMO reactor is expected to be the first application of fusion for electricity generation in the near future. To this aim conceptual design activities are progressing in Europe under the lead of the EUROfusion Consortium in order to drive on the development of the major tokamak systems. In 2014 the activities carried out by the magnet system project team were focused on the Toroidal Field (TF) magnet system design and demonstrated major achievements in terms of concept proposals and of consolidated evaluations against design criteria. Several magnet system R&D activities were conducted in parallel, together with broad investigations on High Temperature Superconductor (HTS) technologies.

In this paper we present the outcomes of the work conducted in two areas in the 2014 magnet work programme: (1) the EU inductive reactor (called DEMO1) 2014 configuration (power plant operating under inductive regime) was the basis of conceptual design activities, including further optimizations; and (2) the HTS R&D activities building upon the consolidated knowledge acquired over the past years.

Index Terms— fusion, Nb₃Sn, LTS, HTS, DEMO, superconducting magnets

Manuscript received 19 October 2015.

L. Zani (corresponding author, CEA/DSM/IRFM, CEA Cadarache 13108 St Paul-Lez-Durance, France; phone: +33442254967; fax: +33442252662; e-mail: <u>louis.zani@cea.fr</u>), D. Ciazynski, P. Hertout, C. Hoa, B. Lacroix, F. Nunio, J-M. Poncet, A. Torre and R. Vallcorba are with Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives, France.

P. Bruzzone, K. Sedlak, R. Wesche and B. Stepanov are with EPFL/SPC, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland.

A. Panin is with FzJ, 52425 Jülich, Germany.

L. Muzzi and S. Turtù are with ENEA, 00044 Frascati, Italy.

R. Zanino, L. Savoldi and R. Bonifetto are with Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy.

C. Brutti, M. E. Biancolini, and F. Giorgetti are with University of Rome Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome, Italy.

A. Nijhuis and K. Yagotintsev are with Univ. of Twente, 7522 Enschede, Netherlands.

M. Eisterer and R. Prokopec are with TUW, 1040 Wien, Austria.

E. Gaio and A. Maistrello are with Consortium RFX, 35127 Padova, Italy.

M. Lewandowska is with West Pomeranian University of Technology, 70310 Szczecin, Poland.

W.H. Fietz, C. M. Bayer, R. Heller, P.V. Gade, A.Kario, S.I. Schlachter, K.-P. Weiss and W. Goldacker are with KIT, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany.

F. Gömory and M. Vojencjak are with IEE, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia.

I. Tiseanu is with INFLPR, 077125 Bucharest, Romania.

T. Petrisor is with University of Cluj, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

M. Sanmarti is with IREC, 08930 Sant Adrià de Besòs, Spain.

X. Granados is with ICMAB, 08041 Barcelona, Spain.

I. Duran is with IPP.CR, 18200 Praha, Czech Republic.

M. Coleman is with EUROfusion, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany.

I. INTRODUCTION

A LONG the European Horizon 2020 roadmap [1] a preconceptual design activity is conducted on the DEMO reactor, expected to be the first generation of fusion power

plant implemented after ITER tokamak is put in operation and its first period of exploitation used as validation for a certain number of technologies, including magnets. The DEMO reactor is currently in the conceptual design phase and a broad activity is ongoing in Europe in this regard. The EUROfusion Consortium recently took over the former EFDA framework, establishing a project structure to carry out the DEMO activities. Regarding the DEMO magnet system, a dedicated project team was established, including members from 18 European laboratories, and carried out a wide range of design activities in 2014-2015, ranging from the dimensioning of reactor coils to longer term R&D.

A large part of the work in 2014 was dedicated to the dimensioning of the TF coils, using Low Temperature Superconductor (LTS) materials; the project level objective being to evaluate the potential performance of the different coil concepts within the allocated space. The conclusions drawn from these studies will steer future work. A study of the Central Solenoid (CS) system was also initiated.

In parallel to the conceptual design and dimensioning of the LTS TF coils, HTS R&D activities were pursued, in continuity with the former EFDA program. A broad range of upstream (tapes irradiation, characterization and modelling) and downstream (cable manufacture and tests) issues were explored, with the overall mid-term goal of facilitating the emergence of the best HTS cable concept for fusion magnets.

II. DEMO LTS MAGNET SYSTEM

A. TF conductor and Winding Pack (WP) design

Regarding TF system, three TF WP concepts using Nb₃Sn material (since B_{MAX} ~13.5 T) were issued in the project team, covering a rather large technological surface. Two of them (proposed by SPC and ENEA) were derived from past conceptual studies [2] and updated to match the 2014 newly issued DEMO1 central CAD configuration [3] defined by the EUROfusion central team from the reference outputs [4] of PROCESS code, and periodically updated. The most significant change was in the TF WP cross-section, which was

reduced in size by approximately 15% to match constraints mainly regarding remote maintenance access ports, which led a substantial effort to optimise the design within the allocated space.

First, the methodology for dimensioning the TF WP (criteria, thermohydraulic laws, etc.) were agreed within the project team [5] (e.g. $T_{margin} > 1.5K$, copper-only hotspot $T_{MAX} < 250$ K, insulation dimensions, etc.) into a code and standard-like approach for the assessment of the design performance. Three LTS conductor options were proposed (see Fig. 1) to be used for three different TF WP layouts.

WP#1 (proposed by SPC) follows the design basis of [2], i.e. high aspect ratio rectangular section, react & wind manufacturing route, and a graded layer winding approach.

WP#2 (proposed by ENEA) is also along the design laid out in [2], i.e. high aspect ratio rectangular section, wind & react manufacturing route and graded layer winding.

WP#3 (proposed by CEA, see [6]) has a square cross section, a wind & react manufacturing route, and a pancake winding approach.



Fig. 1. Schematic views of the three initial conductor concepts proposed for the EU DEMO1 2014 TF coil. WP#1 (left) is a flat cable composed of twisted sextuplets separated by a steel foil, confined in steel profiles with segregated cooling channels. WP#2 (middle) is a low aspect ratio classically transposed cable, with a perforated tube central channel (design then optimized, see IV.B). WP#3 (right) is a square transposed cable with spiral central channel.

From WP#1 to WP#3 the degree of technological similarity with respect to the ITER TF design gradually increases, retaining a relatively broad spectrum of approaches in DEMO, from more innovative to more established. They carry pros and cons regarding integration in the DEMO machine, e.g. savings on material amount (superconductor, steel) and thus on machine cost, or affect some manufacturing steps (electrical junctions, winding tolerances...) and thus risks in either fabrication or exploitation phases. Each of the proposed TF WP designs is different in this regard.

An important first round of performance evaluation lies in extended simulations in both thermohydraulics and mechanics to get a first quantitative assessment.

B. Thermohydraulic analyses

First, a reference methodological approach was established (e.g. friction factor scaling laws) and documented [7][8] to serve as common guidelines for all analyses. Then, after a benchmarking step between the codes [9], detailed analyses were conducted on both normal and off-normal scenarii (burn and quench regimes, respectively). Update of loads (the magnetic field map [10][11] and nuclear heat map [12], including heat transfer from casing to WP [13]) were set by the project team and applied to the different configurations. An initial analysis was carried out with an analytical tool to spot large deviations [14]. Then more detailed analyses applying numerical codes (THEA, 4C) showed that in burn regime (2 hours full power plateau), most of the configurations passed the T_{MARGIN} criterion of 1.5 K ([10] [15]) except a few layers in WP#2 ([9][16]), whose design can likely be optimized in a future version. An illustrative example of the results is shown in Fig. 2, where the casing cooling was also considered and its positive impact on T margin assessed as non-negligible. An integrated study of the cooling scheme was also conducted with 4C code [17] with same conclusions.

In the quench regime, the studies showed that the hotspot criterion considering all material of 150 K maximum temperature in the WP could be satisfied under certain conditions (e.g. considering heat diffusion effects inside the WP[16]), however further consolidations of the assumptions are expected in the future to more robustly qualify any conclusions on this point.



Fig.2. Illustration of T_{MARG} variation with time for WP#3 in burn conditions. The impact of casing cooling is evaluated in a parametric approach.

The above thermohydraulic studies, showing mitigated conclusions and raising some issues had to be complemented by mechanical analyses to get a full picture of the WP performances from simulations.

C. Mechanical analyses

Similarly to the thermohydraulic analyses, the mechanical analyses were focused on the TF system. Since both PF scenarii and structure concepts were not mature enough, the study was confined to the load scenario including cool-down and in-plane forces. The analysis approach is based on a first step with a global model using smeared WP properties (see e.g. Fig. 3) followed by a detailed stress map reconstruction through consideration of ad-hoc critical paths on the mesh.



Fig.3 (left) Smeared model used for the two innermost layers of WP#1. (right) Output of the global model for WP#2, showing where a local analysis is carried out to evaluate maximum stress.

> 40rCB07<

Evaluation was conducted according to agreed criteria on primary, primary + bending, or shear stress maximum values [18]. Further to the reference case, the effect of friction was investigated through a parametric approach, providing a deeper view on possible sources of mitigation.

The main outcomes of the TF structural analyses [19][20] draw the following conclusions:

- The behaviours of the three WPs are varied, consistently with their differences in geometry and in material distribution (steel, insulation).
- Depending on the criterion, the ranking of sensitivity to load between WPs can change, likely due to detailed WP features (jacket corners, jacket alignment, etc.) that can influence e.g. local bending effects.
- On average, the most resilient is WP#1, with cumulated benefits of highest steel proportion derived from graded architecture and react & wind approach while WP#3 shows the highest sensitivity to mechanical load, for inverse reasons (pancake + wind & react approaches).
- For all WP options at least one mechanical design criterion is found violated in the WP, showing the need for all concepts to be further improved, or for more space to be allocated to the WP.
- Aside from the WP, volumetric weaknesses were also found in the casing on both inboard and outboard legs.

As a consequence of the above studies, a dedicated mechanically-oriented macroscopic design tool was developed, derived from a semi-analytical approach based on fundamental principles [21]. It was first used to check tentative optimisations of WP designs and led to identical conclusions on mechanical insufficiencies. In parallel, detailed analyses also assessed the outputs sensitivity to local geometry parameters.

Finally, this work demonstrated that in the EU DEMO1 configuration considered, the radial build space allocated to the TF coil was insufficient and, in general, brought the issue of the mechanics to the forefront in the system-level conceptual design approach.

III. MAGNET AUXILIARY SYSTEMS

Preliminary assessments of the cryogenic plant and quench protection system (QPS) were conducted, drawing some initial reflections. As a summary:

- The QPS study [22] allowed to steer the future work towards two main QPS options: one similar to ITER and a more hybridised system as used in JT-60SA [23].
- Regarding the cryoplant, the work [24] consisted of an initial parametric study, which made emerge a methodology and a tool to be further improved for complementing the magnet design loop.

In both the above systems, subsequent analyses are expected to include fabrication considerations and some feedback to magnet designers.

IV. LTS CONDUCTOR R&D

The 2014-2015 R&D activity was focused on TF system and investigated both strand and conductor levels. The main scope was to build two conductor samples (SULTAN-type) relevant to the WP#1 and WP#2 concepts (respectively RW1 and WR1, named after their manufacturing route) in order to investigate the feasibility aspects of the concepts in question.

A. TF strand

A batch of 200 kg of Nb₃Sn strand was provided by WST (CN) in 2014, split into two strands diameter. The production was extensively tested in bath (production-like) conditions at SPC [25] and for one diameter in a broad operation domain (variable field, strain, and temperature) at the Univ. of Twente [26]. It showed a constant electro-mechanical behavior against past WST production at lower diameters. This database will be of importance when conductor samples tests are analyzed.

B. TF conductor

The design of the two conductor samples essentially derive from the past work on DEMO conductor [2], including further TABLE I

DEMO IF SAMPLES MAIN SPECIFICATIONS		
Parameter	RW1 sample	WR1 sample
	(React & Wind)	(Wind & React)
Pattern	(1Cu+6+12)x17	4[2]x3x3x4x(5+core1 [spiral]+core2)
Strand (mm)	1.5 mm	1 mm
Twist pitches (mm)	90/350	110/125 /145/175/500
Cable dimensions (mm)	68.5x 17.8	66.8 x 25
Void fraction	19%	25-27%

modifications related to e.g. feasibility constraints. The samples design characteristics can be seen in Table I.

Regarding the RW1 sample, the design concept is the replacement of the flat profile two-channelled jacket by a single-wall tube in order to simplify the fabrication. Furthermore, a dedicated welding R&D program on short jacket lengths was successfully carried out on a remaining cable length, leading to some shape optimization with respect to the initial design.

The WR1 sample conversly underwent a major change in design, as the first dummy prototypes showed serious structural integrity issues for the central channel. As a consequence, the WR1 design now incorporates distributed cooling channels in two of the six petals, and a central copper core (more on updated conductor design features in [17]), in analogy to the Korean DEMO approach [27].

From a fabrication perspective, the RW1 short lengths were completed (see Fig. 4) following a substantial internal R&D stage with a few non-conformities, which are expected to have negligible impact on the DC performances. Regarding the WR1 sample (Fig. 4), the conductor was completed without non-conformities and the sample assembly is underway and should be completed within 2015.



Fig.4 Cross-sections of the two samples: $\overline{R}W1$ (left) as prepared for jacketing tests, and WR1 sample (right). The WR1 copper cores and spirals-in-petals (6.6 mm diameter before compaction) are clearly visible.

The RW1 was assembled at CRPP and the electrical tests were conducted in EDIPO in July 2015, leading to valuable

conclusive assessments on the RW1 behaviour [28]. For the WR1 the likely test period is foreseen for early 2016, with associated analyses. The R&D activities were also complemented by preparation of the non-destructive tomographic examination set-up [29] in conditions relevant to thick jacket samples to be used in 2016.

Overall, the 2014-2015 R&D activities generated insight on the TF conductor samples performances but also valuable industrial feedback on manufacturing feasibility, that was compiled in the design process. These studies will be further complemented in 2016 with wider considerations at the full TF coil scale (winding process, joints etc...).

V. INVESTIGATIONS ON HTS TECHNOLOGY

Alongside the previously mentioned DEMO power plant magnet dimensioning activities, investigations were conducted on the possible use of HTS material for fusion magnets.

Firstly, an experimental campaign was applied on commercial tapes aiming at evaluating their baseline performances and in addition their resilience to irradiation [30]. Many laboratories of the project team were involved in these activities and provided valuable information to identify promising commercial tapes for future samples.

Additionally, important R&D activities were conducted to tentatively qualify feasibility aspects of HTS cable concepts, e.g. Roebel Assembled Coated Conductor (RACC), Rutherford cable with RACC strands and Conductor On Round Core (CORC). The RACC cable was manufactured by KIT after an intensive internal development programme, addressing e.g. forming and assembly. A subsize sample is shown in Fig. 5 prepared with mechanical jacket to balance Lorentz Forces.

Two RACC cable samples were tested and showed promising results, with a negligible I_C degradation versus a single tape [31]. A motivation for a new programme are internal results of KIT on cables with up to 31 strands instead of 10 with full width (RACC) and promising current capabilities [32] which prove the scale-up potential of this type of cable concept. Besides, promising results are obtained for a Rutherford cable equipped with Roebel strands.

The CORC cable (managed in commercial context by ACT) was designed and manufactured internally at IEE, together with an extensive test campaign on the associated tapes. The cable was tested in 2015 with no evident issues, but the data are still being analysed, the final results being subject of a future communication.

Globally the R&D phase showed that the two investigated types of HTS cable concept could be attractive for use in fusion applications and investigations will be continued. It should be noted that this might include alternative concepts that showed attractive potentialities for further use in fusion, like stacked cables (manufactured within an SPC R&D program [33]) or other types recently developed ([34][35]).

A conceptual design activity [36] proposed a TF inner leg design with HTS material, showing attractive aspects, namely a secured temperature margin well above 10 K.

Furthermore modelling work was advanced, with a particular focus on the cable concepts previously mentioned,

aiming to provide robust tools for the interpretation of test results. Tentative validation of electro-mechanic models was also carried out [37] in the aim to be used in the near future as predictive tools and to facilitate decision-taking on technological choices.



Fig.5. Picture of assembled RACC subsize cable sample with reinforcing jacket for FBI test facility.

VI. CONCLUSION-PERSPECTIVES

The EU DEMO1 TF magnet dimensioning was carried out on an updated radial build for three different LTS WP concepts proposed by the EU team. The extensive evaluation of these concepts in thermohydraulics and mechanics revealed design criteria deviations on many aspects and clearly highlighted the importance of the space allocation to TF coil at plant level. Following the analyses on the TF coil, ad-hoc tools and associated methodologies were developed [21][38] in order to efficiently address future configuration changes. The tools and methodologies have been applied for an initial assessment of the newly established 2015 TF baseline [39][6], the outcomes of which need further refinement. More generally, the tools and methods will be further upgraded, in the view of designing the updated TF system and also the CS and PF systems in the near future (CS studies having been started [39]). LTS DEMO R&D showed good achievements: one TF sample manufactured and tested and a second one almost completed and an extensive strand characterization. Further work includes the electric test of the second TF sample, but also wider investigations on both samples (hydraulics, electro-mechanics, tomography, etc.).

Concerning investigations on HTS, extensive irradiations and tests on commercial tapes were conducted and two midsize cables samples were manufactured, exploring the potential of RACC and CORC technologies. The test results were satisfactory and should be continued in the next years, with the overall goal of identifying the best cable concept for fusion magnets and possibly aim to demonstrate winding of a HTS cable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement n°633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

REFERENCES

- F. Romanelli *et al.*, "Fusion electricity. A roadmap to the realisation of fusion energy": <u>https://www.euro-fusion.org/wpcms/wp-</u> content/uploads/2013/01/JG12.356-web.pdf,
- [2] P. Bruzzone et al., "Design of Large Size, Force Flow Superconductors for DEMO TF Coils," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 24, no. 3, Art. ID. 4201504 (2014)
- [3] DEMO 1 CAD 2014, https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2ASSGL
- [4] DEMO 1 PROCESS configuration output, 2012, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LQT74</u>
- [5] L. Zani et al., "Setting common operating values, analysis tools, and criteria for DEMO TF WP design, 2014, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LNLLB</u>
- [6] A. Torre *et al.*, "Design tools developed at CEA for large fusion toroidal field magnets", *presented at MT 24 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond (2016)*
- B. Lacroix *et al.*, "Proposal of scaling laws for thermal-hydraulic analyses in burn conditions", 2014, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LCLKZ</u>
- [8] K. Sedlak et al., "Proposal of scaling laws for thermal-hydraulic analyses in quench conditions", 2014, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2M6DW4</u>
- [9] R. Zanino *et al.*, "Assess thermal-hydraulic properties of WP#2 with 4C & code comparison", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2L7TV3</u>
- [10] K. Sedlak et al., "Thermohydraulic analysis of TF winding pack 1 (WP#1) option and "CRPP" LTS cable"., 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MENCP</u>
- [11] A. Torre et al. "Magnetic Field Maps Calculations at CEA with TRAPS code", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LDQP8</u>
- [12] L. Zani *et al*, "Advanced definition of neutronic heat load density map on DEMO TF coils", 2014, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MFVCA</u>
- [13] F. Nunio, "Thermal analyses on TF system", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LJ8AK</u>)
- [14] M. Lewandowska et al., "Thermal-hydraulic Analysis of the Low Tc Superconductor (LTS) Winding Pack Design Concepts for the DEMO Toroidal Field (TF) Coil", presented at MT 24 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [15] R. Vallcorba et al, "Thermo-hydraulic analyses associated to a CEA design proposal for DEMO TF conductor", presented at CHATS 2015 conference, to be published in Cryogenics (2016)
- [16] L. Savoldi et al.,, "Quench Propagation in the European DEMO TF Coil Winding Pack: a First Analysis with the 4C Code", presented at SOFE 2015, to be published in IEEE Trans. Plasma Science (2016)
- [17] R. Zanino et al., "Development of a Comprehensive Thermal-Hydraulic Model for the European DEMO TF Coils", presented at EUCAS 2015 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [18] F. Nunio et al., "Reference basis for mechanical & thermal analysis of TFC", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MC8T4</u>
- [19] A. Panin, "TF system under cool-down and in-plane EM loading", 2015, https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2ANVM7
- [20] M.E. Biancolini et al., "Mechanical analysis of TF system", 2015, https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MBVLN
- [21] A. Panin et al., "Analysis approaches to resolve structural issues of the European DEMO Toroidal Field Coil system at an early design stage," presented at MT24 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.(2016)
- [22] E. Gaio et al., "QPS studies on TF system", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LMS9X</u>
- [23] A. Maistrello *et al.*, "Experimental Qualification of the Hybrid Circuit Breaker Developed for JT-60SA Quench Protection Circuit", *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond*, Vol. 24, No. 3 p.3801505 (2014).
- [24] C. Hoa et al, "Cryogenic studies on TF system", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LNS32</u>
- [25] P. Bruzzone et al., "Fabrication of two short length TF conductor sections, RW1 and WR1", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MDFPU</u>
- [26] A. Nijhuis et al., "TF conductor samples strand thermo mechanical critical performances tests", 2015, <u>https://idm.euro-</u> fusion.org/?uid=2MBSFK
- [27] K. Kim *et al.*, "Conceptual design study of the K-DEMO magnet system", Fus. Engin. Design, 2015, in press
- [28] P. Bruzzone et al., "Design, Manufacture and Test of a 82 kA, React&Wind TF Conductor for DEMO", presented at MT24 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)

- [29] I. Tiseanu et al. "Tomographic examination of a DEMO TF conductorrelevant sample",2015, https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2LJSE8
- [30] D. X. Fischer *et al.* "Effects of fast neutron irradiation on the critical currents in GdBCO coated conductor tapes", *presented at HTS4fusion* 2015 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [31] C.M. Bayer et al., "Mechanical Stabilisation for RACC Cables in High Magnetic Background Fields", to be published in Supercond. Sci. Tech. (2016)
- [32] F. Grilli et al., "Estimation of self-field critical current and transportmagnetization AC losses of Roebel cables", presented at MT24 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [33] D. Uglietti et al., "Test of a prototype large current HTS cable for Fusion", presented at HTS4fusion 2015 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [34] A. Augieri et al., "HTS slotted core Cable-In-Conduit-Conductor for high current-high magnetic field applications", presented at HTS4fusion 2015 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [35] N. Yanagi, "100-kA HTS STARS Conductor for the Helical Fusion Reactor", presented at MT 24 to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [36] R. Heller et al., "Conceptual Design Improvement of a Toroidal Field Coil for EU DEMO using High Temperature Superconductors", presented at MT24 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)
- [37] K. Ilin *et al.*, 'Experiments and FE modeling of stress-strain state in ReBCO tape under tensile, torsional and transverse load', *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 28 (2015) 055006
- [38] M.E. Biancolini *et al.*, "A new meshless approach to map electromagnetic loads for FEM analysis on DEMO TF coil system", *Fus. Eng. Des.* 100, 226-238 (2015)
- [39] R. Wesche et al., Winding Pack Proposal for the TF and CS Coils of European DEMO, presented at EUCAS 2015 conference, to be published in IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (2016)