

L. Frassinetti, M.N.A. Beurskens, B. Alper, C. Bourdelle, S. Brezinsek, J. Bucalossi, P. Buratti, F. Crisanti, C. Challis, J. Flanagan, C. Giroud, M. Groth, J. Hobirk, E. Joffrin, M.J. Leyland, P. Lomas, M. Kempenaars, M. Maslov, G. Matthews, M-L Mayoral, K. McCormick, R. Neu, I. Nunes, F. Rimini, S. Saarelma, P. de Vries, and JET EFDA contributors

Core Versus Edge Confinement in JET with the ILW Compared to the CFC First-Wall

“This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

“Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

The contents of this preprint and all other JET EFDA Preprints and Conference Papers are available to view online free at www.iop.org/Jet. This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. The diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked from the year 1996 onwards.

Core Versus Edge Confinement in JET with the ILW Compared to the CFC First-Wall

L. Frassinetti¹, M.N.A. Beurskens², B. Alper², C. Bourdelle³, S. Brezinsek⁴, J. Bucalossi³,
P. Buratti⁵, F. Crisanti⁵, C. Challis², J. Flanagan², C. Giroud², M. Groth⁶, J. Hobirk⁴,
E. Joffrin³, M.J. Leyland⁷, P. Lomas², M. Kempenaars², M. Maslov², G. Matthews²,
M-L Mayoral², K. McCormick⁸, R. Neu⁸, I. Nunes⁹, F. Rimini², S. Saarelma²,
P. de Vries¹⁰, and JET EFDA contributors*

JET-EFDA, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK

¹*Division of Fusion Plasma Physics, Association EURATOM-VR, KTH, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden*

²*EURATOM-CCFE Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, OXON, UK*

³*CEA, IRFM, F-13108 St. Paul-lez-Durance, Cedex, France*

⁴*Association EURATOM/Forschungszentrum Juelich GmbH 52425 Juelich Germany*

⁵*Associazione EURATOM -ENEA sulla Fusione, C.R. Frascati, Frascati, Italy*

⁶*Association EURATOM/Helsinki University of Technology 02015 Espoo Finland*

⁷*Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK.*

⁸*Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, D-85748 Garching, Germany,*

⁹*Centro de Fusao Nuclear, Associacao EURATOM-IST, Lisboa, Portugal*

¹⁰*Association EURATOM/DIFFER, Rijnhuizen P.O. Box 1207 3430BE Nieuwegein, Netherlands*

* See annex of F. Romanelli et al, "Overview of JET Results",
(23rd IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Daejeon, Republic of Korea (2010)).

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of the
39th European Physical Society Conference on Plasma Physics, Stockholm, Sweden
2nd July 2012 - 6th July 2012

INTRODUCTION

The baseline type I ELMy H-mode scenario has been re-established in JET with the new W MKII-HD divertor and Be-main wall (hereafter called ITER-like wall, ILW).

A steady state H-mode profile database has been constructed from high quality kinetic diagnostics. It contains plasmas with low ($\delta \sim 0.2-0.3$) and high ($\delta \sim 0.38-0.42$) triangularity with both the CFC wall and the ILW. For the CFC wall, the database contains both BaseLine (BL) ELMy H-mode plasmas $q_{95} = 2.8-3.6$ as well as Hybrid H-mode plasmas with $q_{95} = 3.5-4.2$ and plasma current in the range 1-3MA [1,2]. For the ILW plasmas, the database contains baseline ELMy H-mode plasmas at $q_{95} = 2.8-3.6$, $I_p = 1.0-2.5$ MA and hybrids plasma at $q_{95} = 3.6-4.3$ with $I_p \approx 1.5$ MA. The low triangularity ILW hybrids have δ larger than the corresponding CFC plasmas ($\delta \sim 0.3$ for ILW and $\delta \sim 0.22$ for CFC). The applied heating systems are mainly NBI for all plasmas and some ICRH for the baseline plasmas ($P_{ICRH}/P_{NBI} < 0-10\%$). The electron density and temperature parameters (pedestal heights and profiles) are obtained from the High Resolution Thomson Scattering diagnostic [3].

1. CONFINEMENT OVERVIEW

The thermal stored energy W_{th} for the CFC database and the ILW database is shown in figure 1(a) versus W_{98} . The thermal energy is calculated from the diamagnetic energy corrected for the fast particle losses while W_{98} is the thermal energy expected from the IPB98(y,2) scaling: $W_{98} = \tau_E^{(y,2)98} \cdot P_{NET}$, with P_{NET} the total input power and $\tau_E^{98(y,2)}$ the energy confinement from the IPB98(y,2) scaling. The baseline CFC plasmas have a thermal energy that follows the IPB98(y,2) scaling, while in many baseline ILW shots the thermal energy is lower than the expected W_{98} . Concerning the hybrid plasmas, the thermal energy is larger than W_{98} in both CFC and ILW shots. A clear overlap between ILW and CFC hybrids is present. This behaviour is reflected in the H_{98} , as shown in figure 1(b). In the hybrid scenarios, $H_{98} > 1$ for both CFC and ILW plasmas. Instead baseline CFC plasmas have $H_{98} \approx 1$, while only few baseline ILW shots reach $H_{98} \approx 1$. The few ILW hybrids with $H_{98} < 1$ have low input power and/or high gas fuelling, as later discussed. Note the same trend of H_{98} versus the normalized beta for both CFC and ILW.

The lower performance in some of the ILW shots might be related to several factors. Due to the difference in the impurities present in the CFC and ILW plasmas, the radiated power might be a relevant parameter. The correlation of H_{98} versus the power radiated by the bulk plasma is shown in figure 2(a) and versus the power radiated at the divertor in figure 2(b). For CFC plasmas no clear trend is present within each scenario, even if globally a reduction of H_{98} with the P_{bulk} and P_{div} is present. For the baseline ILW plasmas, data might suggest a weak positive correlation between H_{98} and P_{bulk}/P_{net} . Note that the baseline ILW and CFC plasmas cover similar P_{bulk}/P_{net} ranges (even though ILW shots can reach higher P_{bulk}/P_{net}). In ILW and CFC hybrids, P_{bulk}/P_{net} is different while the H_{98} is comparable. Concerning P_{div} , a negative trend of H_{98} versus P_{div}/P_{net} is present. This might be a side effect of the H98 degradation with the gas fuelling, as later discussed (figure 3a). CFC plasmas radiate a similar power from the bulk and at the divertor while in ILW shots

P_{div} can be significantly lower than P_{bulk} . Finally, note that ILW shots with $P_{\text{bulk}}/P_{\text{net}}$ and/or $P_{\text{div}}/P_{\text{net}}$ similar to the CFC shots have lower H_{98} . These observations suggest that the radiated power is likely not the main source of difference between ILW and CFC shots.

Because of possible tungsten accumulation, ILW, a relatively higher gas fuelling is needed with the ILW. Therefore, an important parameter that might affect the confinement is the rate of the injected gas. The correlation between H_{98} and the gas rate is shown in figure 3(a). Globally a negative trend is present for both the CFC and the ILW shots, suggesting that a better performance can be obtained with lower fuelling. Within each ILW scenario, the trend is clear for the low δ baselines, while for the high δ baselines the scatter is relatively larger. An analysis over a subset of high δ baseline plasmas with same I_p , P_{net} and B_t shows a relatively clear trend. A detailed analysis of the pedestal behaviour for this subset shows that the improvement at low gas rate is related to the increase of the electron pedestal pressure due to a strong increase of the pedestal temperature accompanied by a weak reduction of the pedestal density.

Figure 3(b) shows the correlation of H_{98} with the electron pedestal density normalized to the Greenwald density. As already described in reference [4] for a set of baseline plasmas, a reduction of H_{98} is observed at high pedestal densities. This reduction is also present in this CFC database, both for baseline and hybrids plasmas. Note that at similar $N_e^{\text{ped}}/N_e^{\text{GW}}$ the highest performances are obtained by the high triangularity discharges. For the ILW plasmas, the low δ baselines follow the same trend of the corresponding CFC shots. Note that ILW and CFC plasmas with the same normalized pedestal density have comparable H_{98} . The high δ baseline ILW shots also have a negative trend, but their H_{98} is clearly similar to the low δ ILW baselines. At the similar pedestal density, the high δ ILW baseline clearly underperform if compared to their corresponding CFC shots, as if in ILW the shape affects less the confinement. From a quantitative point of view, H_{98} is in the range 0.9-1.1 for high δ CFC BLs and 0.7-1.0 for high δ ILW BLs.

The ILW hybrids are instead comparable to their corresponding CFC shots. The difference in the pedestal density between ILW and CFC low δ hybrids is likely related to the fact that their shapes are not perfectly matching.

2. GLOBAL AND PEDESTAL CONFINEMENT.

To investigate the origin of the low confinement in high δ ILW baseline shots, figure 4(a) shows the energy confinement versus the input power. To avoid the spread of the data due to the fact that shots with different currents and fields are considered, τ_E is normalized using the trend expected by the IPB98(y,2) scaling. Moreover, only the shots with $I_p \approx 2.5\text{MA}$ are shown. The dashed lines represent constant H_{98} curves. High δ ILW shots have a power degradation that clearly follows the trend expected by IPB98(y,2) scaling. Note that at the same input power, ILW shots have normalized τ_E lower than the corresponding CFCs. This lower confinement is clearly related to the pedestal temperature, as described in figure 4(b), where the normalized T_e^{ped} versus the normalized N_e^{ped} is shown. The dashed lines highlight the constant $\beta\theta_{\text{ped}}$ curves. The ILW and CFC plasmas have

similar N_e^{ped} , but T_e^{ped} is $\approx 30\text{-}50\%$ lower in the ILW shots. As a consequence, the pedestal beta is approximately $\beta_\theta^{\text{ped}} \approx 0.1\text{-}0.2$ in ILW and $\approx 0.2\text{-}0.3$ in CFC.

The comparison of the global confinement for the low δ baseline scenarios is shown in figure 4(c). For this scenario the normalized τ_E is comparable between ILW and CFC shots with similar input power. This is due to the fact that the pedestal parameters can be relatively similar, as shown in figure 4(d). On average, the ILW shots have higher pedestal density and lower pedestal temperature than the corresponding CFC shots, but they both lie on the same constant beta curve, at $\beta_\theta^{\text{ped}} \approx 0.2$. Note that the low δ ILW shots with pedestal density similar to the CFC shots have also similar pedestal temperature.

The hybrids shots are described in figure 5. Excluding the ILW shots with low input power and/or high gas fuelling, the normalized τ_E is comparable between ILW and CFC plasmas, figure 5(a). ILW hybrids can reach a pedestal density similar or slightly higher than the CFC shots, while the pedestal temperature is comparable or slightly lower. This is again related to the fact that the pedestal confinement is relatively similar, being $\beta_\theta^{\text{ped}}$ up to 0.4 and 0.3 for the ILW high and low δ shots respectively and $\beta_\theta^{\text{ped}}$ up to 0.4-0.5 and 0.2-0.4 for the CFC high and low δ shots respectively.

3. PROFILE PEAKING

To investigate more in detail the role of the electron temperature and density profile in the confinement, in figure 6(a) the temperature and density gradient length, R/L_{T_e} and R/L_{N_e} , calculated at $\rho_{\text{tor}} \approx 0.6$ are shown versus the collisionality. As described in references [1,2,5], a negative trend in R/L_{N_e} is present for the CFC plasmas. The ILW shots have higher collisionality, but follow the same trend. Instead, for R/L_{T_e} a positive trend is present for the CFC shots while no trend or a slightly negative trend is present for the ILW shots. In particular, at $\nu_{\text{eff}} = 0.9$ the temperature gradient length are clearly different for ILW and CFC shots. These behaviours are shown in figures 6(b) and 6(c), where the normalized density and temperature profiles are shown. The profiles correspond to two high δ baseline shots with $\nu_{\text{eff}} = 0.9$. While the density profiles are similar, the ILW shot (blue) is clearly more peaked in the core. This behaviour is consistent with the fact that the lower confinement in high δ ILW baseline shots is mainly correlated to a reduced pedestal confinement.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, high δ BL plasmas seem to underperform with the ILW compared to CFC wall mainly because of the lower pedestal T_e . Even if not shown in this work, preliminary results suggest that the N2 seeding in high δ BLs increases the pedestal height, the stored energy and the confinement to values comparable to the CFC plasma. For low δ BL plasmas the confinement is similar in ILW and CFC shots, provided a low gas rate. At high input power, ILW Hybrids plasmas have confinement relatively similar to the corresponding CFC plasmas. ILW hybrids with low confinement are related to low pedestal T_e due to low input power and/or high gas fuelling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

This work was supported by EURATOM and carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Frassinetti et al., 37th EPS Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 2010 Dublin, Ireland, P1.1031.
- [2]. Beurskens et al., submitted to Nuclear Fusion
- [3]. Pasqualotto R. Et al., Review Scientific Instruments **75**, 3891 (2004)
- [4]. Saibene et al., Nuclear Fusion **45**, 297 (2005).
- [5]. Maslov M. et al., Nuclear Fusion **49**, 075037(2009)




Figure 1: Frame (a): stored thermal energy obtained from the diamagnetic energy corrected for the fast particle energy versus the thermal energy expected by the IPB98(y,2) scaling. Frame (b) H_{98} versus normalized beta for CFC and ILW plasmas.




Figure 2: Confinement factor versus the power radiated by the bulk plasma normalized to the total input power (a) and versus the power radiated at the divertor normalized to the total input power




Figure 3: Confinement factor versus gas rate (a) and versus pedestal density normalized to the Greenwald density (b).




Figure 4: Baseline plasmas. Normalized energy confinement versus input power (a) and (c). Normalized pedestal temperature versus normalized pedestal density, frames (b) and (d). Symbol definition as in figure 1.




Figure 5: Hybrid plasma. Normalized energy confinement versus input power (a). Normalized pedestal temperature versus normalized pedestal density, (b). Symbol definition as in figure 1.




Figure 6: Gradient length at $\rho_{tor} = 0.6$ (a). Normalized N_e and T_e profile for a ILW (blue) and a CFC (red) shot with $\nu_{eff} = 0.9$ (both high δ BL plasmas).