

F.E. Cecil, V. Kiptily, A. Salmi, A. Horton, K. Fullard, A. Murari,  
D. Darrow, K. Hill and JET EFDA contributors

# The Snomalous Currents in the Front Foils of the JET Lost Alpha Diagnostic KA-2

“This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

“Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

The contents of this preprint and all other JET EFDA Preprints and Conference Papers are available to view online free at [www.iop.org/Jet](http://www.iop.org/Jet). This site has full search facilities and e-mail alert options. The diagrams contained within the PDFs on this site are hyperlinked from the year 1996 onwards.

# The Spurious Currents in the Front Foil of the JET Lost Alpha Diagnostic KA-2

F.E. Cecil<sup>1</sup>, V. Kiptily<sup>2</sup>, A. Salmi<sup>2</sup>, A. Horton<sup>2</sup>, K. Fullard<sup>2</sup>, A. Murari<sup>4</sup>,  
D. Darrow<sup>3</sup>, K. Hill<sup>3</sup> and JET EFDA contributors\*

*JET-EFDA, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK*

<sup>1</sup>*Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Euratom/CCFE Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, OXON, UK*

<sup>3</sup>*Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Consorzio RFX-Associazione EURATOM ENEA per la Fusione, I-35127 Padova, Italy*

*\* See annex of F. Romanelli et al, "Overview of JET Results",  
(Proc. 22<sup>nd</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Geneva, Switzerland (2008)).*

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of the  
18th High Temperature Plasma Diagnostics, Wildwood, New Jersey, USA.  
(16th May 2010 - 20th May 2010)



## **ABSTRACT,**

We have examined the observed currents in the front foils of the JET Faraday cup lost alpha particle diagnostic KA-2. In particular we have sought to understand the currents during Ohmic plasmas for which the ion flux at the detectors was initially assumed to be negligible. We have considered two sources of this current: plasma ions (both deuterium and impurity) in the vicinity of the detector (including charge exchange neutrals) and photoemission from scattered UV radiation. Based upon modeling and empirical observation, the latter source appears most likely and, moreover, seems to be applicable to the currents in the front foil during ELMy H-mode plasmas. A very thin gold or nickel foil attached to the present detector aperture is proposed as a solution to this problem and realistic calculations of expected fluxes of lost energetic neutral beam ions during TF ripple experiments are presented as justification of this proposed solution.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The JET lost alpha diagnostic KA-2 consists of sets of thin (2.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) Ni foils mounted in a poloidal array near the plasma boundary in octant 7 of the JET tokamak as seen in Figure 1. The design and operating principles of KA-2 are given elsewhere [1]. Pending future d-t plasmas we have sought to utilize KA-2 as a diagnostic of lost energetic particles from deuterium plasmas during, for example, neutral beam (NBI) and ion cyclotron radio frequency (ICRH) heated plasmas. Deuterons with energies up to 500keV will stop in the front foils of the detectors and hence the study of lost NBI ions is limited to these front foils. We have consistently observed front foil currents exceeding  $1\mu\text{A}$  during the Ohmic phases of the tokamak plasmas where ion temperatures are limited to a few keV as seen in Figure 2. In this report we discuss possible sources of this anomalous current and propose a solution. In addition we report realistic calculations of NBI losses which suggest that the proposed solution would allow the observation of these losses. Since devices comparable to KA-2 have been installed on DIII-D [2] and NSTX [3] and are being considered as a lost alpha particle diagnostic for ITER [4], it may be useful to consider the present proposed solution for these machines as well.

## **2. POSSIBLE SOURCES OF ANOMALOUS CURRENT**

Three sources of current during Ohmic plasmas are considered:

- a) Thermal plasma in the immediate vicinity of the detector
- b) Neutral ions becoming re-ionized near the detector
- c) Photo-emission from the front foils due to scattered UV

### ***a) Thermal plasma in the immediate vicinity of the detector***

This plasma may be assumed to consist of deuterium ions as well as impurity ions ( e.g. Carbon, Oxygen, and Beryllium). The detector is located about 3 cm from the plasma edge. At this location during the Ohmic phase of the shot indicated in Fig.2 the temperature is about 25eV and the total density is about  $10^{12}\text{ cm}^{-3}$ , 10% of which is assumed to be carbon. We have modeled the deuterium

and carbon plasma currents reaching the front foils of the detector assuming purely helical orbits.[5] For the conditions noted above we find about 2nA of carbon ions and less than 1pA of deuterium ions reaching the front foils of the detector. While repeating these calculations with a fully collisional code would probably increase the predicted currents, we would be most surprised if they would reach the  $\mu\text{A}$  levels indicated in Fig.2. In addition we find no correlation between the front foil currents and the CIII light signals from the horizontal and vertical edge cameras on JET. Such a correlation would be expected if the foil currents were due to carbon plasma ions.

***b) Neutral ions becoming re-ionized near the detector***

Alternatively we might consider charge exchange neutral ions in the detector vicinity. This was proposed by Jarvis et al. [6] to explain the observed currents in the front foil of KA<sup>-1</sup> during ICRH and neutral beam heated plasmas on JET from 1995 until 2000. The neutral ion flux during the Ohmic phase of Pulse No: 69707 is about  $10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  [6] and as such would not be capable of generating microamperes of current in the KA<sup>-2</sup> front foils even if all the neutrals were reionized. This possible source of the KA2 front foil currents during Ohmic plasmas is likewise discounted.

***c) Front foil photo-emission from scattered UV radiation***

Finally we consider the possibility of the photoemission from the front foil by reflected UV radiation. (The apertures were designed to face away from any direct line of sight to exclude hard x-rays from entering the detectors; however there is a direct line of sight from the foils in the second through fifth poloidal locations to the bottom of the next higher pylon). The plausibility of this mechanism is suggested by comparing the measured front foil current to the plasma bolometric radiation which is a good measure of the total UV radiation from the plasma as seen in Figure 3 where the relative concordance of the two signals is to be noted.

As seen in this Figure 4, there is an approximately linear relation between the current in KA2-411 and the total radiated power as measured by BOLO/TOPI for a number of JET pulses over nearly three orders of magnitude with a proportionally constant between  $I_{411}$  and bolometric radiation of about 8mA/MW.

The source of the current in this model are the photoelectrons ejected from the surface by the UV photons and this loss of negative charge from the foil is measured as a positive current. This hypothesis may be tested by inserting a small battery in the circuit between the foil and the current amplifier which feeds the diagnostic digitizer. This would provide a positive bias to the foil which would prevent the photoelectrons from leaving the foil. In addition, as the positive voltage is increased, other electrons from the environment would be attracted to the foil causing a negative current to be measured. This is indeed what was observed as seen in Figure 5. In addition, this model is especially supported by the fact that the KA2 front foil current-to-bolometric power ratio with a negative voltage of 1.5 V is about the same as with no voltage.

We may estimate the expected current from UV photoemission by considering the UV scattered

into the front foil of KA2-411 from the back of the pylon for KA2-311 which is located approximately 10 cm from the KA2-411 front foil and is tilted at an angle of  $45^\circ$  to the minor radius of the tokamak. Assuming an average energy of the UV radiation of 10eV, a reflectance of the inconel pylon of 20% [7], we estimate a reflected UV power onto the front aperture of KA2-411 of  $0.025 \text{ W/cm}^2$ . From this power, assuming a quantum efficiency of  $10^{-4}$  [8], would estimate a current in KA2-411 of about  $2\mu\text{A/MW}$  of bolometric power; this is within an. order of magnitude of the observed relation of  $8\mu\text{A/MW}$ .

### 3. PROPOSED SOLUTION

One solution to the problem of the anomalous currents is to install a very thin foil in front of the aperture which would eliminate the scattered UV while allowing relatively energetic ions, such as beam ions with energies  $\sim 100\text{keV}$ , to reach the front foils. For a foil of thickness 0.1mm, deuterons with energy less than 14keV will stop in the Ni; (27keV for a Au foil of the same thickness). The shielding against impurity atoms is even more effective with C ions of energy up to 90 keV stopping in 0.1mm of either Ni or Au foil. On the other hand 100 keV deuterons from NBI will lose less than 20keV in either 0.1mm foil. Similarly the energy loss of the 3.5MeV alpha particles from d-t plasmas will be only about 50 keV in either 0.1 mm foil. [9].In terms of the protection of the front foils of KA-2 from the scattered UV radiation discussed above, the transmission of 10eV UV radiation will be roughly  $10^{-9}$  [10]. Thus a gold or nickel foil of thickness 0.1mm can be placed in front of KA-2 and will eliminate low energy deuterons, impurity ions and UV radiation without compromising the study of fast ions such as NBI deuterons and d-t lost alphas.

Similarly there should be no danger of foil melting. The temperature rise of either a gold or nickel foil exposed to a 20ms pulse of  $0.025\text{W/mm}^2$  (the expected flux if the total bolometric radiative power is 100MW) will not exceed  $300^\circ \text{K}$ , well below the foil melting temperatures assuming an ambient temperature of  $600^\circ \text{K}$ .

While the proposed solution appears to provide a heat resistant mechanism for the elimination of the anomalous currents in the KA-2 front foils, it is important to address the issue of whether we would expect to make useful measurements prior to any d-t operation in the absence of these currents. Using the fully collisional orbit code ASCOT we have calculated the currents into the front foils of KA-2 during high and low triangularity H-mode plasmas with 1% toroidal field ripple. We compare these calculations with measured currents (the quiescent currents between the ELMS) in KA-2. This comparison is shown in Figure 6. where it is noted that the peak predicted losses are well in excess of a nA and should be readily measured if the anomalous currents are eliminated with the proposed thin foil.

### CONCLUSION

We propose the installation of very thin (0.1mm) Ni foils in front of the existing apertures to the JET lost alpha particle diagnostic KA-2 or comparable Faraday cup based lost ion diagnostics at

other machines. These foils would eliminate scattered UV radiation as well as deuteron and impurity plasma currents from the KA-2 front foils and allow the study, for example, of lost neutral beam ions during TF ripple experiments on JET. The foil temperatures would not approach melting even at extreme UV fluxes.

Finally we would like to emphasize that the present background signal in the front foils does not compromise the reliability of KA-2 as a diagnostic of lost alpha particles from d-t plasmas since these alpha particles will generally stop in the second and third foils. One of the second foils, KA2-132 for example, maintains a quiescent background of with  $I_{\text{rms}} < 1\text{nA}$  while the front foil KA2-131 is recording several  $\mu\text{A}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge a number of very helpful conversations with Guy Matthews, Gunaddy Sergienko and Kerry Lawson in the consideration of this problem. In addition this work is supported in part by a grant from the US DOE.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. D.S. Darrow, F.E. Cecil, V. Kiptily, R. Ellis, L. Pedrick, S. Baumel and A. Werner, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75**, 3566 (2004).
- [2]. W.P. West, C. Lasnier, J.G. Watkins, J.S. deGrassie, W.W. Heidbrink, K.H. Burrell and F.E. Cecil. *J. Nucl. Materials*, **420**, 337 (2005). F.E. Cecil, A. Aakhus-Witt, J. Hawbaker, J. Sayers, A. Bozek, W. Heidbrink, D. Darrow, T. Debay, E. Marmar, *Reviews of Scientific Instruments*. **74**. 1747
- [3]. D.S. Darrow, R. Bell, D.W. Johnson, H. Kugel, J.R. Wilson, F.E. Cecil, R. Maingi, A. Krasilnikov and A. Alekseyev. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **72**, 784 (2001). W. Heidbrink, M. Miah, D. Darrow, B. LeBlanc, S. S. Medley, A. L. Roquemore and F. E. Cecil. *Nuclear Fusion* **43**, 883 (2003).
- [4]. M. Sasao et al. *Plasma Phys. Cont.. Fusion* **46**, S107 (2004).
- [5]. Details of this calculation may be found in our JET Internal report (2008, unpublished)..
- [6]. O.N. Jarvis, P. v. Belle, M. Hone, G. Sadler, G. Whitfield, F.E. Cecil, D.S. Darrow and B. Esposito, *Fusion Technology* **39**, 84 (2001).
- [7]. V. Afanasyev, private communication (2008)
- [8]. H.J. Hagemann, W. Gudat and C. Kunz. *Deutsches Elektronen-synchrotron Report DESY – SR-7417* (1974)
- [9]. These range and stopping power calculations were carried out with SRIM2006
- [10]. R.L. Farquart, B. Ray and J.D. Swift. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys* **13**, 2067 (1980)




Figure 1: JET interior showing installation of KA-2 in May 2005




Figure 2: Comparison of current in front foil with neutron yield and NBI power during JET Pulse No: 69608. The large foil current during the Ohmic phase of the plasma (time < 16 sec) is to be noted.




Figure 3: Comparison of the front foil current in KA2-411 (top) and the total bolometric radiated power for JET Pulse No: 69608




Figure 4: A comparison of the current in KA2-411 and the total bolometric power BOLO/TOPI for plasmas from Ohmic to ELMy H-mode




Figure 5: The ratio of KA2-411 current to the total bolometric power as a function of the voltage of a battery placed in the line from the foil to the amplifier to suppress electrons from being ejected from the foil surface by scattered UV radiation.




Figure 6: Comparison of calculated and measured quiescent currents in the 5 front foils of KA2 during during the H-mode phase of the JET Pulse No's: 69869 and 69651. These plasmas were, respectively high and low triangularity pluses with a TF ripple of 1%.