# Transport Analysis of High Performance JET Discharges in D, D-T and T Plasmas

B Balet, Y Baranov, R Budny<sup>1</sup>, G A Cottrell.

JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 3EA, <sup>1</sup>PPPL, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA.

"This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts may not be published prior to publication of the original, without the consent of the Publications Officer, JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3EA, UK".

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3EA".

The transport analysis code TRANSP has been used extensively to simulate the recent high performance JET discharges in deuterium, deuterium-tritium and tritium plasmas. High fusion performance is obtained in two types of regime: the hot ion ELM-free H-mode and the optimised shear L-mode. The time evolution of the main plasma parameters is shown in Fig. 1 for the best deuterium discharges obtained in the two regimes. The hot ion ELM-free H-mode, # 40305 (3.8MA / 3.4T plasma,  $P_{NBI} = 19$ MW,  $P_{RF} = 3$ MW) achieved a neutron yield of 5.2 x 10<sup>16</sup> neutrons/s. The performance is limited by a giant ELM which occurs when the edge pressure gradient is close to the ballooning limit [1]. The optimised shear L-mode, # 40554 (3.4MA / 3.4T plasma,  $P_{NBI} = 19$ MW,  $P_{RF} = 2$ MW), achieved a neutron yield of 5.6 x 10<sup>16</sup> neutrons/s. A fast current ramp (0.4MA/s), low power lower hybrid current drive (1-2MW) and ICRF pre-heating are used to control the current profile evolution [2]. This regime operates close to



Fig. 1: Time traces of the 3.8MA/3.4T hot ion H-mode #40305 and of the 3.4MA/3.4T optimised shear L-mode #40554.

the beta limit for most of the heating phase [3]. Fig. 2 compares the  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  profiles for both regimes at the time of maximum neutron yield: the central values of  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  reach similar values . For the optimised shear pulse, the profiles of  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  show steep gradients for a normalised radius  $\rho = 0.4 - 0.6$ ; this feature is also seen in the electron density and the toroidal velocity profiles. For the hot ion H-mode pulse, all profiles have high edge values typical of the H-mode regime and the electron density profile is very flat. The toroidal angular velocity is higher for the hot ion H-mode pulse.



Fig. 2: T<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>i</sub> profiles for both pulses.

### **ION POWER BALANCE**

The ion channel is the main loss channel in both cases with nearly 90% of the absorbed fast ion heating going to the ions. For the hot ion H-mode, the conduction loss is dominant through the whole plasma column. The NBI ion heating profile is rather broad as only 27% of it is absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$ . By contrast, the RF ion heating is more peaked with 65% being absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$ . For the optimised shear pulse, the convection and equipartition terms are the dominant losses in the centre ( $\rho < 0.3$ ). The conduction loss is the main loss for  $\rho > 0.4$ . By contrast with the hot ion H-mode case, the ion heating profiles are much more peaked with 59% of NBI and 73% of the RF heating being absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$  (see Table 1).

Table I	PULSE # 40305		PULSE # 40554	
P (MW) to	$\rho = 1/3$	ρ = 1.0	$\rho = 1/3$	$\rho = 1.0$
P <sub>NBI</sub>	3.5	13.1	7.5	12.8
P <sub>RF</sub>	1.3	2.0	0.8	1.1

## **ELECTRON POWER BALANCE**

For the hot ion H-mode, the conduction and the electron gain dWe/dt are the main losses. The equipartition term contributes ~2MW to the electron heating. The NBI electron heating profile is even broader than the ion one; only 12% is absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$ . The RF electron heating is more peaked with 51% being absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$ . For the optimised shear pulse, the conduction loss shows an amplitude similar to the losses by convection or electron gain dWe/dt for  $\rho < 0.5$ ; it becomes dominant in the outer part of the plasma. As for the ions, the heating profiles are more peaked than in the hot ion H-mode case with 32% of NBI and 79% of the RF heating being absorbed within  $\rho < 1/3$ . The ohmic power is displaced to the plasma periphery (see Table 2).

Table 2	PULSE # 40305		PULSE # 40554	
P (MW) to	$\rho = 1/3$	ρ = 1.0	$\rho = 1/3$	$\rho = 1.0$
P <sub>NBI</sub>	0.21	1.75	0.51	1.61
P <sub>RF</sub>	0.7	1.36	0.85	1.07
P <sub>OH</sub>	0.07	0.23	0.001	0.4

#### ION AND ELECTRON HEAT CONDUCTIVITIES

For both pulses, the central ion heat conductivities are small (  $\chi_i < 1 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  for  $\rho < 0.4$  ) at the time of maximum neutron yield. This values are very close to the neoclassical ones for the optimised shear case (less than a factor 3 higher) whereas  $\chi_i/\chi_i^{\text{neo}}$  varies between 5 and 15 for the hot ion H-mode case. In the outer part of the plasma,  $\chi_i$  remains low for the hot ion H-mode whereas it reaches higher values, characteristic of L-mode behaviour, for the optimised shear case (see Fig. 3). The electron heat conductivities  $\chi_e$  are less certain due to the low power flow through that channel and



Fig. 3:  $\chi_{l}, \chi_{i}^{neo}$  profiles for both pulses.

to losses of similar amplitude. However,  $\chi_e$  profiles show the same trend as  $\chi_i$ : low in the centre for both pulses, remaining low through the whole plasma for the hot ion H-mode but increasing near the edge for the optimised shear case. The time evolution of  $\chi_i$  at different normalised radius  $\rho$  is shown for both pulses in Fig. 4. For the hot ion H-mode case,  $\chi_i$  decreases through the whole plasma column at the formation of the H-mode and the loss of confinement seems to first occur in the centre. For the optimised shear case, a broadening of the good confinement region is observed after formation of the internal transport barrier (t ~ 5.6s); the transition to H-mode at t ~ 7.2s is marked by a drop in the edge  $\chi_i$ .



*Fig. 4: Time evolution of*  $\chi_l$  *at different normalised radius*  $\rho$  *for both pulses.* 

#### HEAT TRANSPORT IN DT PLASMAS

For the hot ion ELM-free H-mode regime a full DT mixture scan from pure D to nearly pure T was completed at a fixed power level of  $P_{NBI} \sim 10$  MW. The TRANSP analysis, which includes the effects of alpha particle heating, shows that the heat conductivities depend only very weakly on mass [4]. The analysis of the 50:50 DT pulse # 42676, similar to the DD pulse # 40305 discussed earlier, reinforces this result. Fig. 5 shows the ratio of the effective heat conductivities  $\chi_{eff}$  DD /  $\chi_{eff}$  DT for these pulses: the heat transport appears to be mainly Bohm-like, tending to Gyro-Bohm near the centre. For the optimised shear regime in DT



Fig. 5  $\chi_{eff}^{DD}/\chi_{eff}^{DT}$  versus normalised radius  $\rho$  for the hot ion H-mode pulses

plasmas, the scenario had to be modified compared to DD operation because of the lower Hmode threshold power and the different current profile in DT [5]. However, an internal transport barrier with an L-mode edge followed by an ELM-free H-mode has been obtained. The heat transport of such DT pulse is the same as in the DD case discussed above, in particular the central ion heat conductivity  $\chi_i$  is close to its neoclassical value.

#### CONCLUSION

The two high performance regimes show similar low central ion heat conductivity close to their neoclassical values. For the hot ion H-mode case the conductivities remain low throughout the plasma column whereas they reach higher values characteristic of L-mode behaviour for the optimised shear case. These features still stand in DT plasmas where no strong isotope effects on heat transport are observed.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- [1] M.F. Nave et al, Nucl. Fus. 37, 1067 (1997)
- [2] G.A. Cottrell et al, Proc. of the 25th EPS Conference, Prague (1998)
- [3] G. Huysmans et al, Proc. of the 24th EPS Conference, Berchtesgaden (1997)
- [4] P.R. Thomas et al, Phys. Rev. Letter to be published.
- [5] C. Gormezano et al, Phys. Rev. Letter to be published.