



EFDA-JET-PR(02)102

G. Saibene, R. Sartori, A. Loarte, D.J. Campbell, P.J. Lomas, V. Parail,
K.D. Zastrow, Y. Andrew, S. Sharapov, A. Korotkov, M. Becoulet,
G.T.A. Huysmans, H.R. Koslowski, R. Budny, G.D. Conway, J. Stober,
W. Suttrop, A. Kallenbach, M.von Hellermann and M. Beurskens

Improved Performance of ELMy H-modes at High Density by Plasma Shaping in JET

Improved Performance of ELMy H-modes at High Density by Plasma Shaping in JET

G. Saibene¹, R. Sartori¹, A. Loarte¹, D.J. Campbell¹, P.J. Lomas², V. Parail², K.D. Zastrow², Y. Andrew², S. Sharapov², A. Korotkov², M. Becoulet³, G.T.A. Huysmans³, H.R. Koslowski⁴, R. Budny⁵, G.D. Conway⁶, J. Stober⁶, W. Suttrop⁶, A. Kallenbach⁶, M.von Hellermann⁷ and M. Beurskens⁷

¹EFDA Close Support Unit, c/o MPI für Plasmaphysik, 2 Boltzmannstrasse, 85748 Garching, Germany

²Association Euratom/UKAEA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3EA, UK

³Association Euratom/CEA, Cadarache, F13108 St Paul-lez-Durance, France

⁴Forschungszentrum J^{**} ulich GmbH, Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association,

Trilateral Euregio Cluster, 52425 J^{**} ulich, Germany

⁵PPPL, Princeton University, PO Box 451, Princeton, NJ, USA

⁶Association Euratom/IPP, MPI fur Plasmaphysik, 2 Boltzmannstrasse, Garching, Germany

⁷FOM/Euraton Instituut v Plasmaphysica 'Rijnhuizen', Nieuwegein, Trilateral Euregio Cluster,

The Netherlands

"This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

ABSTRACT.

We present the results of experiments in JET to study the effect of plasma shape on high density ELMy H-modes, with geometry of the magnetic boundary similar to that envisaged for the standard Q = 10 operation in ITER. The experiments described are single lower null plasmas, with standard q profile,neutral beam heating and gas fuelling, with average plasma triangularity δ calculated at the separatrix \sim 0 45–0.5 and elongation $\kappa\sim$ 1.75. In agreement with the previous results obtained in JET and other divertor Tokamaks, the thermal energy confinement time and the maximum density achievable in steady state for a given confinement enhancement factor increase with δ . The new experiments have confirmed and extended the earlier results, achieving amaximum line average density $n_e \sim 1.1 n_{GR}$ for $H_{98} \sim 0.96$. In this plasma configuration, at 2.5 MA 2.7T ($q_{95} \sim 2.8$), a line average density \sim 95% n_{GR} with $H_{98} = 1$ and $\beta_N \sim 2$ are obtained, with plasma thermal stored energy content W_{th} being approximately constant with increasing density, as long asthe discharge maintains Type I ELMs, up to $n_{ped} \sim n_{GR}$ (and $n_e \sim 1.1 n_{GR}$).

A change in the Type I ELMs behaviour is observed for pedestal densities $n_{ped} \gtrsim 70\% n_{GR}$, with their frequency decreasing with density (at constant P_{sep}), enhanced divertor D_{α} emission and increased inter-ELM losses. We show that this change in the ELM character at high pedestal density is due to a change in transport and/or stability in the pedestal region, with the ELMs changing from Type I to mixed Type I and Type II. The similarity of these observations with those in the Type II ELM regime in ASDEX Upgrade and with other small ELM regimes in DIII-D, JT-60U and Alcator C-MOD is discussed. Finally, we present the first results of experiments by studying in more detail the effects of the plasma boundary geometry, in particular by investigating separately the effect of the upper and lower triangularity, at high average δ .We show that the changes to the lower δ (or of the radial position of the x-point) affect the pedestal parameters, the size of ELM energy losses as well as the global energy confinement of the plasma.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ELMy H-mode regime is the reference scenario for the Q =10 inductive operation of ITER (Aymar et al 2001), as well as the basis for performance projections for the design of future plasma burning experiments (Meade et al 2001). The research effort on ELMy H-modes in present-day Tokamaks has focused on two aspects: the development of plasmas reaching simultaneously high density and high confinement and, more recently, the exploration of scenarios that combine the required plasma core properties with acceptable ELMenergy losses.

For the first aspect, experiments in JET (Saibene et al 1999) and other Tokamaks (Kamada et al 1996, Osborne et al 2000a and Stober et al 2000) have shown that increasing the plasma triangularity δ increases the H-mode pedestal pressure p_{ped} which, in turn, leads to higher thermal energy content W_{th} of the plasma and confinement enhancement factor H_H , for a given density. Experimentally in ELMy H-modes with Type I ELMs, the increase of p_{ped} with triangularity is due to higher pedestal density n_{ped} being obtained for the same pedestal temperature T_{ped} and fixed main plasma parameters

(plasma current I_p , toroidal field B_t , input power P_{in} and external gas fuelling Φ_{gas}). In other words, increased plasma δ results in higher densities at the pedestal and in the core, for a given pedestal temperature, and therefore leads to improved plasma energy content, compared to otherwise similar plasmas with lower δ . The detailed comparison of core plasma performance between existing experiments and extrapolation to ITER, relies on the understanding of the relationship between pedestal parameters, in particular T ped, and the resulting core gradients. Although different 'degrees' of profile resiliency may be required to model existing experiments (for instance, refer to Nordman et al (1999) and Ryter et al (2001)) that may lead to different extrapolations to ITER (ITER Physics Basis 1999), both experimental results and modelling clearly show that the increased pedestal stability resulting from plasma shaping is essential for obtaining the required performance of a plasma with conventional core profiles.

The improved trade-off between density and confinement obtained by plasma shaping is dependent on the pedestal parameters, in particular on maintaining a minimum pedestal temperature (pressure) and Type I ELMs (Sartori et al 2001b, 2002). Type I ELMs are fast relaxations of the pedestal pressure gradients causing the expulsion of particles and energy, that are ultimately transported to the divertor on very fast timescale (~100µs), and may result in very high power densities being deposited onto the divertor plates (~MWm⁻²). Although this is not a problem for present day devices, the erosion rate of the divertor is of great concern for ITER, since it could limit the lifetime of the divertor target plates to an unacceptable low number of pulses (both for C and W components) (Federici et al 2001). Recent analysis of Type I ELM energy losses in present experiments (Loarte et al 2001a, 2002a) has highlighted a machine-independent correlation between the ELM energy losses $\Delta W_{\rm FLM}^{\rm N}$ (normalized to the pedestal energy content W_{ped}) and the local pedestal collisionality ν^*_{ped} , with ΔW^N_{ELM} decreasing for increasing v^*_{ned} . If pedestal collisionality is the only quantity defining the ELM size, and SOL energy transport is similar for ITER and present day devices, then Type I ELMs in the ITER reference scenario would not be acceptable for divertor erosion (Loarte et al 2001b). In fact, effort in experiments has been directed to the development of plasma scenarios that combine small ELMs to high core confinement and pedestal pressure, while maintaining the required energy and particle exhaust across the edge transport barrier (ETB). A plasma regime with H-mode confinement but without ELMs was first established in Alcator C-Mod (Hutchinson et al 2001), with the enhanced D-alpha (EDA) regime. Unfortunately, attempts to reproduce EDA conditions on JET have not been successful so far (Loarte et al 2000).

The first observation of a transition from Type I to small ELMs at high plasma confinement was reported by DIII-D back in 1990 (Ozeki et al 1990). In that experiment, the suppression of Type I ELMs was triggered by increasing the plasma elongation at constant main plasma parameters, in a highly shaped plasma with $q_{95} \sim 7$. The formal identification of these small ELMs as a new type of ELM (Type II ELMs) was put forward by the DIII-D team in 1990 (DIII-D Team 1990). More recently, small ELMs and high core confinement plasmas have been obtained with the 'grassy ELMs regime' of JT-60U (Kamada et al 2002), and the Type II ELMs of ASDEX Upgrade (Stober et al 2001a). In the case of JT-60U, the high plasma core performance is obtained in high triangularity plasmas, by a

combination of an ETB with an internal transport barrier (ITB), while in ASDEX Upgrade the Type II regime is an extension at high shaping and density of a standard ELMy H-mode scenario. Mixed Type I and Type II ELMs have been also observed for the first time in high triangularity ELMy H-modes in JET, with high pedestal density and pressure (Loarte et al 2001a, Saibene et al 2001, Sartori et al 2001b and Becoulet et al 2002), and are analysed in detail in this paper. In all cases, as it will be discussed in section 3.3, the modification in the ELM behaviour appear to be correlated experimentally with high plasma shaping and magnetic shear in the edge pedestal region that, in turn, affects MHD stability and ELM size (Connor 1998).

This paper describes the results of experiments carried out in JET with the MkII gas box divertor to study the effect of plasma shaping, in particular triangularity, on both core and pedestal parameters of high density ELMy H-modes. The main experimental data originate from a series of density scans in high δ plasmas at q_{95} ~3, but density scans at higher q 95 and with reduced lower triangularity, compared to the reference set of data, are also discussed.

After a description of the experimental conditions (section 1.1) and of the general results of the experiments (section 2), the global confinement analysis is presented and compared with previous data from triangularity scans (section 2.1), while core profile effects are discussed in section 2.2. Section 3 describes the pedestal parameters and ELM behaviour in the density scans, focusing in particular on the characterization of the mixed Type I–II ELMs at high density. The effects of the variation of the lower plasma triangularity on ELMs and pedestal are discussed in section 3.2. The discussion section 3.3, examines similarities and differences of the ELM behaviour observed in JET at high n_{ped} and high δ , with small ELM regimes observed in other experiments and briefly discusses possible links between Type II ELMs and pedestal MHD stability. Finally, the results are summarized in section 4.

1.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENTS

The plasmas studied are in lower single null configuration, with D_2 gas fuelling and D neutral beam injection (NBI). Density scans were carried out by increasing the gas fuelling rate from pulse to pulse, keeping it constant for each discharge. The maximum fuelling rate was determined by either the Type I–III transition or by the technical limitation imposed by the maximum neutral pressure allowed in the NBI ducts. The divertor cryopump was at liquid He JG02.41- 16c temperature for all the experiments, and the average first wall temperature T_{wall} was ~320°C. A subset of the scans was repeated with T_{wall} lowered to 200° C, to study the effect of wall recycling on the H-mode density and on ELMs. The results of those experiments are reported in (Becoulet et al 2002), and are not further discussed here.

In the previous JET experiments on the effect of plasma δ on ELMy H-mode properties, reported in Saibene et al (1999), the maximum average δ (calculated at the separatrix) was ~0 38, with δ = 0 5 \times (δ_{up} + δ_{low} , where $\delta_{up/low}$ are the upper and lower triangularity. To extend the range of previous data, newdensity scans were carried out at similar current and field as before (2.5MA 2.7T, q_{95} ~2 8–3.1), but with the average δ increased to 0.47 (δ_{up} ~ 0.49 and δ_{low} ~ 0.45), near to the value of δ ~ 0.5

selected for ITER. The increase in triangularity corresponds to an increase in the magnetic shear in the edge region (as calculated with EFIT) from ~4 for δ ~0.38 to ~4.8 for the plasmas with δ ~0.47. For these scans, the input power was P_{in} ~15MW. The EFIT reconstruction of the equilibrium for one of the discharges in the series is shown in figure 1, full line.

The effect of q_{95} on the pedestal and ELM behaviour was explored in density scans carried out with P_{in} and plasma geometry as above; two values of q_{95} of ~3.8 and ~5 were obtained by lowering I_p to 2.0MA and 1.5MA, respectively. The effect of increasing q_{95} at fixed plasma current was not studied, since the NBI power available in JET at the time was not sufficient to sustain an ELMy H-mode at high density and toroidal field (Sartori et al 2001b, 2002).

Finally, the role of plasma shape for pedestal and core properties of high density ELMy H-mode has been further investigated by comparing the properties of discharges with similar δ_{up} ~0.5 but different δ_{low} , reduced from δ_{low} ~0.45 to ~0.30 (see figure 1, dashed line). The plasma current and field for the low δ_{low} discharges were 2.5MA 2.7T, to match the reference set of density scans.

1.2. DIAGNOSTIC SET-UP

The standard set of diagnostics for ELMy H-mode analysis, that requires simultaneous measurements of core and pedestal parameters, was available for all the plasma discharges studied in this paper. In particular, for the plasma core, T_e profiles were measured with ECE heterodyne radiometry (typically from $\rho \sim 0.4$ to ~ 1 , $\rho =$ normalized minor radius) and with LIDAR, T_i profiles by active CXRS, and the electron density with a multi-chord FIR interferometer and LIDAR. Particular care was taken in the measurement of the pedestal T_e, T_i and n.In particular, ECE provides fast T_e measurement, with typical time resolutions of ~1ms for several seconds and down to 4µs in selected time windows, with space resolution in the pedestal region $\sim 1-2$ cm. For best results, the plasma toroidal field $B_t = 2.7$ T was selected for the main experiments to ensure good spatial resolution with the heterodyne system as well as to have a high value of the density cut-off for ECE emission (\sim 8.5 \times 10 19 m $^{-3}$ or \sim 85% of the Greenwald limit n_{GR} at 2.5MA, at the radius of the pedestal top). Nonetheless, at the highest densities for the 2.5MA scan, $n_{ped} \sim n_{GR}$ (maximum values at the end of the ELM cycle, figure 2), therefore, for these particular discharges, the measurement of the T_{e,ped} at the pedestal top is not available for the entire ELM cycle. In these cases, an estimate of $T_{e,ped}$ is obtained by using the value of $T_{e,ped}$ measured shortly after a Type I ELM crash, where n ped is at its minimum and just below the cut-off density for ECE (see section 3). Edge T_i profiles are measured with a dedicated edge CXRS system, providing data in the region 0.93 $\lessapprox \rho \lessapprox 0.99,$ with ~2cm and 50ms resolutions. For the type of plasmas studied in this paper, T_{e,ped} ~T_{e,ped}, therefore the pedestal analysis is carried out using T_{e,ped}, to benefit from the high time resolution of this measurement, and the pedestal pressure $p_{\rm ped} \propto n_{\rm i} T_{\rm i} + n_{\rm e} T_{\rm e}$ is approximated as $p_{\rm ped} \propto 2 n_{\rm e} T_{\rm e}$.

The density and temperature profiles in the pedestal region were measured with the edge LIDAR system (Beurskens et al 2001). Unfortunately, for most of the discharges at high δ , the edge gradients scale length is shorter than the instrument spatial resolution, and the measured gradients saturate to a

lower value than the real ones. Nonetheless, an estimate of the minimum pedestal pressure gradient ∇ p_{ped} was obtained by combining edge LIDAR measurements of the n_e gradients with the T_e gradients determined by ECE, and used as an input for MHD stability calculations, as described in Becoulet et al (2002). Non-saturated measurement of edge density profiles were obtained with a Li Beam diagnostic (Brix et al 2001), although only for a few of the discharges analysed in this paper.

Fast (4µs time resolution) edge magnetic fluctuations measurements (Becoulet et al 2001), provided by an array of Mirnov coils, were available for most of the discharges, and used to characterize the changes in ELM behaviour observed at high density, whilst fast data (also with 4µs time resolution) on density fluctuations, by fixed frequency reflectometry (Conway et al 1999), were available only for a restricted number of pulses (section 3.1.2).

2. GENERAL RESULTS

As outlined in section 1.1, density scans were carried out at 2.5MA 2.7T, at an average δ ~0.47, increasing the gas fuelling rate from pulse to pulse. The time evolution of some plasma parameters and of the divertor D_{α} emission are shown in figures 3 and 4, for five selected discharges, in order of increasing Φ_{gas} .

These experiments confirm and extend the earlier results on the positive effect of plasma triangularity to achieve high density at high confinement in ELMy H-modes. In fact, with $\delta = 0.47$ at high fuelling rates ($\sim (3-4\times 10^{22}~\text{s}^1)$) the plasma density obtained is $\gtrsim 90\%$ n_{GR} for several seconds with $\beta_N \sim 2$. In these discharges, the total radiated power fraction increases with density from $\sim 35\%$ to $\sim 55\%$, with the change mainly due to increased divertor radiation. The duration of the high density phase of these discharges is limited by the available NB pulse length to about 6s, corresponding approximately to $15\tau_E$. During the phase with constant P_{in} and gas, the plasma internal inductance l_i slowly evolves, typically for $\sim 3s$, and decreases by 5-10% to values of 0.85-0.9. The final phase of the discharge, typically $\sim 2s$ or $\sim 5\tau_E$ long, is then characterized by a constant value of l_i .

The plasma density profile also shows a slow time evolution, as illustrated in figure 5, on similar timescales as l_i . Initially, between 17 and 19s in the discharge, the plasma density profile slowly peaks, although the fuelling is constant, and then peaking saturates, similarly to what is reported by Stober et al (2001b) for ASDEX Upgrade. The typical values of $n_{peak} \sim 1.1-1.4$, with the lower peaking observed at the higher density. Very peaked profiles are only measured after the Type I–III transition (pulse 52379 in figure 5, after 19s), where the increase of n peak to ~ 1.8 is caused by the loss of pedestal density. Density profiles are discussed further in section 2.2.

2.1. GLOBAL CONFINEMENT

The analysis of the typical timescale for the evolution of the main plasma parameters shows that the plasma discharges in the high δ density scan reach quasi-steady state after about $7\tau_E$. Therefore, the calculation of the thermal stored energy W_{th} , and of the normalized confinement of these pulses (here the IPB98 y,2 scaling is used (ITER Physics Basis 1999) has been carried out averaging late time

slices in the pulse, and only one point per discharge is presented in the analysis. In a few cases, NB trips limited the pulse length (for example, pulse 52308 in figure 3), and the confinement point is taken at the end of the constant input power phase.

Figure 6 shows the H_{98} confinement enhancement factor as function of density expressed as the Greenwald fraction n_e/n_{GR} , for the complete density scan at 2.5MA 2.7T, δ ~0.47 and P_{in} ~15MW. The increase of the plasma triangularity to δ = 0.47 results in a further improvement of the plasma thermal energy confinement obtained for a given plasma density. Nonetheless, also these data confirm the general observation that the energy confinement does not increase with density, as predicted by the scaling. Nonetheless, for δ ~0.47, the maximum density achieved in Type I ELMs exceeds the Greenwald density limit (GDL) by ~10%, with confinement H_{98} ~0.95 For n ~95% n_{GR} , the confinement enhancement factor of these plasmas is H_{98} = 1, with β_N = 2 and Z_{eff} = 1.4. The combination of the normalized parameters achieved with the low Z_{eff} and the edge q_{95} = 3, matches or exceeds the specifications of global parameters for the Q =10 ELMy H-mode reference scenario of ITER (Aymar et al 2001).

More insight on shape effects can be gained from the analysis of the behaviour of the plasma thermal stored energy as a function of n_{ped}/n_{GR} , shown in figure 7 for the same discharges of figure 6. The absolute values of Wth in figure 7 cannot be compared directly, since the NB input power was ~14–15 MW for the new data, whilst P_{in} ~12MW in the old experiments. Nonetheless, figure 7 shows that, for each density scan at fixed δ , W_{th} is approximately constant as function of n_{ped}/n_{GR} (and of n/n_{GR} as well, not shown), and that the degradation in energy content with density is typically \$10% of the reference unfuelled value, as long as the plasma maintains Type I ELMs. With increasing δ , the critical n_{ped} for the Type I–III transition increases as well, whilst the critical temperature for the transition is similar (Sartori et al 2001a). The transition to Type III ELMs corresponds to a strong reduction of W th and of the pedestal density, particularly obvious for the scan at the highest triangularity (see figure 7, empty square), but observed in all cases.

2.2. CORE PROFILE ANALYSIS

Past JET results show that the pedestal pressure p_{ped} is not constant for increasing n_{ped} , and $p_{ped} \propto n_{ped}^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \sim 1$ (Saibene et al 1999). A reduction of p ped for increasing n_{ped} is also observed at $\delta = 0.47$, although p_{ped} stops decreasing at the onset of mixed Type I and Type II ELMs at high density (see section 3). Therefore, the observation that the plasma stored energy is approximately constant with $\sim n_e$ implies that the core confinement of these discharges is approximately constant or slightly increasing with density, since W_{ped} decreases with density.

Based on this observation, we have analysed the changes in the core n and T profiles, for the δ = 0.47, 2.5MA 2.7T density scan. The density variation in the scan is large, from approximately 0.6 to 1.0 × 10^{20} m⁻³ at the pedestal. Therefore, the central NB power and particle deposition profiles, calculated with the PENCIL code (Cox 1988), vary considerably, with the central power density and fuelling gradually decreasing (figure 8). In first approximation, if the core temperature profiles were self-

similar, the combination of constant W and off-axis heating should imply self-similarity in the density profiles or density peaking with density. In fact, as mentioned in section 2, the density peaking factor decreases with density, down to $n_{peak} \sim 1.1$ for $n \sim n_{GR}$ (figure 5). Therefore, the fact that W_{th} is approximately constant with density is not due to density peaking compensating for the broadening of the power deposition profile (see Valovic et al (2002)) for a detailed discussion of the effects of input power on density profiles in JET). In particular, T_i and T_e are coupled in these discharges, due to the high density, and both decrease for increasing n, from $T_i \sim 5~keV$ and $T_e \sim 4~5keV$ at 80% n_{GR} to $\sim 2~2~keV$ at $n \sim 1.1~n_{GR}$. We find that the both T_e and T_i profiles are approximately self-similar up to $n \sim 80-85\%~n_{GR}$ (figure 9). Beyond that density, we do not have information on the T_e profile behaviour, since the ECE emission is cut-off, but we observe that the T_i profiles become more peaked as the density increases. This observation is consistent with the reduction in n_{peak} at constant W_{th} .

It is also interesting to note that slightly peaked density profiles are observed routinely in ELMy Hmode discharges in JET, and are not peculiar of high δ plasmas. For example, the density scan at δ = 0.23 (triangles in figures 6 and 7) has $n_{peak} \sim 1.4$ at low density, reduced to 1.3 at the highest density achieved in Type I ELMs in that particular series of discharges. Therefore, one can conclude that the improved confinement of high δ plasmas, at any density, is not due to increased density peaking with δ, in contrast to the results reported by DIII-D (Osborne et al 2000b), where high confinement at density at or above n_{GR} was obtained by strong density peaking. On the other hand, triangularity affects the timescale for the density evolution, both for profiles and average values. For $\delta = 0.23$, the typical timescale for the saturation of the density profile evolution is only $\sim 3\tau_E$, while it is almost twice as much for $\delta = 0.47$. Also at high δ , once the density profile shape is constant, we still observe a 'residual' time evolution of n at constant n_{peak} (compare figures 5 and 3), with very long characteristic times, so that in some cases the density does not saturate completely in the available NB pulse length. A similar effect is also found in lower δ discharges, but the density saturation time is shorter. One possible explanation of this difference with δ may come from the observation that ELM particle losses (normalized to n_{ped}) depend weakly on δ (Loarte et al 2001a, 2002a and Leonard et al 2001), whilst the ELM frequency at any density decreases with increasing δ , for similar recycling conditions. Therefore, the particle outflux due to ELM losses is reduced at high δ , and density equilibration times may get longer because of this.

TRANSP analysis of the high δ density scan indicates a reduction of the effective heat conductivity χ_{eff} across the whole plasma radius for the discharges at the highest density (figure 10) although the heat flux is going down in the central part of the plasma but up in the periphery, consistent with the experimental observation on the T_i profiles.

This result may indicate that the assumptions of particle diffusion $D \propto \chi$ plus an inward particle pinch velocity $v_{pinch} \propto v_{Ware}$, successfully used to model ASDEX Upgrade plasmas (Stober et al 2001b) not always applied to JET ELMy H-modes, as found in the modelling by Parail et al (2001) of JET plasmas with strong density peaking, since the reduced χ at high density is not associated to increased density peaking (i.e. to a reduced D).

3. PEDESTAL AND ELMS

Figure 4 shows the time traces of the divertor D_{α} signals for five representative discharges of the density scan at $\delta = 0.47$ and 2.5MA 2.7T. The inspection of the these traces shows an anomaly in the ELM frequency f_{ELM} , since the usual relationship between Type I ELM frequency and pedestal density (f_{ELM} increases with n_{ped}) breaks down at high density. In fact, for the first discharges in the density scan, with relatively low n_{ped} ($\lesssim 70\%$ n_{GR} or 7×10^{19} m⁻³), the Type I ELM frequency increases with density (and gas fuelling) as normal but, for $n_{ped} \lesssim 70\%$ n_{GR} , f_{ELM} stops increasing and then, for $n_{ped} \sim 80\%$ n_{GR} , f_{ELM} decreases, down to values similar or lower of those of the unfuelled reference pulse. A further small increase of the external gas fuelling (Pulse No: 52739, figure 4) causes a transition to Type III ELMs.

The relationship between pedestal density and temperature also changes when the $f_{\rm ELM}$ anomaly occurs. As already mentioned in section 2.2, in general p_{ped} is not constant for increasing n_{ped} in Type I ELMy H-modes in JET, and it scales approximately as $n \sim 1$. The n_e - T_e edge diagram for the density scan at δ = 0.47 is shown in figure 11, black dots. The plot shows clearly three features: first, for n_{ped} \lesssim (7–87 \times 10¹⁹ m⁻³ (~70–80% n_{GR}), p_{ped} is decreasing with density as expected. Second, the reduction of f ELM for $n_{ped} \gtrsim 8 \times 10^{19} \, m^{-3}$ corresponds to a change in the trend of T_{ped} , which stops decreasing with n_{ped} and, as a consequence, we observe p_{ped} now increasing with density, although not back up to the initial values of the unfuelled discharge. Note that in this density range, the temperature at the pedestal is taken at the beginning of the ELM cycle (see section 1.2), and therefore it represent a lower limit for the temperature of the pedestal at the end of the ELM cycle (where the density value is taken). Third, the f_{ELM} anomaly occurs at values of T_{ped} just above the critical temperature for transition to Type III ELMs (see also Sartori et al (2001b)). This particular behaviour of the ELM frequency and of the pedestal pressure, first identified in JET for the discharges described above, has been then observed in other JET plasmas. In the next section, we show that the reduction of the Type I ELM frequency at high pedestal pressure results from a change in the pedestal stability and/or edge transport. In analogy with the phenomenology identified in ASDEX Upgrade (Stober et al 2001a), we propose to identify this new phenomenon for JET as Type II ELMs, and show that in the JET plasmas, Type I and Type II ELMs may coexist at high pedestal density.

3.1. IDENTIFICATION OF TYPE II ELMS IN JET

The observed reduction of $f_{\rm ELM}$ with density is accompanied by a characteristic change of the divertor D_{α} signal measured between Type I ELMs. Figure 12 shows a clear example of this, comparing pulses 52009 and 52308. The D_{α} trace of 52308 shows an enhanced level of the base-line emission, compared to pulse 52009, with characteristic small and irregular oscillations between the Type I ELMs. Several observations, which will be presented, indicate that the small oscillations between Type I ELMs are not Type III ELMs, and allow to conclude that what we observe are mixed Type I and Type II ELMs, as discussed below. First, high density transitions from Type I to Type III ELMs in JET are always associated to a reduction of the plasma stored energy, pedestal pressure, and often also

to a reduction of the pedestal density (Saibene et al 1998). The data in figures 7 (squares) and 11 (black squares) show, in contrast, that W_{th} is constant and both p_{ped} and n_{ped} are near to the Type I ELM values, and increasing. This observation is consistent with measurements in mixed Type I–II regimes in both ASDEX Upgrade (Stober et al 2001a) and JT-60U (Kamada and the JT-60U Team 2001), figure 13, showing that the transition from Type I to Type II ELMs occurs at approximately constant n_{ped} and T_{ped}. The behaviour of the pedestal pressure and density gradients also sets apart Type III ELMs and the small high frequency oscillations that we identify as Type II ELMs. Figure 14 shows the electron pressure profiles derived from edge LIDAR measurements, for discharges at different densities, and $\delta = 0.47$, 2.5MA 2.7T. As discussed in section 1.2, the space resolution of edge LIDAR diagnostic for the 2.5MA high δ pulses with Type I ELMs is not sufficient to resolve the pedestal gradients, and one can only say that ∇p_e in the pedestal region is always at least 240kPa m $^{-1}$, and any change of the gradient with density is not resolved (Beurskens et al 2001). In contrast, ∇p_e is resolved in the Type III ELMs phase, such as in Pulse No: 52739 after 19s (see figure 4). The transition to Type III ELMs corresponds to a reduction of ∇p_e down to ~180 kPa m⁻¹, indicating indirectly that the edge pressure gradient sustained during mixed Type I and Type II ELM phases is substantially higher than with Type III ELMs.

The identification of a specific and unique MHD signature associated with this change in the ELM behaviour at high density gives a second argument for the identification of the inter-ELM activity as Type II ELM phases. In fact, we observe a characteristic change of the MHD fluctuations between ELMs that display the $f_{\rm ELM}$ 'anomaly', with an enhancement of MHD fluctuations in the 10–30 kHz region, in a very similar fashion to that reported by Stober et al (2001a) for ASDEX Upgrade. MHD and density fluctuations are discussed in more detail in section 3.1.2.

In summary, the change in the Type I ELM behaviour at high δ in JET is associated with broadband MHD activity, high n_{ped} and p_{ped} , with pedestal temperatures marginally above the critical value for the Type I–III transition, and to good global energy confinement. These characteristics are all reminiscent of the signature of Type II ELMs in ASDEX Upgrade, and therefore, we propose the identification of the small, high frequency D_{α} oscillations between Type I ELMs associated with the above phenomena as Type II ELMs.

One difference between the ASDEX Upgrade and JET operational conditions in Type II (or mixed) ELMs is the value of the edge safety factor. This difference is partly related to different operational constraints in the two machines: standard ELMy H-mode operations in ASDEX Upgrade are at $q_{95} \sim 4$, and the operational space at $q_{95} \sim 3$ is scarcely explored. Mixed Type I–II ELMy H-modes are normally observed in ASDEX Upgrade for $q_{95} \sim 4$, with pure Type II ELMs being obtained when q_{95} is raised above 4.5, all other conditions being equal. Recently, mixed Type I–II ELMs have been obtained in ASDEX Upgrade for $3.6 \lesssim q_{95} \lesssim 4$ in high power, high β_N and β_p plasmas (Sips et al 2002). For JET, the standard q_{95} for ELMy H-mode operation is ~ 3 , and operations at higher edge safety factor are restricted to low current plasmas, due to a lack of input power. Therefore, we explored the role of q_{95} in obtaining Type II ELMs in two series of discharges at 2.0MA 2.7T and 1.5MA 2.7T,

corresponding respectively to $q_{95} \sim 3.8$ and ~ 5 In both cases, the density scans were carried out with the same shape and P_{in} as the higher current experiments. The one clear conclusion from the experiment at higher q₉₅ is that, in spite of the increase in the edge safety factor, no pure Type II ELMs were obtained. Nonetheless, mixed Type I and Type II ELMs were observed in the I_p =2.0MA scan, with the pedestal pressure having a very similar trend as in the higher I_p experiments, as shown in figure 15. One effect of increasing q_{05} to 3.8 is that the transition to the mixed ELM regime occurs at lower normalized density and collisionality than for plasmas with $q_{05} \sim 3$: in fact mixed Type I and II are observed at $\rm n_{ped} \sim 5 \times 10^{19}~m^{-3}$, that corresponds to approximately 60% $\rm n_{GR}$ and to $\nu_{neo}^* \sim 0.6$ (compared to approximately 60% $\rm n_{GR}$ and to $\nu_{neo}^* \sim 0.6$ to typical $v_{\text{neo}}^* \sim 0.7-0.8$ for the $q_{95} \sim 3$ cases). The MHD fluctuations observed between Type I ELMs at q_{95} ~3.8 are similar to those measured at the higher current, although the changes in the spectrum compared to the low density reference are not as pronounced as at high I_n, leaving open the possibility that compound Type I–III ELMs (often observed at high density) maybe mixed with Type II ELMs. The experiment was not pursued further since the global confinement of these series of discharges was not as good as that of the reference series at 2.5MA 2.7T, with H₉₈ decreasing from ~1 at low density to H_{98} ~0.85 at n/n_{GR} ~0.9. The further increase in q_{95} to ~5 lead to disappointing results, with the plasma undergoing a transition to Type III ELMs as soon as gas fuelling was applied, with the associated loss of confinement. One possible qualitative explanation of these results may come from the observation that Type II ELMs in JET are observed at pedestal n_e and T_e very near to the critical values for the Type I–III transition. It is possible that increasing the q_{95} by reducing the plasma current, reduces the 'access window' for Type II ELMs (i.e. the onset of Type III ELMs moves towards higher T_{ped} and lower n_{ped} by increasing q) and Type III ELMs are destabilized first (Chankin and Saibene 1999). Nonetheless, these results are not fully understood, and more experiments are planned in the near future in JET to investigate further the relationship between Type II ELMaccess conditions and q_{95} .

3.1.1. Plasma energy losses with mixed Type I and Type II ELMs.

Further insight on the characterization of Type II ELMs in JET is gained by comparing the global plasma power balance of plasmas with pure Type I ELMs and those with mixed Type I and Type II ELMs. This was carried out for the main density scan at 2.5MA 2.7T, δ = 0.47. The terms considered in the power balance equation are: total input power $P_{TOT} = P_{in} + P_{\Omega}$, bulk radiation, P_{bulk}^{rad} and the average ELM energy losses associated with Type I ELMs, $P_{ELM}^{Type I}$. P $P_{ELM}^{Type I}$ is calculated as the product of the time averaged Type I ELM prompt energy loss per ELM and the ELM frequency, or $P_{ELM}^{Type I} = \Delta W_{ELM} \times f_{ELM}$, in MW. As pointed out above, the Type I ELM frequency goes down in the mixed Type I—II phases of these discharges and, at the same time, ΔW_{ELM} is reduced compared to that of ELMs of the same f_{ELM} but occurring at lower n ped and higher T_{ped} . This 'decoupling' of the ELM energy losses and ELM frequency is described in detail in Loarte et al (2001b, 2002a), and is consistent with the ELM losses being determined by the plasma pedestal parameters, while the ELM frequency is mainly a consequence of the inter-ELM local confinement. Finally, the d d term (averaged over

several τ_E) is neglected, since the power balance analysis is carried out at time slices in the discharge were the plasma stored energy is approximately constant.

As shown in figure 16, all the terms of the power balance are nearly constant across the scan, apart from $P_{ELM}^{Type\,I}$ that decreases with the onset of mixed Type I and Type II ELMs. This observation clearly means that power losses between Type I ELMs have increased with the appearance of Type II ELMs, in the particular example considered, from ~6 to ~10MW. This result demonstrates, although indirectly, that Type II ELMs are associated with enhanced transport between ELMs. Unfortunately, no infrared camera measurement of the power density at the divertor plates during Type II ELMs in JET are available to date to confirm the power balance results, and supply more information on their energy deposition pattern (in time and space).

The persistence of Type I ELMs in the JET plasmas analysed above, although at reduced frequency, shows that the additional losses in-between ELMs are not sufficient to maintain the pedestal parameters in steady state. The comparison of the time evolution of T_{ped} and n_{ped} between Type I ELMs in absence and with Type II ELMactivity, at constant input power, shows that the pedestal temperature comes near to saturation with Type II ELMs, while the density does not. In particular, the value of density rise rate dn_{ped}/dt is reduced by approximately a factor of two with Type II ELMs compared to a case of similar density with pure Type I ELM behaviour, although the total particle increase between ELMs, Δn_{ped} , between Type I ELMs remains approximately constant.

3.1.2. MHD and density fluctuations.

As mentioned in section 3.1, Type II ELMs in JET are associated with a change in the MHD fluctuation spectrum (Becoulet et al 2001), observed only and always in association with the characteristic D_{α} signature of Type II ELMs, so that MHD frequency analysis is used in JET to identify Type II ELMs. Figure 17 shows the MHD spectrograms for pulses 52008 with n_{ped} ~60% n_{GR} and Type I ELMs, together with that for Pulse No: 52308, with n_{ped} ~90% n_{GR} and mixed Type I–II ELMs. The corresponding divertor D_{α} signals are shown in figure 18, for the same time window of the MHD spectra.

The pattern of MHD fluctuations for pure Type I ELM discharges, such as 52008, and that associated with Type II ELMs as in 52308, can be clearly distinguished from the simple inspection of the spectrograms in figure 17. In particular, we see that Type II ELM phases are characterized by an increased intensity of broadband low frequency fluctuations, while at the same time the high frequency component is strongly suppressed. A more quantitative evaluation of the changes in the fluctuation spectra can be carried out by taking a number of Fourier spectra (i.e. a vertical cut in the spectrogram) in a time interval representative of the typical MHDof the pulse in-between Type I ELMs. Each spectrum is divided by the frequency of each sample, and then all the spectra in the selected time windoware averaged. This analysis, shown in figure 19, provides quantitative information on the change in the MHD turbulence associated with Type II ELMs. In particular, from the comparison of the MHD in the period between Type I ELMs of pulses 52008 and 52308, we see that the MHD turbulence of 52308 is enhanced in the 10–30 kHz frequency range by approximately a factor of four,

while it is reduced by up to one order of magnitude for frequencies $\gtrsim 50 \text{kHz}$. The main toroidal number of the low frequency MHD fluctuations is n = -8 (the negative sign means that the moderotates in the e⁻ diamagnetic direction) (Becoulet et al 2002).

Density fluctuation measurements for these pulses are also available by means of multiple fixed-frequency reflectometry. The range of frequencies of the reflectometer system correspond for these pulses to reflecting layers situated in the pedestal region, typically at positions $0.95 \lesssim \rho \lesssim 1$. The spectrograms of the density fluctuations \tilde{n}_e for the two discharges are shown in figure 20, for the same pulses and time windows of the MHD fluctuation measurements (refer to figure 18 for the H_{α} emission traces for the two discharges) for a particular frequency, corresponding to a density $\sim 4 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³. As for the case of the MHD turbulence, we see that Type II ELM activity is associated with an increase of the \tilde{n}_e on a similar frequency range as the MHD fluctuations. The density fluctuations spectra shown in figure 20 have been analysed and compared by calculating the raw complex amplitude of the reflectometer spectra (e $^{i\phi}$), over the same time window used for the analysis of the MHD fluctuations in figure 19. The results of this analysis, summarized in figure 21, shows that Type II ELMs are associated with an increase of the density fluctuations in the frequency range 10–40 kHz.

Both MHD turbulence and the \tilde{n}_e measurements indicate that Type II ELMs could be associated with a change in the energy and/or particle transport across the ETB. Turbulent transport could possibly be providing the loss channel required to explain the changes in the global power balance of JET ELMy H-modes with mixed Type I and Type II ELMs, described in section 3.1.1. However, measurements of the turbulent particle flux are required to substantiate this hypothesis.

The results of the fluctuation analysis of Type II ELMs in JET is qualitatively similar to that reported for Type II ELMs in ASDEX Upgrade (Stober et al 2001a). In both machines, the MHD turbulence analysis shows an increase of the broadband turbulence around the 30kHz frequency, with estimated toroidal n number \sim 4 for ASDEX Upgrade, as well as increased density fluctuations in the pedestal region. Onthe other hand, recent MHDstability calculations for the Type II ELMy discharges in ASDEX Upgrade (Horton 2002) finds that the toroidal mode number of the most unstable mode is $n \gtrsim 8$. Further analysis of both ASDEX Upgrade and JET data is needed to carry out a detailed comparison of the MHD behaviour in the two experiments.

The characteristic pattern of the turbulence observed in JET with Type II ELMs is quite different from that of the C-Mod EDA mode (Hubbard et al 2001, Snipes et al 2001). In C-Mod, both MHDand density fluctuation are enhanced during the EDAphase and are claimed to provide the required transport across the pedestal to maintain steady state plasma parameters with a ETB and in absence of ELMs. On the other hand, the mode observed in C-Mod occurs in a much narrower frequency band than both in JET and in ASDEX Upgrade, showing a more coherent character (it is in fact called a quasi-coherent mode, or QC mode). Recent Complex power (a. u) results (Mossessian et al 2002), show that a transition from EDA to H-modes with small, high frequency ELMs is obtained in C-Mod by increasing the input power. In this case, the QC mode disappears and is replaced by broadband MHD, in the frequency range ≥ 30–40 kHz, similar to the observations in ASDEX Upgrade and JET.

3.2. EFFECTS OF THE LOWER TRIANGULARITY

The effect of plasma shape on the pedestal and ELM properties, as well as on global confinement at high density, was also investigated by comparing plasmas with the same upper δ , but with different lower δ . The first density scan used in the comparison is the 2.5MA 2.7T, $\delta = 0.47$, already described in detail in this paper. The second one was carried out at the same I_p and B_t and similar NB input power (15 versus 16.5MW) The EFIT reconstruction of the two configurations is shown in figure 1. Although the geometry of the two configurations is quite different near the x-point (δ_{low} is reduced from ~0.4 to ~0.3 by moving the x-point to the low field side by ~10 cm), the variation in the average equilibrium properties at the plasma edge is small, with the shear and q calculated at 95% of the flux differing by <10%. Nonetheless, the plasmas with higher δ_{low} (and higher average δ) achieve higher confinement at higher density, as well as higher pedestal pressures.

A comparison of the n_e - T_e diagram for the two gas/density scans is found in figure 11, were the data for the lower δ_{low} density scan are represented by the red symbols (squares for Type I ELMs, a star for the Type III point). We see that the lower δ_{low} plasmas have, for a given n_{ped} , lower T_{ped} , and achieve a smaller p_{ped} across the density range. Moreover, the maximum n_{ped} achieved with Type I ELMs by the plasmas with high δ_{low} is •10% higher than that of the δ_{low} plasmas.

Mixed Type I–II ELMs are observed also for the low δ_{low} plasmas, at pedestal density ~80% n_{GR} , although the change in ELM behaviour occurs even nearer (in terms of pedestal parameters) to the Type I–III transition, and is sustained in a reduced n ped window. Moreover, moving the x-point to the low-field side of the machine seems to have a strong effect on both the Type I ELM frequency and on the prompt ELM energy losses $\Delta W_{ELM}^N = \Delta W_{ELM}/W_{ped}$. In particular, at high pedestal density (n_{ped} ~90% n_{GR}, or ~0.9 ~10²⁰ m⁻³), $f_{\rm ELM}$ ~40Hz for $\delta_{\rm low}$ ~0.3, to be compared to $f_{\rm ELM}$ ~12Hz for $\delta_{\rm low}$ ~0.45. The ELM energy losses are also quite different in the two cases, with ΔW_{ELM}^{N} ~4–5% for the low δ_{low} plasmas, while in the case of the high δ_{low} configurations, the minimum ΔW_{ELM}^N is still of the order of 8–9%. As described in detail in Loarte et al (2001a, 2002a), the drop in pedestal temperature associated with a Type I ELM decreases with density, while the ELM particle losses are approximately constant for increasing n_{ped} . In particular, the ELMs with $\Delta W_{ELM}^{\,\,N}$ ~4–5% mentioned above occur without any associated drop in Tped, and therefore are 'pure particle' ELMs. Recent analysis of a multi-machine database of Type I ELM energy losses (Federici et al 2002, Loarte et al 2002b) shows that ΔW_{ELM}^N between 5% and 10% could be acceptable for the ITER Tungsten divertor. ELM energy losses of $\sim 5\%$, well within the acceptable values for ITER, are obtained in the low δ_{low} plasmas. These small 'pure particle' ELMs are obtained at a somewhat reduced global confinement compared to the values obtained in the high δ discharges, whose Type I ELMs of 8–9% are only marginally within the maximum allowable ΔW_{ELM}^N projected to ITER. The thermal plasma stored energy content for the two density scans is compared in figure 22, and the reduction of W_{th} for the low δ_{low} and its trend with density are consistent with the reduced p ped.

The analysis of experiments in DIII-D (Lao et al 1999, Ferron et al 2000), highlights the importance of the plasma geometry on edge stability, in particular on access to second ballooning mode stability,

and may provide some indication for the interpretation of the effect of the lower δ (or of the x-point radial position) on the ELMs and p ped in JET. The DIII-D analysis indicates that variation on local strength of the poloidal field in the bad curvature region of the plasma can open/close access to second stability for ballooning modes, modify the edge bootstrap current build-up and therefore change the dominant MHD, affecting the maximum pedestal pressure, ELM size and frequency.

3.3. DISCUSSION

As mentioned in the introduction, plasmas with good global energy confinement and small ELMs have been observed in Alcator C-Mod, DIII-D, JT-60U, ASDEX Upgrade and JET, although in JET pure Type II ELMs plasmas have not yet been obtained. A brief comparison of the access conditions and characterization of small ELM regimes in different machines may help to clarify the mechanisms leading to the suppression of Type I ELMs and the onset of the Type II. The case of the 'grassy' ELMy H-modes in C-Mod is somewhat different from the others, since Type I ELMy H-modes are not observed in that machine, and it is not discussed in detail.

The first observation of a reduction in the Type I ELMamplitude at high plasma shaping and high density was reported by Ozeki et al (1990) in DIII-D. The change in the ELM behaviour was attributed to the plasma pedestal accessing the connection regime between first and second stability to ∞ – n ballooning modes. Very high plasma shaping ($\delta \gtrsim 0.4$ and $\kappa \gtrsim 1.8$) as well as very high safety factor ($q_{95} \sim 7$) were required to approach the second stable regime. Unfortunately, no details on the pedestal parameters are reported in that publication, nor is an assessment of any change in the ELM energy losses (compared to standard Type I ELMs) available.

In the case of JT-60U (Kamada and the JT-60U Team 2001, Kamada et al 2002), $\delta \gtrsim 0.6$, $\beta_{pol} \gtrsim 1.6$ and $q_{95} \gtrsim 4$ are required to obtain 'grassy' or Type II ELMy edge in steady state. Detailed mapping of the δ – q_{95} operational space in JT-60U has shown that, at fixed β_{pol} , a reduction of the plasma triangularity results in an increase of the minimum q_{95} required to access the small ELMs regime. As shown in figure 13, the pedestal parameters of Type II ELM discharges in JT-60U are virtually the same as standard Type I ELMy H-modes, typically low density ($n_{ped} \sim 30\%$ n GR) and high temperature, corresponding to a low collisionality regime ($v_{neo}^* \sim 0.1$).

The access to the Type II ELMy regime in ASDEX Upgrade (Stober et al 2001a) requires a quasi-double-null plasma configuration, high δ (typically \gtrsim 0.4), high density ($n_e \gtrsim 85\%$ n_{GR} , $v_{neo}^* \sim 2$) and $q_{95} \gtrsim 4-4.5$, with mixed Type I and II ELMs observed for q_{95} values as lowas 3.5 (Sips et al 2002). Both JT-60U and ASDEX Upgrade have demonstrated that the suppression of Type I ELMs is gradual as the critical parameters are approached, with phases where Type I and Type II can coexist. In both machines, the transition from Type I to Type II occurs at approximately constant p_{ped} and ∇p_{ped} .

For the case of JET, we have shown that mixed Type I–II ELMy H-modes are obtained at high δ and high pedestal density, in a rather narrow edge operational corner, near to the Type I–III ELM boundary. As discussed in Sartori et al (2002), access to the mixed ELM regime has also been observed in JET for a reduced δ ~0.33, q_{95} ~3.3 and high input power.

From the above observations, high shaping and edge shear seems to be the one common feature for access to Type II ELMs for all experiments; this is achieved by a combination of triangularity and elongation, proximity to double null configuration or/and distortion of the flux surfaces by Shafranov shift at high β_{pol} . The central role of magnetic shear and edge safety factor points to a change in the MHD stability in the pedestal region being associated with the onset of Type II ELMs. Modelling of JT-60U Type II ELMy plasmas (Lao et al 2001) as well as recent numerical studies (see for instance Wilson et al (2001)) show that p_{ped} , changes in ELM frequency and the size of the ELM losses can be related to access to second stability to ∞ – n ballooning modes and to changes in the leading unstable MHD mode in the pedestal region. This picture is consistent with the results of ∞ – n ballooning analysis by Korotkov et al (2000), showing that pedestal pressure gradients in excess of the first stability limit are obtained in some high δ JET plasmas.

Some results of recent MHD stability analysis of JET discharges (Huysmans 2001) at high δ and density with mixed Type I–II ELMs are illustrated in figure 23, summarizing the results of the MHD analysis carried out with the MISHKA code using inputs from JETTO simulations of two JET ELMy H-modes, at low and high δ (50460, δ = 0 23 on the top and 52308, δ = 0 47 on the bottom). In the low δ case, the stable operating space (in current density/pressure gradient) is reduced compared to the high δ discharge, with reduced stability to ideal ∞ – n ballooning modes as well as to intermediate n kink modes.

At high δ , the operating space opens up, for both ballooning as well as kink modes, but the access to second stability is essentially precluded by low n kink modes. This result for the high δ plasmas is critically dependent on the estimation of the edge bootstrap current profile J_{bs} (r). This is calculated from the experimental n_e (and T) pedestal profiles, and then varied in the calculations to test the sensitivity of the MISHKA code results. The effect on stability of decreasing the edge bootstrap current is schematically represented by the arrow in the lower box of figure 23, with the low n kink mode stability boundary moving to the right of the diagram. Therefore, at lower J_{bs} , the plasma pedestal is stable to low n kink modes, and the most unstable modes are intermediate n ballooning/peeling modes. These modes close the access to the second stable regime to ∞ – n ballooning modes, although the maximum stable ∇p is above the first ballooning stability limit. These calculations suggest that the pressure limit across the pedestal may increase by a factor of two, albeit for a narrow range of J_{bs} (r). This result of the simulations is consistent with the variation in pedestal height observed in JET experiments at high plasma δ . Finally, note that the MISHKA calculations show that the combination of high density and a broad density profile may even stabilize the kink modes completely.

The measurement of the edge density profile is usually not very accurate in JET, in particular for high δ plasmas (see section 1.2), although good Li beam measurements are available for a small number of the discharges studied in this paper. An example of such measurements is shown in figure 24, which compares density profiles for a pure Type I ELM discharge (pulse 53298) with those of a pulse with mixed Type I and Type II ELMs (53299). These measurements show clearly the dramatic variations of the edge density gradients with density in high δ discharges. The increase in n_{ped} not

only corresponds to a steepening of the profiles, but the region of the steepest gradient moves outside, towards the separatrix. Such changes in gradient and shape of the edge density modify the J_{bs} (r), which, as shown above, has a strong influence on stability. More accurate and systematic measurement of the pedestal profiles are required in order to make a detailed comparison with theoretical and numerical predictions. In the meantime, one can speculate that the changes in the density profiles at high gas fuelling, possibly due to the reduction of the ionization mean free path, influence J_{bs} (r) in such a way that the pedestal accesses an 'optimum' stability domain, where intermediate-high n modes may provide a trigger mechanism to expel heat and particles in small bursts. For the case of mixed Type I–II ELMs, the effect of these modes is to reduce the rate at which the pedestal pressure builds up, and delay the access to the stability domain where Type I ELMs become unstable. It is also possible, of course, that turbulent transport itself is providing the mechanism by which Type I ELMs are delayed or suppressed.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The positive effect of plasma shaping for achieving high density with high confinement in ELMy H-modes has been confirmed and extended in recent JET experiments. Plasmas with δ = 0.47, close to the ITER specifications, have achieved the core performances required for the Q = 10 operation in ITER, in terms of the normalized parameters n/n_{GR} , H and β_N . More specifically, the high δ plasmas have reached simultaneously n ~90% n_{GR} , H_H = 1 and β_N ~2, with high plasma purity (Z_{eff} = 1.4) and at low safety factor q_{95} = 3. The absolute plasma temperature of these high density discharges is of course quite low, in JET and all other existing experiments. Extension of these high density regimes in JET to higher plasma current and input power would provide further confirmation of the robustness of these results.

The plasma density profiles at high density show a modest peaking, typically ~ 1.2 or less; moreover, the density profile peaking is found to be independent of plasma triangularity, indicating that the increase in the plasma energy content with δ is not due to density peaking. These observations give confidence on the potential for extrapolation to ITER of the positive effects of plasma shape observed in JET and other Tokamaks.

The maximum pedestal density achievable in JET also increase with plasma triangularity and, for the first time in JET, plasmas with $n_{ped} \sim n_{GR}$ have been obtained, at constant plasma stored energy and high pedestal pressure. At $n_{ped} \sim 70\%$ n_{GR} and constant power flux across the separatrix, the Type I ELM frequency stops increasing with density and, if n ped is increased further, it even decreases. At the same time, small high frequency fluctuations are observed in the D_{α} emission between ELMs. This change in the D_{α} emission is accompanied by a characteristic and specific broadband MHD turbulence and by an increase of the density fluctuations in the pedestal region. A power balance analysis shows that the appearance of this inter-ELM activity is coincident with an increase of the plasma power losses between ELMs, while the pedestal pressure remains high. These observations, and the comparison with recent results from ASDEX Upgrade, suggest that the high frequency

oscillations on the divertor D_{α} emission could be identified as Type II ELMs. So far in JET, complete Type I ELMsuppression at high pedestal pressure has not yet been achieved. The mixed ELM regime has been observed for $n_{ped} \gtrsim 70-80\%$ n_{GR} , with T_{ped} marginally above the critical temperature for the transition to Type III ELMs and p_{ped} similar or higher to comparable pure Type I ELMy H-modes at similar density.

Finally, we have shown that changes in the plasma lower triangularity affect the ELM losses and the pedestal parameters, and in turn, the global confinement. In particular, plasmas with reduced δ_{low} have very low ELM energy losses (normalized to W_{ped}) at high density, of amplitude well within the acceptable losses projected to the Tungsten divertor of ITER.

The relevance of Type II ELMy H-modes for ITER operation is clear, but more work is required to identify the key parameters for access and to extrapolate this ELM regime to ITER. Moreover, more experimental information and modelling are required to extrapolate the magnitude of the energy and particle losses associated with Type II ELMs to ITER conditions (in particular to lowpedestal v*), and evaluate if Type II ELMs can provide an adequate exhaust mechanism for ITER H-mode plasmas. Experiments are planned in the 2002 JET experimental campaign to explore further the Type II ELM regime, in particular to achieve and document high performing H-modes with a pure Type II ELMs edge with both NB and ICRF heating, as well as to explore further the role of the plasma boundary geometry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all the JET–EFDA collaborators for the help in the execution of the experimental programme and in the data analysis. One author (G Saibene) thanks A Hubbard and D Mossessian (MIT), Y Kamada (JAERI), A A C Sips (IPP) and G Janeschitz (ITER) for the kind help and very interesting discussions. Figure 13 was produced with JT-60U data kindly provided by Y Kamada. The experiments described in this paper were carried out in the JET-EFDA Task Force S1, and the efforts of the Task Force leader J Ongena in the organization of the experiments, data analysis and publication of results are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Aymar R et al 2001 ITER-FEAT: the future international plasma burning experiment—overview Proc. 8th Fusion

Energy Conf. 2000 (October 2000) paper OV/1 (Vienna: IAEA) CD ROM ISSN 1562-4153

Becoulet M et al 2001 Edge fast magnetics measurements during ELMs of different types in JET Presented at IAEA TCM on Divertor Concepts (Aix en Provence, France, 2001)

Becoulet M et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 A103

Beurskens M N Aet al 2001 Analysis of plasma edge profiles at JET Proc 28th Conf. on Control. Fusion Plasma

Phys. (Madeira) Europhys. Conf. Abstracts 25A 1229

Brix M et al 2001 Determination of edge density profiles in JET using a 50 keV lithium beam Proc. 28th Conf. on

Control. Fusion Plasma Phys. (Madeira) Europhys. Conf. Abstracts 25A 389

Chankin A V and Saibene G 1999 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 41 913

Connor J W 1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 40 191

Conway G D, Vayakis G, Fessey J A and Bartlett D V 1999 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 70 3921

Cox M1988 FOKMEG: a package to determine the fast ion distribution function for the JET interpretation code suite Technical Report (Report on a JET Contract) KR5-33-04 UKAEA Culham Laboratory, UK

DIII-D Team 1990 Plasma Phys. Control. Nucl. Fusion Res. 1 69 IAEA-CN-53/A-I-4

Federici G et al 2001 J. Nucl. Mater. **290–293** 260

Federici G et al 2002 Key ITER plasma edge and plasma-material interaction issues 15th PSI Conf. (Gifu, Japan, May 2002) J. Nucl. Mater. at press

Ferron J R et al 2000 Nucl. Fusion 7 1411

Horton L D 2002 Pedestal physics at ASEDX Upgrade Proc. 29th Conf. on Control. Fusion Plasma Phys. (Montreux, Switzerland) paper P2.047

Hubbard A E et al 2001 Phys. Plasmas 8 2033

Hutchinson I et al 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 1391

Huysmans G T A2001 Overview of MHD stability in edge transport barriers Presented at the 9th European Fusion Physics Workshop (Saariselka, Finland)

ITER Physics Basis 1999 Nucl. Fusion **39** 2175 (by the ITER physics expert groups on confinement and transport and confinement and modelling and database)

Kamada Y et al 1996 Fusion Energy 1 247 IAEA-CN-64/A1-6

Kamada Y et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 A279

Kamada Y and the JT-60U Team 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 1311

Korotkov A A et al 2000 Edge plasma pressure profile evolution in Type I ELM discharges on JET Proc. 2000 Int. Congress on Plasma Physics and 27th European Physical Society Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics Europhys. Conf. Abstracts **24B** 1581

Lao L Let al 1999 Nucl. Fusion 11 Y1785

Lao L Let al 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 295

Leonard A W et al 2001 J. Nucl. Mater. 290-293 1097

Loarte A et al 2000 Comparison of high recycling H-modes on Alcator C-Mod and JET Bulletin of the 42nd Annual Meeting of the American Physics Soc. (Div. Plasma Physics) paper DO1/4

Loarte A et al 2001a Type I energy and particle losses in JET ELMy H-Modes Proc 28th Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Madeira) Europhys. Conf. Abstracts **25A** 945

Loarte A et al 2001b Predicted ELM energy losses and power loading in ITER-FEAT Proc. 18th Fusion Energy Conf.

2000 (October 2000) paper ITERP/11 (Vienna: IAEA) CD ROM ISSN 1562–4153

Loarte A et al 2002a Characteristics and scaling of energy and particle losses during Type I ELMs in JET H-modes Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **44** 1815

Loarte A et al 2002b ELM energy and particle losses in present tokamaks and their extrapolation to burning plasmas experiments 15th PSI Conf. (Gifu, Japan, May 2002) J. Nucl. Mater. at press

Meade D M et al 2001 Mission and design of the fusion ignition research experiments (FIRE) Proc. 18th Fusion

Energy Conf. 2000 (October 2000) paper FTP2/16 (Vienna: IAEA) CD ROM ISSN 1562-4153

Mossessian D A et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 423


Nordman H, Strand P, Weiland J and Christiansen J P 1999 Nucl. Fusion 39 1157

Osborne T H et al 2000a Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 42 A175

Osborne T H et al 2000b J. Nucl. Mater. 290-293 1013

Ozeki T et al 1990 Nucl. Fusion 30 1425


Parail V V et al 2001 Predictive modelling of JET plasmas with edge and core transport barriers. Proc. 28th Conf. Control. Fusion Plasma Phys. (Madeira) Europhys. Conf. Abstracts **25A** 1873 Ryter F et al 2001 Nucl. Fusion **41** 537




Pulse No: 53767 10 Divertor H_{α} 8 a.u. 6 total stored energy W (MJ) 5.3 5.2 5.1 T_e (eV) 1000 end of ELM cycle 900 beginning of ELM cycle n_e (10 ¹⁹ m⁻³) 4.4 minimum density 4.0 3.6 23.27 23.28 23.30 23.31 23.29 Time (s)

Figure 1: EFIT equilibrium reconstruction of pulse 52014 ($\delta \sim 0.47$ — $\delta_{up} \sim 0.49$, $\delta_{low} \sim 0.45$) in full line, and of pulse 52819 ($\delta \sim 0.40$ — $\delta_{up} \sim 0.51$, $\delta_{low} \sim 0.30$), dashed line.

Figure 2: Typical time evolution of the plasma stored energy W(MJ), pedestal temperature $T_{ped}(eV)$ and density $n_{ped}(10^{19}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3})$ in a Type I ELMy H-mode. The 'ELM cycle' begins just after one ELM crash and ends just before the next ELM crash. Note that the pedestal density is at its minimum just after the crash.








Figure 4: Time traces of the D_{α} emission from the outer divertor region, for the same pulses as in Figure 3. The pulses are in order of increasing gas fuelling.




Figure 5: Time evolution of the density peaking factor n_{peak} (calculated as the ratio of the central to the pedestal line average density, smoothed over 150ms), for the same set of pulses shown in figure 3. The time window selected corresponds to the longest NB heating flat top.

Figure 6: Confinement enhancement factor H_{98} as function of the plasma line average density n_e , normalized to the Greenwald density, n_{GR} . The closed symbols are for data in Type I ELMy pulses, the open ones for Type III ELMs. The new data ($\delta = 0.47$, squares, with GB to identify the MkII gas box divertor) are compared to those from the δ scans carried out in JET with the MkII divertor (Saibene et al 1999).




Figure 7: Thermal plasma stored energy W_{th} as function of the pedestal density n_{ped} normalized to the Greenwald density, for the same set of discharges as in figure 6 (same convention for the symbols). The non-thermal component of W is calculated as described in Saibene et al (1999).




Figure 8: Flattening of the power density and particle deposition profiles for increasing density, for the same discharges as the T_i profiles in figure 9. Each power and particle deposition profile is the average of several profiles in the discharge.

Figure 9: Main plasma T_e and T_i profiles for the a selection of pulses in the density scan at $\delta = 0.47$ and 2.5 MA 2.7T. Due to ECEemission cut-off, no T_e profiles are available for $n \ge 0.85 \times 10^{20}$ m⁻³ (pulses 52024, 52308 and 52739). Each T e profile is the average of three profiles, taken at times towards the end of the NB heating phase and just before a Type I ELM. For T_i , each profile is also the average of three profiles, but not necessarily from the same ELM cycle. T_i profiles data for pulse 52014 are excluded for the plot because of below-standard quality. In both cases, the profiles are plotted on the region outside the sawtooth inversion radius. The inset in the plot of the T_i profiles shows the value of n peak for the same plasma discharges with Type I ELMs, for reference.




Figure 10: Time averaged $\chi_{\rm eff}$ profiles for four discharges with increasing density, for the $\delta=0.47$ at 2.5MA 2.7T density scan.

Figure 11: The n_e – T_e edge pedestal diagram for two density scans. The black circles are for δ =0.47 (δ_{low} = 0.45 at 2.5 MA 2.7T; the red squares are for the discharges at reduced δ_{low} =0 30. For both sets of data, the open symbols are T_{ped} values taken at the beginning of the ELM cycle, when the ECE emission is not cut-off, while n_{ped} is taken at its maximum. The stars are for Type III ELMs. Also shown are the lines of constant p_{ped} for both scans and the line $p \propto n^{-1}$ line for the high δ_{low} scan only.






Figure 12: Comparison of the divertor D_{α} traces of pulses 52009 and 52308 (box 1 and 2), with their respective total plasma stored energies W (box 3 values not corrected for fast ion content) and line average densities n_e (box 4).



52009 52308 52306 52309 52022 52736 52014 52736, type III 10 $^{\mbox{\scriptsize II}}$ p>240kPA/m 8 (type I and mixed) p_e(kPa) 6 4 2 [□]p~180kPa/m (type III) 0 3.84 3.86 3.88 3.82 3.90 Major radius (m)

Figure 13: The n_e – T_e diagram for a series of discharges from JT-60U (courtesy of Y Kamada, JAERI). The diagram includes the values of the electron temperature and density at the top of the pedestal for Type I ELMy H-modes (labelled std, standard, in the figure), high β_p H modes with Type I ELM edge, high β_p H-modes with Type II ELMs (pure and mixed with Type I), as well as from H mode plasmas with Type III ELM edge. The range of pedestal parameters where Type II ELMs appear is common with the other types of plasmas.

Figure 14: Pedestal electron pressure profiles calculated from the n_e and T_e profiles measured with the edge LIDAR system, for discharges in a density scan at 2.5MA 2.7T $\delta = 0.47$.






Figure 15: The n_e – T_e diagram for the density scan at 2.0 MA 2.7T (q_{95} ~3.8), δ = 0.46. Mixed Type I–II ELMs are observed in pulses 52997 and 52999.

Figure 16: The radiation P^{bulk} (MW), ELM power losses $P^{Type\,I}$ (MW), for the density scan at $\delta=0.47$ and 2.5MA. The Type I power losses decrease at the onset of mixed Type I–II ELMs. The plasma stored energy is also shown for reference. Each point is representative of one discharge.




Figure 17: Frequency spectrum of Mirnov pick-up coil signal for pulses 52008 and 52308 (2.5MA 2.7T, δ =0.47, n_{ped} ~55% n_{GR} and n_{ped} ~90% n_{GR} , respectively). The colour coding indicates the amplitude of the Fourier component normalized by the frequency on a logarithmic scale.




Figure 18: Divertor D_{α} emission for pulses 52008 and 52308, on the same timescale as figure 17.

Figure 19: Comparison of the intensity of MHD turbulence for pulses 52008 and 52308 The sharp peaks come to form a continuous narrow band MHD, for both pulses (see also figure 17). The y-axis is in arbitrary units.




Figure 20: Spectrogram of density fluctuations calculated applying a moving average FFT to the signals from reflectometry, for pulses 52008 (above) and 52308 (below). The time windowfor pulse 52008 includes two Type I ELMs. For discharge 52308, the spectrum includes two phases with Type II ELM, separated by a Type I ELM at ~19.55s. The corresponding divertor D_{α} emissions are shown in figure 18.

Figure 21: Comparison of the intensity of density fluctuations in pulses 52008 and 52308 (y-axis in arbitrary units).




Figure 22: Comparison of W th for the two density scans at high and low δ_{low} (black squares and red circles, respectively), as function of the line average density normalized to the Greenwald density. W_{th} is normalized to $P^{-0.69}$ in to account for the difference in input power (the exponent of P_{in} is the power degradation of the H_{98} scaling).




Figure 23: Comparison of the MHD stability space for two JET ELMy H-modes, at low δ (upper box, pulse 50460, δ = 0.23) and high δ (lower box, pulse 52308, δ = 0.47). The input parameters for the MISHKA calculations are provided by JETTO interpretative simulations of the two discharges. At low δ , the maximum pedestal pressure gradient is limited by ∞ – n ballooning and low n kink modes. Increasing δ opens up the operational space and the stability limit is given by low-intermediate n ballooning modes. The effect of reduced bootstrap current in the pedestal region on stability, schematically shown by the red arrow in the lower figure, is to widen the access to the connection region between first and second ∞ – n ballooning stable region. The ∞ – n unstable region is indicated by the blue and pink colour (depending on the field line), the high n ballooning are in the zone in yellow, while kink-peeling modes are indicated with shades of grey.




Figure 24: Li beam density profiles for pulses 53298 (blue) and 53299 (red), 2.5MA 2.7T, $\delta = 0.47$, $P_{in} = 15$ MW. The dotted lines in the top figure mark the time window in which the profiles have been taken. The time traces show the total plasma stored energy W(MJ), the divertor D emission and the pedestal density n_{ped} (10¹⁹ m⁻³). The Li beam density profiles (lower traces) are plotted with the core edge LIDAR profiles and the interferometer density measured at the outermost channel for comparison. The position of the separatrix is indicated by the band at 3.86m.