

EUROFUSION CP(15)05/35

A. Fil et al.

Modelling of Disruption Mitigation by Massive Gas Injection in JET with JOREK and IMAGINE

(22nd June 2015 – 26th June 2015) Lisbon, Portugal



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EURO/tison Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinione expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. "This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the clear understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org".

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK or e-mail Publications.Officer@euro-fusion.org".

The contents of this preprint and all other EUROfusion Preprints, Reports and Conference Papers are available to view online free at http://www.euro-fusionscipub.org. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. In the JET specific papers the diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked.

Modeling of disruption mitigation by massive gas injection in JET with JOREK and IMAGINE

 <u>A. Fil</u>¹, E. Nardon¹, M. Hoelzl², G.T.A Huijsmans³, F. Orain², M. Becoulet¹, P. Beyer⁴, G. Dif-Pradalier¹, R. Guirlet¹, H.R. Koslowski⁵, M. Lehnen³, J. Morales⁷, S. Pamela⁶, C. Reux¹, F. Saint-Laurent¹, C. Sommariva¹ and JET contributors^{6,*} ¹CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France
 ² Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching b. M., Germany ³ITER Organization, Route de Vinon sur Verdon, 13115 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France
 ⁴Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, PIIM UMR 7345, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France
 ⁵Forschungszentrum Juelich GmbH, Institute of Energy and Climate Research Plasma Physics (IEK-4), Trilateral Euregio Cluster, 52425 Juelich, Germany
 ⁶EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK
 ⁷Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
 *See the Appendix of F. Romanelli et al., Proceedings of the 25th IAEA Fusion Energy

Conference 2014, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Introduction

A Disruption Mitigation System (DMS) is mandatory in ITER in order to reduce electromagnetic forces, mitigate heat loads and avoid Runaway Electrons (RE) [1]. These combined objectives make the design of the DMS a complex and challenging task, for which substantial input from both experiments and modeling is needed. We present here modeling results on disruption mitigation by Massive Gas Injection (MGI), which is one of the main methods considered for the DMS of ITER.

This article is divided as follows: the first part is devoted to the study of the gas penetration into the plasma with the first-principle based 1D code IMAGINE. The second part presents simulations of MGI-triggered disruptions in JET with the 3D non-linear MHD code JOREK.

First principle modeling of neutral gas penetration during massive gas injection

IMAGINE is a 1D code in the radial direction which includes a complete model of atomic physics with ADAS coefficients. Neutral transport is convective, in agreement with first principles. The equations for a deuterium MGI derive from the mass, momentum and energy conservation, with sources taking atomic processes into account:

$$\partial_t n_e = n_e n_0 I - n_e^2 R + \partial_x (D \partial_x n_e) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{3}{2}n_e\partial_t T_e = -n_e n_0 I(E_{ion} + \frac{3}{2}T_e) - n_e n_0 L + \partial_x (\chi n_e \partial_x T_e) - \frac{3}{2}T_e \partial_x (D\partial_x n_e)$$
(2)

$$\frac{3}{2}n_e\partial_t T_i = -\frac{3}{2}n_e(I + \langle \sigma v \rangle_{cx})(n_0T_i - P_0/e) + \partial_x(\chi n_e\partial_x T_i) - \frac{3}{2}T_i\partial_x(D\partial_x n_e)$$
(3)

$$\partial_t n_0 = -\partial_x (n_0 V_0) - n_e n_0 I + n_e^2 R \tag{4}$$

$$m_0 n_0 \partial_t V_0 = -m_0 n_0 V_0 \partial_x V_0 - \partial_x P_0 - m_0 (n_0 n_e < \sigma v >_{cx} + n_e^2 R) V_0$$
(5)

$$\partial_t P_0 = -V_0 \partial_x P_0 - \gamma P_0 \partial_x V_0 + n_e < \sigma v >_{cx} (en_0 T_i - P_0) - n_e I P_0 + n_e^2 ReT_i$$
(6)

 n_e and T_e are the electron density and temperature (in eV) of the plasma, T_i is the ion temperature (in eV) of the plasma, n_0 is the neutral density, P_0 and V_0 are the pressure and radial velocity of the neutrals. We simulate the JET shot 86887, starting from experimental (n_e, T_e) plasma profiles and a realistic initial number of particles in the gas reservoir. In the simulation domain, the vacuum injection tube which links the gas reservoir and the plasma edge is also included. First, a rarefaction wave is propagating in the injection tube and we obtain the same gas flow as in laboratory experiments [2]. After 0.9 ms, the neutral gas reaches the plasma edge and starts penetrating into the plasma. Figure 1 presents the evolution of the electron temperature profile without (left) and with (right) the inclusion of charge-exchange. The slower gas penetration in the latter case is attributed to the very fast charge-exchange heating of the neutrals, which creates a shock wave slowing down the gas (see Figure 2 which presents the evolution of the neutrals, which neutral density profile). It is thus found that the energy transfer by charge-exchange plays a major role in the gas neutration into the plasma and is a key ingredient to recover a realistic pre-TQ time as well as a realistic increase of the plasma density.



Figure 1: Electron temperature evolution, left/right: without/with energy transfer by charge-exchange



Figure 2: Neutral density at different time steps for the simulation including energy transfer by chargeexchange

3D non-linear MHD modeling of MGI-triggered disruptions

We now present simulations with the 3D non-linear MHD code JOREK. The code calculates the evolution of the neutral and plasma densities, plasma temperature, plasma velocity and electromagnetic field, giving access to key quantities which are not directly measurable, e.g. the toroidal electric field responsible for the creation of REs. The aim at present is to validate the code, for which purpose we simulate a relatively simple case: MGI of pure D_2 during the same recent JET shot (86887) as simulated with IMAGINE. The appropriate atomic physics for a D_2 MGI (see equations below) is included but the modeling of the gas injection and the transport of neutrals is simplified compared to IMAGINE. The MGI is treated as a volumetric source term S_n which is calibrated so as to match the experimental density measurements using synthetic interferometers.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{\nu}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (D_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\perp} \rho + D_{\parallel} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\parallel} \rho) + \rho \rho_n S_{ion}(T) - \rho^2 \alpha_{rec}(T)$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial(\rho T)}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla(\rho T) - \gamma \rho T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} T + \kappa_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} T) + \frac{2}{3R^2} \eta_{\text{Spitzer}}(T) j^2 -\xi_{ion} \rho \rho_n S_{ion}(T) - \rho \rho_n L_{lines}(T) - \rho^2 L_{brem}(T)$$
(8)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D}_n : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho_n) - \rho \rho_n S_{ion}(T) + \rho^2 \alpha_{rec}(T) + S_n$$
(9)

Other synthetic diagnostics have been implemented in JOREK and the results of the simulations are compared to the experiment in [4]. The MHD activity is dominated by internal kink modes and tearing modes (m/n = 2/1 and 3/2) and is qualitatively similar to the experiment. Compared to the results presented in [4], a much higher MHD activity is now observed due to the reduction of the hyperresistivity used in the simulations. In Figure 3, magnetic fluctuations are plotted and an important burst of MHD is observed. At the peak of MHD activity, small scale

structures of toroidal current density are observed (middle) and the Poincare plot (right) shows that the magnetic field is stochastic across the whole plasma (weakly stochastic in the core). The evolution of the electron temperature at the center is also plotted and shows that the temperature is still high (few hundreds of eV) after the MHD burst, even including a background of impurities, indicating that the simulations do not reproduce a complete thermal quench. This may come from a too low parallel heat conductivity in these simulations. This hypothesis is currently being tested.



Figure 3: Central electron temperature and magnetic fluctuations evolution (left). Poloidal cross-section of the toroidal current density (middle) and Poincare plot (right) at the peak of MHD activity.

Acknowledgement

We thank G. Pautasso and E. Fable for useful suggestions. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. A part of this work was carried out using the HELIOS supercomputer system (IFERC-CSC), Aomori, Japan, under the Broader Approach collaboration, implemented by Fusion for Energy and JAEA, and using the CURIE supercomputer, operated into the TGCC by CEA, France, in the framework of GENCI and PRACE projects. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission or the ITER Organization.

References

- [1] M. Lehnen et al., J. Nucl. Mater., (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2014.10.075
- [2] S.A. Bozhenkov, M. Lehnen, K.H. Finken, G. Bertschinger, H.R. Koslowski, D. Reiter, R.C. Wolf and TEX-TOR Team, Nucl. Fusion 51, 083033 (2011).
- [3] G.T.A. Huysmans and O. Czarny, Nucl. Fusion 47, 659 (2007).
- [4] A. Fil, E. Nardon et al., Physics of Plasmas, Accepted and published in July, (2015).