

I. Voitsekhovitch, X. Litaudon, E. Barbato, V. Basiuk, P. Belo, J.P.S. Bizarro,  
T. Casper, J. Citrin, E. Fable, J. Ferreira, J. Garcia, L. Garzotti, J. Hobirk,  
G.M.D. Hogeweyj, I. Ivanova-Stanik, E. Joffrin, D. Kalupin, F. Köchl, F. Liu,  
J. Lönnroth, S. Moradi, D. Moreau, F. Nabais, V. Parail, A. Polevoi,  
M. Romanelli, M. Schneider, P.B. Snyder, ASDEX Upgrade Team,  
the EU-ITM ITER Scenario Modelling Group  
and JET EFDA contributors

# Integrated Modelling for Tokamak Plasma: Physics and Scenario Optimisation

“This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

“Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

The contents of this preprint and all other JET EFDA Preprints and Conference Papers are available to view online free at [www.iop.org/Jet](http://www.iop.org/Jet). This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. The diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked from the year 1996 onwards.

# Integrated Modelling for Tokamak Plasma: Physics and Scenario Optimisation

I. Voitsekhovitch<sup>1</sup>, X. Litaudon<sup>2</sup>, E. Barbato<sup>3</sup>, V. Basiuk<sup>2</sup>, P. Belo<sup>4</sup>, J. P. S. Bizarro<sup>4</sup>,  
T. Casper<sup>5</sup>, J. Citrin<sup>6</sup>, E. Fable<sup>7</sup>, J. Ferreira<sup>4</sup>, J. Garcia<sup>2</sup>, L. Garzotti<sup>1</sup>, J. Hobirk<sup>7</sup>,  
G.M.D. Hogeweyj<sup>6</sup>, I. Ivanova-Stanik<sup>8</sup>, E. Joffrin<sup>2</sup>, D. Kalupin<sup>9</sup>, F. Köchl<sup>10</sup>, F. Liu<sup>2</sup>,  
J. Lönnroth<sup>11</sup>, S. Moradi<sup>12</sup>, D. Moreau<sup>2</sup>, F. Nabais<sup>4</sup>, V. Parail<sup>1</sup>, A. Polevoi<sup>5</sup>,  
M. Romanelli<sup>1</sup>, M. Schneider<sup>2</sup>, P.B. Snyder<sup>13</sup>, ASDEX Upgrade Team,  
the EU-ITM ITER Scenario Modelling Group and JET EFDA contributors \*

*JET-EFDA, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK*

<sup>1</sup>*EURATOM-CCFE Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, OXON, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France*

<sup>3</sup>*Associazione EURATOM ENEA sulla Fusione, CP 65-00044-Frascati, Rome, Italy*

<sup>4</sup>*Associação EURATOM/IST, IPFN –Laboratório Associado, IST,P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

<sup>5</sup>*ITER Organization, F-13115 St. Paul-lez-Durance, France*

<sup>6</sup>*FOM Institute DIFFER - Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, Association  
EURATOM/FOM, The Netherlands*

<sup>7</sup>*Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM-IPP Association, Germany*

<sup>8</sup>*Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, EURATOM Association,00-908 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>9</sup>*EFDA-CSU Garching, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748, Garching, Germany*

<sup>10</sup>*Association EURATOM-ÖAW/ATI, Atominstitut, TU Wien, 1020 Vienna, Austria*

<sup>11</sup>*Aalto University, Association Euratom-Tekes, Helsinki, Finland*

<sup>12</sup>*Chalmers University of Technology and Euratom-VR Association, Göteborg, Sweden*

<sup>13</sup>*General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608, USA*

\* See annex of F. Romanelli et al, “Overview of JET Results”,  
(23rd IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Daejeon, Republic of Korea (2010)).

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of the  
39th European Physical Society Conference on Plasma Physics, Stockholm, Sweden  
2nd July 2012 - 6th July 2012



## **ABSTRACT**

Recent (2010-2012) studies dedicated to the analysis and modeling of Hybrid Scenarios (HS) performed within the EFDA ITM-TF by the ITER Scenario Modelling (ISM) group are summarized here including the core transport physics, pedestal stability, transport model validation on existing experiments and projection to ITER.

### **1. HYBRID SCENARIOS ON JET AND ASDEX-UPGRADE (AUG): PHYSICS AND MODEL VALIDATION.**

16 JET discharges performed within a broad density  $((2.5-5)10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3})$  and NBI power (6-19MW) range have been analysed including the whole pulse scenario (current ramp up, main heating phase and current ramp down). This database has been completed with 2 AUG hybrid discharges (main heating phase only) [1].

#### ***1.1. CURRENT DIFFUSION***

Hybrid scenarios are characterised by a nearly flat  $q$  profile within a broad central region with  $q_0 \geq 1$  during the main heating phase. In JET HS such profiles are sufficiently well reproduced in interpretative simulations started with the first measured  $q$  profile (EFIT) at the main heating phase when the neoclassical current diffusion (NCLASS) is assumed (Fig.1 left) while in AUG the simulated  $q_0$  reduces well below the EFIT reconstructed value (the discrepancy with simulations can be explained by the MHD activity observed in these discharges). The current ramp up and ramp down phases are not sufficiently well diagnosed for a proper validation of current diffusion models. Still the simulated (NCLASS)  $li$  evolution during the current ramp down is consistent with the EFIT  $li$  (Fig.1b) [2]. During the current ramp up an agreement between the simulated  $q_0$  and the time of the 1st observed sawtooth crash can be achieved by adjusting the profile of  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  constrained by its measured line averaged value.

#### ***1.2. GLF23-BASED MODELLING: PHYSICS OF CORE CONFINEMENT IMPROVEMENT***

Three field simulations (ion ( $T_i$ ) and electron ( $T_e$ ) temperature, and electron density ( $n_e$ )) of the JET and AUG HS with the GLF23 model examining the effect of  $q$ -profile tailoring on core confinement show that  $s/q$  shaping at outer radii may be responsible for a significant proportion of core confinement improvement [3]. The effect of the  $E \times B$  rotation shear on anomalous transport described here in more detail has been studied in the four field modelling ( $T_e$ ,  $T_i$ , deuterium density  $n_D$  and toroidal angular frequency  $\omega$ ) of eight JET discharges performed at various triangularities  $\delta$ ,  $n_e$ , NBI powers  $P_{\text{NBI}}$ , magnetic fields and plasma currents. The wall particle source (deuterium neutral influx through the separatrix) used in the predictive modelling has been estimated in the self-consistent TRANSP-EDGE2D simulations for two selected discharges performed at  $P_{\text{NBI}} = 6\text{MW}$  (Pulse No: 79635)

and 17MW (Pulse No: 77922), and extrapolated to other pulses. The GLF23 model applied with the E×B shear calibration factor  $\alpha_E = 1$ , which usually gives a satisfactory prediction for the JET H-mode plasmas and high  $\beta_N$  scenarios, over-predicts the density and toroidal rotation in hybrid pulses while  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  are in a good agreement with measurements (Fig.2, left). By reducing the E×B shear factor in the GLF23 model by factor 2 (i.e.  $\alpha_E = 0.5$ ) a more accurate density prediction has been achieved while the simulated temperature and rotation were weakly affected by this change of  $\alpha_E$ . Trying to improve the prediction of toroidal rotation, the simulations have been performed assuming that the momentum diffusivity  $\chi_\phi$  is a fraction of the thermal ion diffusivity  $\chi_i$  where  $\chi_i$  has been computed with the GLF23 model. The Prandtl number  $P_r = \chi_\phi / \chi_i$  had to be adjusted separately for low and high triangularity pulses to match the measured  $\omega$ . With this adjustment ( $P_r = 0.3$  at low  $\delta$  and  $P_r = 0.5$  for two high  $\delta$  discharges) an essential improvement in the prediction of the toroidal rotation has been achieved while the density and temperatures remains within 20% deviation from the measurements (Fig.2 right and Fig.3). However the  $P_r$  number has to be reduced to 0.2 in the high  $\delta$  Pulse No: 75590 where a steep (“ITB-like”)  $T_i$  gradient has been observed.

A good agreement between the measured and simulated  $n_D$  and  $T_r$  has been obtained also in simulations with the TGLF [4] model applied with reduced strength of the E×B shear ( $\alpha_E = 0.5$ , Fig.3). It should be mentioned that the GLF23 simulated density is weakly sensitive to  $\alpha_E$  in the low NBI power high  $\delta$  discharge (Fig. 3) while an important density over-prediction at high power clearly indicates that the E×B shear effect in the GLF23 model should be weakened to achieve an accurate density prediction in hybrid scenario.

### ***1.3. VALIDATION OF THE BOHM-GYROBOHM TRANSPORT MODEL***

Self-consistent three field simulations ( $n_e$ ,  $T_e$  and  $T_i$ ) performed with the H-mode BohmgyroBohm (BgB) transport model also show an over-peaking of the density profile during the main heating phase in the JET hybrid pulses [5]. The electron and ion temperature in the JET [5] and two AUG pulses is well predicted with the BgB model. When applied to the whole discharge simulation the BgB model accurately predicts the temperature evolution during the current ramp up as well as the  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  evolution during the current ramp down performed at different densities and ramp down rates [2].

### ***1.4. MICRO-TURBULENCE STABILITY ANALYSIS***

Linear stability analysis performed with GYRO [6], QuaLiKiz [7] and GLF23 [8] shows that the ITG driven mode is the dominant instability in selected JET discharges, although the operational point is very close to the KBM dominant domain in GYRO simulations performed for Pulse No: 77922 (Fig.4). The stabilising effect of the E×B shear on the ITG mode is very weak while the onset of KBM is sensitive to the E×B shear. Strong stabilising effect of  $\beta_e$  on the ITG mode in JET HS has been found (Fig.4).

### **1.5. PARTICLE CONFINEMENT**

First self-consistent TRANSP-EDGE2D simulations performed here for two JET pulses allow an estimation of the particle confinement time  $\tau_p$ . In these pulses the  $\tau_p$  value exceeds the energy confinement time  $\tau_E$  nearly by factor 2, with a longer  $\tau_p$  in the high power pulse ( $\tau_p \approx 0.4s$  and  $\tau_E \approx 0.16s$  in Pulse No: 79635,  $\tau_p \approx 0.54s$  and  $\tau_E \approx 0.25s$  in Pulse No: 77922).

### **G) PEDESTAL TRANSPORT, MHD STABILITY AND ELMS**

Deuterium particle transport in the pedestal region estimated between ELMS in the interpretative TRANSP-EDGE2D simulations for two JET pulses is much lower than the thermal electron transport ( $\chi_e/D_d \approx 6$  in Pulse No: 77922 and  $\chi_e/D_d \approx 11$  in Pulse No: 79635). Similar estimation of pedestal  $\chi_e/D_d$  ratio for Pulse No: 77922 has been obtained in [5]. In both pulses the deuterium particle diffusion  $D_d$  and  $\chi_i$  are close to their neoclassical values while  $\chi_e$  exceeds the neoclassical transport by more than factor 10. The pedestal height in JET pulses is in a good agreement with the EPED model prediction (within 20% discrepancy with the data) in a broad range of measured pedestal pressures (5-15 kPa) [9] indicating that the pedestal constraint based on the peeling-ballooning (PB) stability combined with an onset of KBM is consistent with measurements. An ideal MHD stability analysis performed with MISHKA code for a low  $\delta$  HS shows that the 1st ELM occurring after the current overshoot is triggered by the PB mode with  $n = 10-14$ . An integrated modelling approach combining the free boundary equilibrium (CREATE-NL), core-pedestal (JETTO) and SOL (EDGE2D) codes has been applied in the simulations of type I ELMS, similar to ELMS observed in HS, investigating the possibility of ELM mitigation [10]. This approach applied to the ELM mitigation by kicks in H-mode plasmas properly predicts the observed density depletion, measured  $T_e$ , thermal energy and H-factor with an increase of ELM frequency [10].

## **2. FROM EXISTING EXPERIMENTS TO ITER: PERFORMANCE AND SCENARIO OPTIMISATION**

The transport models validated on JET and AUG hybrid discharges have been applied in the simulations of ITER HS. It has been shown that the heating systems available at ITER (NBI, ECCD (UL) and LHCD) allow the attainment of a hybrid  $q$  profile at the end of the current ramp up, although the optimum heating scenario depends on the chosen transport model [11]. Based on a sensitivity analysis a real-time adaptive determination of auxiliary power start time has been proposed for the current ramp up phase [11]. Assuming the GLF23 computed transport during the burn phase the auxiliary heating and current drive mix has been optimised (33MW of NBI and 37MW of ECCD peaked at  $\rho = 0.3$ ) resulting in a fusion performance  $P_{fus} \approx 350MW$  and  $Q \geq 5$  [12]. Hybrid scenarios simulated with the experimentally validated models are used for the development of the real time profile control on ITER using an integrated profile control strategy ARTAEMIS [13].

### 3. SUMMARY

Simulations of JET and AUG HS with the GLF23 model show that the observed core confinement improvement can be partly explained by the beneficial  $s/q$  effect on the ITG driven transport while the effect of the ExB shear stabilisation is weaker than in H-mode plasmas. Strong stabilising effect of  $\beta_e$  on the ITG turbulence has been found, but the transport reduction due to this effect can be limited by the onset of the KBM mode at high  $\beta_e$ . The simulations of toroidal rotation in HS with the GLF23 model give an indication of the toroidal momentum pinch ( $P_r < 1$ ). The pinch velocity is higher in the low  $\delta$  discharges and in a high  $\delta$  discharge with steep ion temperature gradient.

Use of H-mode parameters in the GLF23 and BgB models results in the over-peaking of the density profile while the temperatures are sufficiently accurately predicted. Based on the performed analysis a re-tuning of the BgB [7] and GLF23 models which enables a more accurate prediction for the main heating phase of existing HS is suggested. An impact of the models modifications on the predictions for ITER HS is to be assessed. The current ramp up and ramp down [2] phases are satisfactorily predicted with the original L-mode version of the BgB model. During the main heating phase the pedestal height and width are in a good agreement with the EPED model [9]. The modelling of ITER HS based on the results presented here, as well as the scenario optimisation will be discussed in Ref. 14.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out within the framework of the Task Force on Integrated Tokamak Modelling of the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was also part-funded by the RCUK Energy Programme under grant EP/I501045.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. J.Hobirk et al, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 2012 in press
- [2]. J.P.S.Bizarro, et al, paper in preparation
- [3]. J.Citrin et al, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, **54** (2012) 065008
- [4]. G.M.Staebler et al Physics of Plasmas **14** (2007) 055909
- [5]. L.Garzotti et al, this conference
- [6]. J.Candy, R.E.Waltz, Journal of Computational Physics, **186** (2003) 545
- [7]. C.Bourdelle et al., Physics of Plasmas **14**, (2007) 112501
- [8]. R.E.Waltz, et al., Physics of Plasmas **4**, (1997) 2482
- [9]. P.B.Snyder et al Nuclear Fusion **51** (2011) 103016
- [10]. F.Köchler et al, 25th Conf. on Plasma Physics and Technology, Prague, 18-21 June 2012;
- [11]. G.M.D.Hogeweij et al, submitted to Nuclear Fusion
- [12]. J.Citrin, et al, Nuclear Fusion **50** (2010) 115007
- [13]. D.Moreau et al, Nuclear Fusion **51** (2011) 063009
- [14]. X.Litaudon et al, IAEA FEC 2012




Figure 1: Current diffusion in HS: simulated (CRONOS) and reconstructed (MSE/EFIT)  $q$ -profile during the main heating phase in JET Pulse No: 75225 (left); simulated (blue) and reconstructed (red)  $i_3$  during the current ramp down [2] (right).




Figure 2: RMS (solid contour bars) and offset (dashed contour bars) estimated for  $T_e$  (red),  $T_i$  (blue),  $n_D$  (green) and  $\omega$  (yellow) using  $\alpha_E = 1$  and GLF23 computed  $\chi_\phi$  (left), and  $\alpha_E = 0.5$  and  $\chi_\phi = Pr \cdot \chi_i$  with  $Pr = 0.3$  (low triangularity) and  $0.5$  (high triangularity) discharges (right). Pulse No: 75590 showing the ITB-like steep  $T_i$  gradient has been simulated using  $Pr = 0.2$ . The H-mode Pulse No: 74826 has been simulated using  $\alpha_E = 1$ .




Figure 3: Simulations with GLF23 and TGLF models performed with  $\alpha_E = 0.5$  and  $P_r = 0.5$  (solid curves) for JET HS. Dashed blue curves show the GLF23 simulations performed with  $\alpha_E = 1$  for low power Pulse No: 79635.




Figure 4: Linear electromagnetic GYRO simulations for Pulse No: 77922: growth rate (left) and frequency (right) simulated with (dashed) and w/o (solid)  $E \times B$  shear using the plasma parameters measured at  $\rho = 0.5$ . Experimental  $\beta_e$  value is shown by vertical line.