

EFDA-JET-PR(03)41

G. Saibene, T. Hatae, D.J. Campbell, J.C. Cordey, E. de la Luna, C. Giraud,
K. Guenther, Y. Kamada, M.A.H. Kempenaars, A. Loarte, J. Lönnroth,
D. McDonald, M.F. Nave, N. Oyama, V.V. Parail, R. Sartori, J. Stober,
T. Suzuki, M. Takechi, K. Toi and JET-EFDA contributors

Dimensionless Pedestal Identity Experiments in JT-60U and JET in ELMy H-mode Plasmas

Dimensionless Pedestal Identity Experiments in JT-60U and JET in ELMy H-mode Plasmas

G. Saibene¹, T. Hatae², D.J. Campbell¹, J.C. Cordey³, E. de la Luna⁴, C. Giraud³, K. Guenther³, Y. Kamada², M.A.H. Kempenaars⁵, A. Loarte¹, J. Lönnroth⁶, D. McDonald³, M.F. Nave⁷, N. Oyama², V.V. Parail³, R. Sartori¹, J. Stober⁸, T. Suzuki², M. Takechi², K. Toi⁹ and JET-EFDA contributors*

¹EFDA Close Support Unit - Garching 2 Boltzmannstrasse, 85748 Garching, Germany
 ²Naka Fusion Establishment, JAERI, Ibaraki, Japan
 ³Association Euratom/UKAEA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3EA, UK
 ⁴CIEMAT-Euratom Association, Av Complutense 22, E28040 Madrid, Spain
 ⁵FOM-Rijnhuizen, Association Euratom-FOM, TEC, PO Box 1207, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands
 ⁶Association Euratom-Tekes, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland
 ⁷Centro de Fusao Nuclear, Euratom-IST Association, Av Rovisco Pais, Lisbon, Portugal
 ⁸Association Euratom/IPP, MPI fur Plasmaphysik, 2 Boltzmannstrasse, Garching, Germany
 ⁹National Institute for Fusion Science, Gifu, Japan
 * See annex of J. Pamela et al, "Overview of Recent JET Results and Future Perspectives", Fusion Energy 2000 (Proc. 18th Int. Conf. Sorrento, 2000), IAEA, Vienna (2001).

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion "This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

ABSTRACT.

Advanced tokamak regimes obtained in ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra experiments are assessed both in terms of their fusion performance and capability for ultimately reaching steady-state using data from the international Internal Transport Barrier (ITB) database. These advanced modes of tokamak operation are characterised by an improved core confinement and a modified current profile compared to the relaxed ohmically driven one. The present results obtained in these experiments are studied in view of their prospect for achieving either long pulses ('hybrid' scenario with inductive and non-inductive current drive) or ultimately steady-state purely non-inductive current drive operation in next step devices such as ITER. A new operational diagram for advanced tokamak operation is proposed where the figure of merit characterising the fusion performances and confinement, $Hx\beta_N/q_{95}^2$ is drawn versus the fraction of the plasma current driven by the bootstrap effect In this diagram, present day advanced tokamak regimes have now reached an operational domain that is required in the non-inductive ITER current drive operation with typically 50% of the plasma current driven by the bootstrap effect (Green et al 2003). In addition, the existence domain of the advanced mode regimes is also mapped in terms of dimensionless plasmas physics quantities such as normalised Larmor radius, normalised collisionality, Mach number and ratio of ion to electron temperature. The gap between present day and future advanced tokamak experiments is quantitatively assessed in terms of these dimensionless parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

High thermonuclear fusion yield operating scenario foreseen in next step tokamaks such as ITER relies mainly on the plasmas performances presently achieved in inductive current drive regime with an edge transport barrier (H-mode) for reducing the anomalous radial transport (Campbell 2001). This mode of operation, for which an extensive experimental database exists, is attractive for reaching high fusion power but is not foreseen to achieve a genuine steady-state where the plasma current is solely driven by non-inductive current drive means. In the last ten years, much effort and progress has been made by developing regimes that could lead to efficient and purely non-inductive current drive tokamak operation with a large fraction of the plasma current selfgenerated (pressure driven) by the neo-classical 'bootstrap' effect (e.g. Kikuchi 1990 et al). The performance of these non-inductive regimes is usually achieved by tailoring or controlling the shape of the current density profile leading to the formation of a core region with reduced anomalous radial transport (i.e; with improved core confinement) that could take the form (but not necessarily) of an Internal Transport Barrier, ITB. In this context, ITER is designed for both inductive and purely non-inductive current drive operation (Campbell 2001, Green et al 2003). In the inductive mode that solely relies on the edge transport barrier for improving the global plasma confinement properties, the safety factor profile (q-profile) has a standard monotonic ohmic shape with a minimum value, q_{min}, located on-axis that stays below unity. The expected performance is an improved confinement factor over standard L-mode prediction, H_I, of the order of 2 with a normalised toroidal

beta, $\beta_N \sim 2$, at high plasma current and low q at the plasma surface (H_Lx $\beta_N \sim 4$ at q₉₅~3). On the contrary, steady-state operation performed at lower plasma current $(q_{95} \sim 5)$ should be made compatible with a larger fraction of off-axis bootstrap current (typically above 50%). The rest of the current is driven with external non-inductive current drive means to reach full non inductive current drive operation. In this case, operation with non-monotonic q-profile (zero or negative magnetic shear in the core) is envisaged with typically q_{min} lying between 1.5 and 2.5. To compensate the reduction of plasma confinement linked to a lower plasma current for optimising the bootstrap current fraction, steady-state operation requires to further improve the confinement compared to the edge transport barrier with typically $H_L>3$ at $\beta_N>3$: $H_Lx\beta_N\sim9$ at $q_{95}\sim4-5$ is expected for this regime in ITER with 50% of bootstrap current. It should be pointed out that in an economical steady-state thermonuclear tokamak reactor the bootstrap current should be further optimised ($\sim 80\%$) to reduce the need for external non-inductive current drive sources. Finally, an intermediate step between these two extreme modes of tokamak operation is generally referred as the 'hybrid' scenario in which a large (but not the whole) fraction of the plasma current is non-inductively driven. Therefore, in this intermediate case the q-profile is monotonic and stays slightly above unity with a weak magnetic shear in the core. Fusion performance in the range of $H_L x \beta_N \sim 5$ at $q_{95} \sim 3-4$ is expected for this regime in ITER. With a total non-inductive current fraction of the order of 50%, the 'hybrid scenario' is envisaged to extend the pulse duration of the standard inductive H-mode regime.

If the inductive H-mode is now relatively well explored, an open issue is how the presently developed non-inductive current drive regimes ('hybrid and 'steady-state' regimes that refer as 'advanced tokamak' regimes thereafter in this paper) will extrapolate to next step thermonuclear burning plasmas experiments such as ITER. In this context, this paper will focus on the status and prospect of advanced tokamak regimes for future tokamak operation using multi-machine data from the international ITB database. The inter-machine database consists of both zero and onedimensional (radial profile) data obtained from many devices: ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U, RTP, T10, Tore Supra (Fukuda et al 2001). The international ITB database is constructed to address issues regarding the conditions required to form an ITB, the core confinement properties, and the assessment of the various transport models with plasma profiles from different machines. The international ITB database was initially set-up by T. Fukuda et al (2001) and the first studies on the power threshold scaling to trigger an ion or electron ITB were carried out by Sips et al (2002a). The crucial role played by the safety factor profile and the low magnetic shear to reduce the power requirement for ITB formation has been studied by Hoang et al (2002). Using data from the profile multi-machine database, P. Gohil et al (2003) has assessed various predictive transport models by comparing a pair of discharges from DIII-D, JET and JT-60U with respectively monotonic or nonmonotonic safety factor profiles. More recently, T. Fujita et al (2003) has investigated the critical values of the temperature scale length for the ITB formation in many devices also using the multimachine profile database. In this context, briefly summarised in this introduction, the international ITB database has been mainly used to study the ITB formation conditions. For the work described

in this paper, new entries have been added to the international database that consist of data taken during the well developed high performance phase discharges representing either the 'hybrid' or the steady-state scenarios as foreseen in the ITER non-inductive current drive operation.

After this introduction, the paper is divided in three main parts. In section 2, the devices, the set of experiments and the data that have been selected for this paper are presented in detail. Then, section 3 is devoted to the assessment of present day advanced tokamak experiments with common figures of merit using data from the multi-machine database. The presently achieved plasma fusion performances are analysed in view of their prospect for long pulse ('hybrid' regime) or fully non-inductive current drive steady-state operation. The progress of advanced tokamak regimes is assessed in a diagram where the figures of merit of the fusion performances are represented versus their capability for steady-state. The presently explored operational domain is systematically compared to the required range of plasma parameters expected in next step experiments such as ITER.†The operational domains of the various devices are also mapped in terms of dimensionless plasmas parameters such as the normalised Larmor radius, normalised collisionality, Mach number, and the ratio of ion to electron temperature. Finally, in the last section (section 4) a conclusion is proposed on the basis of the reported analysis by highlighting unexplored or not well explored plasma domains where experimental efforts could be made to reduce the uncertainties when extrapolating the present day advanced regimes to next step experiments.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE GLOBAL DATABASE FOR ADVANCED TOKAMAK REGIMES

To assess the plasma performances of the various advanced regimes obtained in present day tokamaks experiments, the international zero-dimensional (0-D) ITB database has been recently updated in September 2003 with data taken during the well developed high performance phase of discharges with improved core confinement. Indeed, previous studies using the multi-machine ITB 0-D database were more focused on the definition of a scaling law for the power threshold to trigger an ITB in either the electron or ion channels (e.g. Fukuda et al 2001, Sips et al 2002a). For this research activity, the data previously used were selected prior to or just at the ITB onset time, i.e. in the prelude phase of the scenario with reduced fusion performance. On the contrary, for the analyses reported in this paper, the values of the plasma parameters have been taken during the high fusion performance phase of the corresponding discharge: at the time when the neutron yield reaches its maximum value. Only one time slice has been selected for each discharge. In addition, we have included in the international ITB database not only the transient high performance, high current ITB discharges but also the non-inductive current drive regimes, i.e. both the 'hybrid' and the steady-state scenarios. As discussed in the introduction, the 'hybrid' regime is considered as an intermediate step between the standard inductive H-mode of operation and the purely non-inductive current drive scenario. Improved core confinement with an ITB and neoclassical core transport is not necessarily obtained in the 'hybrid' regime characterised by a q-profile that is flat and slightly

above unity in the plasma center to avoid the sawtooth activity. Therefore, the database used for the present analysis encompasses a larger range of advanced tokamak regimes than previously selected for studying the conditions for ITB formation. This choice to include in the international database data from both the 'hybrid' and the highly non-inductive current drive ('steady-state') regimes is motivated by the fact that these operation modes are foreseen as two promising potential 'advanced' scenarios for the next step tokamak device such as ITER (Campbell 2001, Green et al 2003). Global plasmas performances of the advanced modes of operation have been selected from experiments carried out in ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and TORE SUPRA. For each of these tokamaks, Table 1 provides an overview of the number of discharges and the regimes that have been selected together with the corresponding references. In addition to these specific references, it is worth noting that a description of the advanced tokamak mode of operation has been reviewed by Taylor (1997), Litaudon (1998), Gormezano (1999), Gohil (2002), Wolf (2003), Connor et al (2003) and BÈcoulet (2003) for the JET and Tore Supra experiments. For each device, the data selected at the time where maximum plasma performance is reached consist of the measurements characterising :

- (i) the standard magnetic configuration [R, the major radius; a, the minor radius; I_p the plasma current; B_o , the toroidal field on axis; q_{95} the safety factor at 95% of the poloidal flux; e, the elongation; δ , the triangularity];
- (ii) the global confinement time from the diamagnetic energy, $\tau_{\rm E}$;
- (iii) the plasmas profiles [the core and volume averaged electron temperature, T_{eo} and $\langle T_e \rangle$; the line and volume averaged electron density, n_{el} and $\langle n_e \rangle$; the central main ion density, n_{io} , the central ion temperature, T_{io} , and the toroidal rotation, $v_{\Phi o}$, of the Carbon impurity].

To assess the prospect of the regimes for long pulse operation, information on the duration of the high performance phase, τ_D , has been also included in the database. A common definition has been agreed among all experiments in the various devices: τ_D is defined as the duration where the plasma performance is maintained above 85% of the maximum stored energy. This definition provides in most cases an accurate characterisation of the duration of the high performance phase: excluding the duration of either the prelude phase of the scenario or any lower plasma performance phases after a back transition (MHD event, radiative collapses). In addition, the following normalised quantities have been calculated:

- (i) the normalised plasma pressure; the poloidal and toroidal beta are respectively defined as $\beta_p = 2\mu_o \langle p \rangle / B_p^2$ and $\beta_t = 2\mu_o \langle p \rangle / B_o^2$ where $\langle p \rangle$ is the volume averaged total (thermal and non-thermal) plasma pressure and B_p is the averaged poloidal magnetic field on the last closed magnetic flux surface;
- (ii) the normalised toroidal beta defined as $\beta_{\rm N} = \beta_{\rm t} \cdot (I_{\rm p}/aB_{\rm o})^{-1}$
- (iii) the (thermal and non-thermal) confinement time normalised to the non-thermal L-mode confinement time predicted by ITER-89P scaling law, H_{ITER-89P} (revised by Kaye et al 1997);

- (iv) the normalised ion Larmor radius at the sound speed $\rho^* = r_s/a$ calculated with the volume averaged electron temperature $[\rho_s = c_s/\omega_{ci} \propto T_e^{1/2} m_i^{1/2}/(ZaB_o)$ where c_s is the ion sound speed and ω_{ci} the ion cyclotron frequency];
- (v) the normalised collisionality, v_e^* , calculated with the volume averaged electron density and temperature [v_e^* is the effective collision frequency for the trapped particles normalised to their bounce frequency, $v_e^* \propto n_e q R/(\epsilon^{3/2} T_e^2)$ where $\epsilon = a/R$];
- (vi) and the normalised plasma toroidal rotation Mach number M_F , $M_F = V_{Fo}/c_s$;

The evaluation of the non-dimensional quantities as β_t , ρ^* , ν_e^* , M_{Φ} , T_i/T_e is crucial for comparing and extrapolating the present high performance regimes since they determine the behaviour of the key physics processes, such as stability, turbulent transport and neoclassical quantities (bootstrap). The definition of these quantities could also be found in the ITER physics basis document (ITER physics basis, 1999).

3. OPERATIONAL DOMAIN OF ADVANCED TOKAMAK REGIMES

This section, devoted to the assessment of the advanced tokamak regimes using data from the multi-machine international database, is divided in three main parts. In the first sub-section, the performances are studied from the perspective of possible steady-state operation, then the operational limits are discussed in the second sub-section. Finally, in the third part the operational domain of the advanced regimes is mapped in terms of their dimensionless parameters such as ρ^* , v_e^* to assess quantitatively the gap between present day and next step tokamak experiments.

3.1 FUSION PERFORMANCES FOR LONG PULSE AND STEADY-STATE OPERATION

The operational domain of advanced tokamak regimes achieved with monotonic or non-monotonic q-profiles is first assessed, both in terms of their fusion performance and capability for steady-state. A machine-size independent figure of merit for optimising the fusion power amplification factor, Q, and the fusion power density can be expressed as $(H_{ITER-89P}x\beta_N)/q^2_{95}$ (e.g. Wolf 2003 for a justification of this parameter). It is expected that the non-inductive long pulse regimes in ITER should reach $(H_{ITER-89P}x\beta_N)/q^2_{95} \sim 0.35-0.45$ in the 'hybrid' scenario (at $q_{95}\sim 3-4$) and 0.3-0.4 in fully non-inductive current drive conditions at higher q_{95} ~4-5 for a fusion power amplification factor, Q, around five (Green et al 2003). The figure of merit of the plasma fusion performance, $(H_{\text{ITER-89P}}x\beta_N)/q^2_{95}$, is first plotted on figure 1a versus the poloidal beta time the square root of the inverse aspect ratio, $\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_{\rm p}$. The fraction of self-generated bootstrap current to the total plasma current, I_{boot}/I_p , is proportional to $\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_p$. Efficient steady-state tokamak reactor operation will require optimising the bootstrap current fraction approaching unity so as to reduce the external sources of non-inductive current. Therefore, high fusion performances for steady-state operation require to simultaneously increase $(H_{ITER-80P} x \beta_N)/q_{95}^2$ and obtain high values of $\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_n$. Data from ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra have been selected and the dataset has been classified according to the range of q₉₅ values. In addition, the filled symbols on Figure 1a correspond

to the performances sustained for a duration larger than ten confinement times. This diagram could be interpreted by dividing it in three domains: (i) (the upper left corner) the high performance domain where a maximum value $(H_{ITER-89P}x\beta_N)/q_{95}^2 \sim 0.8$ has been reached (ASDEX Upgrade, JT-60U) but that could not be sustained in steady-state (low β_p), (ii) (the lower right corner) the very high β_p regimes that are generally obtained at low plasma current and consequently at reduced fusion performances, (iii) (diagonal) an intermediate domain where high performances have been obtained at moderate β_p and at q_{95} ~3.4-5. The expected domain of the steady-state Q~5 non-inductive regime in ITER ($\beta_p \sim 1.5$, $e^{1/2}\beta_p \sim 0.8$) is drawn for comparison and is lying in the so-called intermediate domain of operation. Figure 1a clearly indicates that $(H_{ITER-89P}x\beta_N)/q_{95}^2 \sim 0.3-0.4$ at β_p exceeding unity ($\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_p \sim 0.55$) have been reached and sustained for at least ten confinement time at q₉₅~3.4-5. This range of parameters is required for ensuring Q~5 steady-state regime in ITER with typically 50% of bootstrap current. In addition, for a reduced number of discharges the figures of merit for fusion performances have been directly plotted versus the actual bootstrap current fraction, I_{boot}/I_p as deduced from neo-classical calculation using the 1-D plasmas profiles (figure 1b). This graph was motivated by the fact that the proportional factor between I_{boot}/I_p and $\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_p$ is not constant and depends on the exact shape of plasmas profile (e.g. q-profile, existence of an ITB, etc). Therefore, the bootstrap current has been assessed using time dependent interpretative codes [ACCOME, ASTRA, CRONOS, TRANSP depending on the experiment] coupled to neo-classical modules that check the consistency of the various thermal profiles with the global integrated measurements (global energy content, neutron production etc...). Typically, $(H_{ITER-89P}x\beta_N)/$ $q_{95}^2 \sim 0.3$ -0.4 has been obtained with 50% of bootstrap current. From this database, operation at higher bootstrap current fraction (~80%) as ultimately required for efficient steady-state tokamak reactor operation, is presently obtained at the expense of a reduction of fusion plasma performances. The fusion plasma performances of each device, quantified by the (machine size dependent) triple fusion product, $n_{i0}T_{i0}T_{E}$, has been also plotted on Figure 2 versus the duration of the high performance phase, τ_d , normalised to the confinement time, τ_E . The triple fusion product is proportional to the fusion power density normalised to the plasma power losses. When evaluating the triple fusion product, n_{io} and T_{io} are, respectively, the core ion density (Deuterium) and ion temperature. The central Deuterium densities, nio have been calculated from the quasi-neutrality condition knowing the effective plasma charge, Z_{eff}, while assuming that carbon is the main impurity. For FT-U, n_{io} has been deduced from impurity transport code that takes into account the highly ionised stated of intrinsic metallic impurities such as Fe and Mo. The aim of this statistical analysis is more to evaluate the global trends rather than to discuss the exact value of a specific discharge. For reference, the expected operating domain for Q~5 non-inductive operation in ITER is also indicated (according to Green et al 2003). Figure 2 confirms the experimental difficulties in sustaining the highest fusion performance for long duration. A similar trend is observed in all the devices. This trend indicates that maintaining the plasma performances for a long duration requires operating the tokamak relatively far from its maximum operational limits (MHD limits, density limitsÖ).

3.2 OPERATIONAL LIMITS

One of the important physics issue when extrapolating present day advanced tokamak regimes to ITER non-inductive scenarios, is the possibility of sustaining improved confinement at 'high density'. 'High density' generally refers to densities approaching or exceeding the Greenwald density, nG $[n_G=I_p/(\pi a^2)$ where the units are respectively $10^{20}m^{-3}$, MA, m]. In this context, the improved confinement factors obtained in ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FTU, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra experiments have been plotted on Figure 3 either directly versus either (i) the line averaged electron density, n_{el} (fig.3 (left)), or (ii) the line averaged density normalised to the Greenwald density, n_{el}/n_G , (fig.3 (center)) and (iii) the normalised collisionality, v_e^* (fig.3 (right)) for various range of plasma triangularity. The expected operating domain for ITER Q~5 non-inductive current drive scenario is also indicated (Green et al 2003). On one hand, the 'high' confinement regimes (H_{ITER-89P}>2.5) have generally been obtained at n_{el}/n_{G} typically below 0.5-0.6 (or at n_{el} below 6-7x10¹⁹m⁻³) On the other hand, as it will be further discussed in the next section (section 3.3) it is worth stressing that these data have been obtained at low normalised collisionality ($v_e^* < 0.03$) approaching ITER collisionality regime (fig.3 (right)). At $n_{el}/n_{G} \sim 0.8-1$ (or at $n_{el} > 7 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$), improved confinement factors are mainly below two. Finally, it should be stressed that as in the standard inductive H-mode regime, the magnetic configuration at high triangularity seems more favourable for reaching high density plasmas at high confinement. This common trend is probably related to the fact that the advanced regimes obtained at high triangularity combined the confinement properties of the edge transport barrier with a core improvement. Using data in the international database, the relative contribution between the edge and the core confinement enhancement could not be separated. Nevertheless, the difficulties in simultaneously reaching high density with a good core confinement in the advanced regimes could be understood as follow. When increasing the density, the flexibility to form and sustain the optimised current density profile for confinement and stability is reduced: (i) faster resistive inwards diffusion (lower temperature) of the transient off-axis ohmic current induced during the current ramp-up phase of the discharge and (ii) lower level of non-inductively driven current by external means. Futhermore, the induced plasma rotation that has the potentiality to reduce the plasma turbulence through velocity shear is expected to be lower at higher density. Therefore, an important objective for future advanced tokamak experiments is to develop a route towards high confinement (H_{ITER-} _{89P}~3) at high density (e.g. Ide et al 2002, Frigione et al 2003).

Another major issue for the future of advanced tokamak regimes is to find an MHD stable route towards high normalised beta, β_N , operation to ensure fusion performance together with a large fraction of self-generated bootstrap current. The onset of MHD instabilities is well described in terms of a critical normalised beta. This critical values depend on the plasma pressure and current density profiles. For instance, in the advanced regimes the q-profile is maintained above unity to avoid the q=1 seed island that may trigger neoclassical tearing mode instabilities that ultimately reduce the maximum achievable β_N values for long pulse operation. By shaping or controlling the current density profiles β_N up 3.9 has been obtained in DIII-D and ASDEX Upgrade, and of the order of 3 on JT-60U and JET. Figures 4 summarises the performances achieved so far in term of normalised beta in an operational diagram using the multi-machine 0-D data. The β_N values of the advanced regimes from ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra have been plotted versus a quantity representing the (electron) pressure peaking factor defined as $(n_{eo}xT_{eo})/(n_{el}x\cdot T_e)$. The open symbols with a cross indicate that these data have been obtained in the†'hybrid' regime with monotonic q-profile (q on-axis, $q_o \sim 1-1.5$ and $q_{95} \leq 4.2$). Figure 4 shows that a broad pressure profile is favourable for raising β_N . This is consistent with the ideal pressure driven kink modes that are destabilised with highly peaked pressure profiles generally obtained with improved core confinement plasmas. In order to broaden the pressure profiles for stability reasons, it is necessary to form and sustain wide ITBs (or improved core confinement region) at large plasma radius that encompass a wide region with reduced anomalous transport. To further broaden the pressure profile, improved core confinement is usually combined with an edge transport barrier (H-mode edge) (Lazarus et al 1996).

3.3 OPERATION DOMAIN IN TERMS OF DIMENSIONLESS PARAMETERS

The existence domain of the present advanced mode of operation is also assessed using the dimensionless quantities such as the normalised Larmor radius, ρ^* , collisionality, ν_e^* , Mach number M_{Φ_i} and ratio of ion to electron temperature, T_i/T_e . These quantities govern the plasma physics properties, e.g. the neo-classical (bootstrap effect,...) and anomalous plasma radial transport. The domain of plasma operation in the next step devices like ITER will be at values of ρ^* , ν_{ρ}^* and Mach numbers, lower than the ones presently obtained, together with a ratio of $T_i/T_e \sim 1$. In ITER non-inductive current drive regime, it is expected to operate the plasmas with $\rho^* \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ and n_e^* ~ 2×10^{-2} . Plasmas with such low values of ρ^* and ν_e^* simultaneously could not been studied in present day experiments. An open issue is therefore to assess the sensitivity of the plasma properties of the advanced regimes when varying these normalised plasma quantities. In this context, it is worth pointing out that similarity experiments between ASDEX Upgrade and JET in the†'hybrid' scenario have been recently performed at different r* values (Joffrin et al 2002, Sips et al 2003). To map the operation domain of present day advanced tokamak regimes in terms of these dimensionless parameters, the normalised collisionalities, v_e^* , have been plotted versus the normalised Larmor radius, ρ^* on Figure 5. The filled symbols on Figure 5 correspond to high fusion performance discharges with the product $H_{ITER\text{-}89P}x\beta_N$ larger than four, i.e. above the standard inductive Hmode regime performance. As in the previous plots, the expected ranges of v_e^* and ρ^* values for the non-inductive current drive Q~5 ITER scenario have been highlighted in this diagram. In addition, the plasma fusion performances quantified either by the normalised beta, β_N or the figure of merit $H_{\text{ITER-89P}} \times \beta_N / q_{95}^2$ have been plotted versus ρ^* for various ranges of the collisionality parameters (Figure 6). Figure 5 and 6 indicate that operation at relatively low values of ρ^* could be obtained at low powers (e.g. the Tore Supra data at high toroidal field, $B_0 \sim 4T$) but at high normalised collisionality, i.e. at low volume averaged temperature. On the other hand, low collisionality $(v_e^* \le 0.03)$ operation has been obtained at high temperature (e.g. the JT-60U data) but at $\rho^* \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$. Interestingly enough, there is a domain of reduced collisionality and normalised Larmor radius $(v_e^* \le 0.05 \text{ and } \rho^* \le 5 \times 10^{-3})$ approaching the required values for ITER that has been obtained on JET. This requires operating the JET device at high toroidal field (B_o $\ge 3.5T$), at high volume averaged temperature ($\langle T_e \rangle \sim 3 \text{keV}$), moderate density ($\langle n_e \rangle \sim 1.5 \cdot 2 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$) and at $q_{95} \sim 4 \cdot 5$ (I_p ~ 3 MA). To further approach the ITER domain in the JET device would require increasing the applied power or/and the plasma volume for this range of parameters.

An other important issue when extrapolating the advanced regimes towards next step experiments, is the possibility of forming and sustaining these operation modes with low torque injection and with a ratio of ion to electron temperature around unity. In the context of an α -particle heated plasmas, the fusion born fast α -particles will predominantly heat the thermal electrons, which in turn will heat the ion species through collisional energy transfer resulting in a regime with $T_e \sim T_i$ at high plasma density where electron and ion are strongly coupled. In present day experiments, high performance regimes are generally obtained with dominant ion heating $(T_i > T_e)$ by injecting positive neutral beams that simultaneously combine core fuelling and momentum injection. To address this issue and to quantify the gap between present day experiments and next step operation, the performances measured by the normalised beta, β_N or the figure of merit $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q_{.95}^2$ have been plotted versus the ratio of core ion to electron temperature T_{io}/T_{eo} for various range of normalised plasma toroidal rotation or Mach number, M_{Φ} (Figure 7). The absolute values of the core toroidal plasma rotation have been used to calculate the Mach numbers. Figure 7 (right) confirms that the highest figures of merit for fusion performances have been achieved for T_{io}/T_{eo} >1. Nevertheless, one should note that an interesting dataset exists that is lying in region of parameters close to the ITER domain as far as the ratio of T_{io}/T_{eo} , $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N/q^2_{95}$ are concerned $(T_{io}/T_{eo} \sim 1 \text{ with } H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N/q^2)$ $_{89P} \times \beta_N / q_{95}^2 \sim 0.3 - 0.4$ and $\beta_N > 2.5$). These points correspond to experiments carried out on ASDEX Upgrade, JET and JT-60U. Finally, it is worth noting that these high performance regimes with T_{io} / T_{eo} ~1 have also been obtained at low Mach numbers, i.e. M_{Φ} <0.4 (the red points on Figure 7). On JT-60U an appropriate combination of co and counter current neutral beam injection has been used to operate with low applied torque. This illustrates that advanced regime could be achieved in condition of low torque injection as required for extrapolating these regimes to future reactor grade plasmas.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Using the global zero-dimensional (0-D) data collected in the international multi-machine database, we have assessed and compared the plasma fusion performances of the advanced tokamaks regimes achieved in ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra experiments. The results obtained in the present day tokamaks have been studied from the point of view of the required plasmas performances for achieving long pulses and ultimately steady-state full current drive operation in next step devices such as ITER. The extensive dataset on advanced tokamak operation

selected in this paper consists of 743 discharges and for each discharge one time slice has been selected when the corresponding fusion yield reaches its maximum value. The performances towards steady-state operation, the operational limits (density and β_N) and the plasma domains in terms of dimensionless parameters ($\rho^*, \nu_e^*, ...$) have been analysed using the international tokamak database. A new operational diagram for advanced tokamak operation has been proposed where the dimensionless figure of merit, $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N/q^2_{95}$ characterising the fusion performance and confinement has been plotted versus the fraction of the plasma current driven by the bootstrap effect. The bootstrap current fraction has been either roughly quantified with the 0-D data in terms of $\epsilon^{1/2}\beta_p$ or for a reduced dataset (89 discharges) calculated with neo-classical codes using as inputs the various radial plasma profiles. In these diagrams, there is a continuous progression from the *t*'inductive' (q_{95} ~3) to the 'hybrid' (q_{95} ~3-4) and finally 'steady-state' (q_{95} ~4-5) tokamak operating mode when simultaneously increasing the plasma performance together with the bootstrap current fraction. It turns out that advanced regimes have now reached figures of merit for performance that is indeed those required for their extrapolation to next step tokamak experiments, i.e. ITER. It has been reached with values simultaneously in the range of $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q_{95}^2 \sim 0.4$ at $q_{95} < 5$ and at a β_p exceeding unity for at least ten confinement times (typically with a bootstrap current fraction of 40-50%). This range of plasma parameters is the one expected according to recent simulations for non-inductive current drive Q~5 operation for ITER (Green et al 2003). Finally, it should be pointed out that operation at very high bootstrap current fraction $(I_{boot}/I_p \sim 80\%)$ that is ultimately required for efficient steady-state tokamak fusion reactor, is usually performed at reduced fusion performance and that the present set of experimental data is small in this operating space.

It has also been shown that fusion performances tend to decrease with the pulse duration: extending the plasma performances achieved on a short time scale usually requires operating the tokamak safely far from the operational limits. These limits are generally quantified in terms of the normalised toroidal beta, β_N and normalised density to the Greenwald density, n_{el}/n_G . As in standard inductive H-mode operation, the confinement enhancement factor in these advanced regimes tends to decrease when operating at high n_{el}/n_G The highest values of both confinement enhancement and density have been mainly obtained at high triangularity (δ >0.4). So far, densities in the range of n_{el}/n_G ~0.8 (or $n_{el} > 6-7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) have not been obtained at $H_{\text{ITER-89P}}$ ~3 in advanced regimes, as required in non-inductive current drive operation of ITER. Further experimental effort in present day tokamaks should be devoted to investigating high confinement advanced tokamak regime at high density. High β_N operation in the range of 3 has been achieved with broad pressure profiles. The statistical analysis indicates that the width of the improved core confinement region (the region with reduced anomalous radial transport) should be large enough to avoid the development of a narrow domain with a too localised and very steep pressure gradient.

Finally, the operation domain of advanced regimes has been mapped in terms of dimensionless plasma parameters such as the normalised Larmor radius, ρ^* , collisionality, n_e^* , Mach number, M_{Φ} , and the ratio of ion to electron temperature, T_i/T_e . These parameters govern basic plasmas

physics processes (e.g. neo-classical transport, bootstrap current, anomalous transport ...). ITER plasmas will be in a very different domain of dimensionless plasmas parameters compared to present day tokamaks regime since it will require operating the plasmas with low values of ρ^* , n_e^* , M_{Φ} and with $T_i/T_e \sim 1$. An important and open issue is to address how the present advanced regimes will extrapolate when varying the dimensionless plasmas parameters. Advanced tokamak regimes allow operating present day devices at high confinement with core plasmas properties approaching normalised collisionalities, v_e^* , as the ones expected in ITER. It has been recently shown that v_e^* is the correct dimensionless form of the plasma density (rather that n_{el}/n_{G}) when scaling confinement properties from present day tokamaks to larger one such as ITER (Petty et al 2003). Indeed, at the ITER collisionality ($v_e^* < 0.03$), the figure of merit $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q_{95}^2 \sim 0.3 - 0.4$ has been reached but at $\rho^* \sim 4-510^{-3}$, i.e. two or three times above the expected values of ρ^* for ITER Q~5 noninductive current drive regime. Matching simultaneously all the dimensionless parameters of †present day experiment to the expected values in ITER could not be obtained. Nevertheless, cross-machine experiments where, for instance, the normalised Larmor radius is varied at fixed collisionality in non-inductive current drive conditions are indeed of major importance to check the relevance of the proposed regimes. The lowest values of r* and ne* (higher by a factor ranging between two and three with respect to the expected ITER values) at performance above the standard inductive tokamak operation (H_{ITER-89P}× β_N >4) have been reached either in JT-60U or JET tokamaks that could be, in this context, considered as 'test-bed' for advanced regimes before extrapolating their performances to future larger tokamaks. In addition, these regimes should also be obtained and sustained with $T_i/$ T_e~1 at low Mach number (low torque injection). It has been shown that the highest plasma performances have now been reached for a ratio T_i/T_e much larger than one. In this context, experimental effort should be devoted to developing high fusion yield regimes with a larger fraction of electron heating to operate at T_i/T_e closer to unity while simultaneously keeping low values of ρ^* and ν_e^* .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is a great pleasure to acknowledge many fruitful discussions with Drs F. Crisanti, D. Moreau, A. A. Tuccillo and the members of the ITPA Group on Steady-State Operation and Energetic Particles.

REFERENCES

- Bécoulet A. et al.,2003 15th Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas, 19-21 May 2003, Moran, Wyoming, USA
- [2]. Connor J. W. et al. 2003, to appear in Nuc. Fusion
- [3]. Campbell D.J. et al., 2001 Phys. Plasmas 8 2041
- [4]. Challis C.D. et al., 2001 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 43 861
- [5]. Challis C. D. et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 1031
- [6]. Crisanti F. et al., 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 145004-1

- [7]. Doyle E. J. et al., 2001 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 43 A95 Equipe Tore Supra (presented by Litaudon X) 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 A 251
- [8]. Fujita T. et al., 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett 87 085001
- [9]. Fujita T. et al., 2002a Nucl. Fusion 42 180
- [10]. Fujita T. et al., 2002b in Proc 19th IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., Lyon IAEA OV/1-3
- [11]. Fujita T. et al., 2003 Proc. 30th Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion (St Petersburg, 2003) (Geneva: European Physical Society) Vol. 27A P-2.131 (http:// eps2003.ioffe.ru/)
- [12]. Jacquinot J. et al., 2002, "Recent development in Cadarache towards steady-state operation", in Proc. 19th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, IAEA, Lyon, France
- [13]. Fukuda T. et al., 2001 Proc. 28th Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, (Funchal, 2001) (Geneva: European Physical Society) Vol. 25A 1349
- [14]. Frigione D. et al., 2003 Proc. 30th Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion (St Petersburg, 2003) (Geneva: European Physical Society) Vol. 27A P-2.91 (http://eps2003.ioffe.ru/)
- [15]. GÈraud A. et al., 1994 Proc. 21st Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion and (Montpellier, 1994) vol. 18B, (part I), (Geneva: European Physical Society) p. 298
- [16]. Gohil P. et al., 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 A37
- [17]. Gohil P. et al., 2003 Nucl. Fusion 43 708
- [18]. Gormezano C., 1999 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 41 B367
- [19]. Green B. J. et al., 2003 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 45 687
- [20]. Hoang G. T., Fourment C. et al., 2002 Proc. 29th Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion (Montreux, 2002) (Geneva: European Physical Society) Vol. 26B (http:// crppwww.epfl.ch/eps2002/) P-4.068
- [21]. Ide S. et al., 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 L63 ITER Physics Basis 1999 Nucl. Fusion 39 2203 JET Team (prepared by C. Gormezano) 1999 Nucl. Fusion 39 1875
- [22]. Joffrin E. et al., 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 1203
- [23]. Kaye S.M. et al 1997 Nucl. Fus. 37 1303
- [24]. Kikuchi M 1990 Nucl. Fusion 30 265
- [25]. Kamada Y. et al., 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 1311
- [26]. Lazarus E A et al 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 2714
- [27]. Litaudon X., 1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 40 A251
- [28]. Litaudon X. et al., 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 1057
- [29]. Litaudon X. et al., 2003 Nucl. Fusion 43 565
- [30]. Luce T. C. et al., 2003 Nucl. Fusion 43 321
- [31]. Pericoli-Ridolfini V. et al, 2003 Nucl. Fusion 43 469
- [32]. Petty C.C., Luce T.C., Cordey J.G., McDonald D.C. 2003 to be submitted in Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion.

- [33]. Saoutic B. et al., 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 B123
- [34]. Sips A. C. C. et al., 2002a Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 A391
- [35]. Sips A. C. C. et al., 2002b Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 B69
- [36]. Sips A. C. C. et al., 2003 Proc. 30th Eur. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion (St Petersburg, 2003) (Geneva: European Physical Society) Vol. 27A O1-3A (http:// eps2003.ioffe.ru/)
- [37]. Strait E. J. et al., 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 4421
- [38]. Taylor T. S., 1997 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 39 B47
- [39]. Wade M. R., et al. 2003 Nucl. Fusion 43 634
- [40]. Wolf R. C., 1999 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 41 B93
- [41]. Wolf R. C., 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 1259
- [42]. Wolf R C 2003 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 45 R1

Tokamak	Entries	Advanced regimes and corresponding entries	References
ASDEX Upgrade	372	Ion and/or electron ITB (94)	Wolf et al 1999
		'Hybrid' regime (218)	Wolf et al2001
			Sips et al 2002b
FT-U	3	Electron ITB (3)	Pericoli-Ridolfini et al 2003
DIII-D	9	ITB with weak and reversed	Strait et al 1995
		magnetic shear (4)	Wade et al 2003
		Quiescent Double Barrier (2)	Doyle et al 2001
		'steady-state' scenario (2)'Hybrid' scenario (1)	Luce et al 2003
JET Undertaking	25	ITB regime with weak	JET team 1999
EFDA-JET	277	and reversed magnetic shear	Gormezano et al 1999
		'Hybrid' scenario (27)	Challis et al 20012002
			Crisanti et al 2002
			Litaudon et al 200
			Joffrin et al 2002
			Sips et al 2003
JT-60U	17	High β_p regime (9)	Kamada et al 2001
		Negative magnetic shear (8)	Ide et al 2002
			Fujita et al 2001
			Fujita et al 2002ab
TORE SUPRA	40	Full current drive operation	Jacquinot et al 2002
		(22)	Litaudon et al 2001
		Electron ITB (4)	Equipe TS 1996
		High β_p , fast wave electron	Saoutic et al 1994
		heating (13)	Géraud et al 1994
		Pellet Enhanced mode (1)	

 Table 1 Overview of the data and the regimes selected for the present analysis.





Figure 1a: Figures of merit of the plasma fusion performance, $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q^2_{95}$, versus $\varepsilon^{1/2} \beta_p$, at various q_{95} . The filled symbols correspond to discharges where the duration of the high performance phase, τ_D , normalised to the confinement time, τ_D / τ_E , is above ten [ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra]. Each point corresponds to one discharge.

Figure 1b: Figures of merit of the plasma fusion performance, $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q^2_{95}$, versus the bootstrap current fraction, $I_{bool}/I_{p.}$ at various q_{95} [89 discharges from ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, FT-U, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra]. Each point corresponds to one discharge.



Figure 2: Fusion triple product, $n_{io}T_{io}\tau_E [10^{19}m^{-3} \text{ keV s}]$ versus the duration of the high performance phase, τ_D , normalised to the confinement time, τ_E . Each point corresponds to one discharge.



Figure 3: Improved confinement factor, $H_{ITER-89P}$ versus (left) the line averaged density, n_{el} (center) versus the line averaged density normalised to the Greenwald density, n_{el}/n_G , and (right) the normalised collisionality, v_e^* , at various plasma triangularity, δ . The filled symbols correspond to discharges where the duration of the high performance phase normalised to the confinement time, τ_D/τ_E , is above 10 [ASDEX Upgrade, FT-U, DIII-D, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra data]. Each point corresponds to one discharge.





Figure 4: β_N versus the (electron) pressure peaking factor calculated as $(n_{eo} \times T_{eo})/(n_{el} \times \langle T_e \rangle)$ Each point corresponds to one discharge. The symbols with a cross have been obtained in the 'hybrid' regime with monotonic q-profile (q on-axis $q_o=1-1.5$ and $q_{95} \le 4.2$).

Figure 5: Operation domain of advanced tokamak regimes in terms of dimensionless parameters: normalised collisionality, v_e^* , versus normalised Larmor radius, ρ^* . The filled symbols correspond to discharges where $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N > 4$. Each point corresponds to one discharge.



Figure 6: (left) normalised β_N and (right) figure of merit of the plasma performances, $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q^2_{95}$ versus the normalised Larmor radius for various collisionalities. Each point corresponds to one discharge [ASDEX Upgrade, FT-U, DIII-D, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra data].



Figure 7: (left) normalised β_N and (right) figure of merit of the plasma performances, $H_{ITER-89P} \times \beta_N / q^2_{95}$ versus the ratio of T_{io}/T_{eo} for various absolute value of the Mach number, M_{Φ} . Each point corresponds to one discharge [ASDEX Upgrade, FT-U, DIII-D, JET, JT-60U and Tore Supra data].