

EFDA-JET-CP(08)02/09

N. Bekris, J.P. Coad, A. Widdowson, A. Erbe, J. Ehrmann, B. Kloppe and JET EFDA contributors

Assessment of the Photon-Cleaning Detritiation Method Tested at JET

"This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

"Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK."

Assessment of the Photon-Cleaning Detritiation Method Tested at JET

N. Bekris¹, J.P. Coad², A. Widdowson², A. Erbe³, J. Ehrmann³, B. Kloppe¹ and JET EFDA contributors*

JET-EFDA, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, UK

¹Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, ITP-TLK, Bau 451 Postfach 3640, 76021, Germany ²EURATOM-UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, OX14 3DB, Abingdon, OXON, UK ³Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, IMF II, Fusion-Materials Laboratory, Postfach 3640, 76021,Germany. * See annex of M.L. Watkins et al, "Overview of JET Results", (Proc. 21st IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Chengdu, China (2006)).

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of the 18th Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion Devices, Toledo, Spain (26th May 2008 - 30th May 2008)

ABSTRACT

Flashlamp photonic cleaning has been tested in-situ and at the Beryllium Handling Facility (BeHF) at JET. Two adjacent number 4 divertor tiles have been exposed to numerous pulses up to the nominal energy of 500J.

Starting the photon-cleaning process with tile G4A and using energies up to 350J did not appeared to be efficient for detribution. Consequently, the untreated tile G4B has been treated at the maximum energy of 500J.

Combustion measurements confirmed that the photon-cleaning was partly efficient as about 74% of the initial tritium concentration has been released. The average tritium concentration on the surface of the tile after treatment was $2.45 \ 10^8 \ Bq/cm^3$ which is only 4 times lower than the initial activity. However, it is remarkable to notice that the bulk activity of the tiles remains constant indicating that during the detritiation treatment there is no tritium diffusing into the bulk of the tile.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the ITER fusion machine, carbon-based target materials are foreseen to be used in the divertor area. However, using carbon tiles there is likely to be a major problem in terms of tritium retention in re-deposited carbon films. It is estimated that at least 2g tritium per pulse (400 s) will be deposited to the first wall [1, 2] and therefore, detritiation of Plasma Facing Components (PFC's) of such tritium installations will play an increasingly important role in the future development of fusion. In this respect, it is important to develop a technology for the in-situ detritiation of the carbon tiles to meet the safety criteria for ITER which allow a total tritium amount into the vacuum vessel of 350 g. Fast and efficient tritium removal is therefore needed, for future DT machines having carbon tiles as plasma facing components. Among the various methods tested so far, photon cleaning is a potential in-situ detritiation method. In order to assess the efficiency of the tritium-removal process, 2 adjacent divertor tiles (one treated and one untreated) were shipped to the Tritium Laboratory Karlsruhe (TLK), to evaluate and finally compare their total tritium content and their tritium depth profile, using calorimetry and full combustion respectively. Similar tiles were also analysed for their relative deuterium contents by Ion Beam Analysis (IBA) methods [3].

Flash-lamps are capable of delivering several hundred Joules of UV, visible and IR radiation in periods of less than 100 μ s. The flash-lamp used at JET was based on a rapid charging capacitor bank to supply the flash lamp discharge current at a maximum of 500J with a pulse width of 140 μ s and a repetition rate of 5Hz. At lower energies (100J) the maximum frequency can be increased up to 25Hz, subject to a peak continuous power output of 2.5kW. However, for tile G4A the maximum energy was limited to 350 J and each treated position had about 12 mm wide and 150 mm discharge length (treated area ~18 cm²) [4, 5].

After the treatment both tiles have been sent to the Tritium Laboratory Karlsruhe (TLK) for tritium analysis using coring followed by full combustion. Before any coring the total activity of both tiles has been measured using a large volume calorimeter available at the TLK.

Calorimetric measurements for the untreated divertor tile 3BWG4B gave an average total tritium activity of about 1.619 ± 0.080 Ci or 59.9GBq, while the calorimetric measurement for the 3BW4GA treated tile estimated a total tritium content averaging 1.089 ± 0.041 Ci or, 40.3GBq. Assuming that both tiles had initially a similar activity, these measurements indicate that after the photon cleaning approximately 33% of the tritium activity has been released when treating tile G4A with energies up to 350J. However, it must also be mentioned that tile G4A was only partially treated by the flashlamp.

2.2. FULL COMBUSTION MEASUREMENTS

2.2.1 G4A treated tile

After the photon-cleaning treatment, 8 cylindrical cores, having a diameter of 7.8mm, have been removed from various positions from the tile's surface (Fig. 1). In order to evaluate the surface and bulk tritium activity at the various locations of the tile, each cylinder was sectioned into two discs. The plasma facing disc having a thickness of 1mm and the rest cylinder. Both specimens have been ultimately combusted and their tritium content determined by scintillation analysis. The combustion results obtained for tile G4A are illustrated in Figure 2.

As it is illustrated in Fig. 2 the higher tritium release has been observed for cylinders 1 to 3. Cylinders 1 and 2 are corresponding to positions which have been photon-cleaned, whereas cylinder 3, 6, 7 and 8 are belonging to untreated zones of the tile. Among them only cylinder 3 is located in the shadowed area of the tile and therefore its tritium concentration on the very surface is the highest measured for this tile. On the other hand, despite the fact that cylinder 6, 7 and 8 are belonging to non treated area of the tile, their tritium concentration is much lower compared to the treated part of the tile (cylinder 1, 2). This is related to the fact that cylinders 6 to 8 are located in an erosion area (private area for cyl-8) where there is only little or, no co-deposition at all.

If we compare the tritium surface activities for the positions 1 to 3, we realise that even after the tile was "flashed" with 80 – 100 pulses at 250 J (cylinders 1 and 2) the average tritium activity remaining on the surface is $\sim 1.39 \pm 0.7 \ 10^9 \ Bq/cm^3$ which is very similar to the 1.47 $10^9 \ Bq/cm^3$ measured for the cylinder 3 which was untreated. Therefore, we can draw the conclusion that the photon-cleaning process using pulses at the maximum energy of 250J is not efficient.

As these results showed that using the flash-lamp at the energies ranging from 250 - 350J, the photon-cleaning process is only able to ablate part of the co-deposited layer (~30%), even though the tile was submitted to many pulses, sometimes up to 100, it was decided to repeat the treatment for the other tile but using the flash-lamp at its maximum available power. Therefore, tile G4B has also photon cleaned using higher energies up to 500J per pulse. However, in order to assess the detritiation process for this single tile it was also agreed to irradiate only part of the tile (~2/3), across the poloidal direction, while the rest of the tile (1/3) will remain untreated and will serve for comparison.

2.2.2. G4B partially treated tile

For this series of experiments the full energy of the flash-lamp has been used i.e. 500 J. The nominal energy density of the lamp was 0.12MJ/m² however, due to losses in the system 500 J/pulse results in a peak energy density of 0.06MJ/m² with a peak power density of 375MW/m².

Three zones on Tile 4 were treated; zone 1 was at the edge of the tile, zone 2 was in the centre of the shadowed area, whereas zone 3 was at the beginning of the sloping part of the tile (Fig. 3). A mask was placed at the right end of the tile to prevent removal of tritium and thus provide a reference between treated and untreated regions.

As part of the tile is masked the length of treated region has been reduced to approximately 125 mm from 150mm possible giving a treated area of approximately 15cm². Each zone was subject to a series of pulses from the flash-lamp. The total number of pulses for zones 1 and 2 and 3 were 2460, 2785 and 1838 respectively. The detailed description of the treated zones and the corresponding number of pulses is illustrated in Table 1. The photon-cleaning treatment for this tile was investigated and reported in details by Widdowson et al. [5].

After the photon-cleaning treatment the tile was sent to Finland where 8 cylindrical cores (cylinders 1-8) have been removed, after what it was send to the TLK, Germany, where another 8 cylindrical cores (cylinders 9-16) have been removed (Fig.4).

The figure 8 illustrates the position of the various cores. Cores 1–8 have been used for SIMS and IBA analysis while cores 9-16 have been used for tritium analysis using full combustion and scintillation.

As it was mentioned above, the tile has been partially photon-cleaned ($\sim 2/3$ treated starting from left side of the figure 7 or 8 and $\sim 1/3$ untreated). That means that cylinders 11, 14 and 15 are belonging to the masked untreated area of the tile and therefore, they are expected to exhibit the highest tritium concentration. On the other hand cylinder 9, 10, 12, 13 and 16 belong to the zones of the tile which have been photon–cleaned.

The combustion results are illustrated in Fig. 5. From that figure we can clearly see that the photon-cleaning was inefficient even though the maximum energy of 500J has been used. It is worth mentioning, that the total number of pulses for zones 1, 2 and 3 were 2460, 2785 and 1838 respectively. The treated surface of each zone had a surface of approximately 15 cm² (1.2cm x 12.5cm). Combustion measurements show that for the masked zone (cyl-11, 14 and 15), the average tritium concentration on the surface of the tile was $9.34 \pm 2.78 \ 10^8 \text{ Bq/cm}^3$, while for the photon-cleaned part of the tile, the surface tritium concentration is about 4 times lower, giving an average value of $2.45 \pm 0.68 \ 10^8 \text{ Bq/cm}^3$. Of course this represents ~26% of the initial tritium concentration and this means that 74% of the surface tritium has been released during the treatment.

A remarkable point concern the tritium activities measured for the bulk of the tile. Combustion shows that, no matter the type of samples, photon-cleaned or not the tritium concentration into the bulk remains constant averaging the 2.59 ± 0.62 105Bq/cm3, which is three orders of magnitude lower than the tritium activity measured for the surface samples.

This is consistent with previous observations [6, 7, 8, 9] which have shown that during the treatment there is no tritium diffusing inside the tile. Indeed, in such a case the tritium bulk concentration for the treated samples would have been higher than the untreated samples, but this has not been observed.

In Fig. 6 the combustion results for the plasma exposed samples for the both tiles 4, G4A and G4B are compared. For tile G4A, the cylinders 1–3 exhibit a tritium concentration which is very much similar to what has been measured for tile G4B for the non treated samples and this despite that these cylinders have been submitted to several tens of photon-cleaning pulses with an energy ranging between 250J-350J. It is very clear that such treatment was totally inefficient. However, cylinder 4 which also received a similar treatment as cylinders 1–3, exhibit a much lower tritium concentration, lower than average. This is more related to its position (beginning of the sloping part of the tile) which is mostly an erosion area while positions 1–3 are deposition areas. The same is also true for cylinder 5–8 which also exhibit much lower tritium activities event though some of them have not been treated.

Concerning tile G4B it is obvious that the photon cleaning process had some limited effect. Indeed, the cylinders 9, 10, 12, 13 and 16 belonging to the treated area of the tile (see also Fig. 7 and 8) are exhibiting a very similar tritium concentration averaging 2.45 $10^8 \pm 0.68 \ 10^8 \ Bq/cm^3$, while cylinders 11, 14 and 15 belonging to the untreated masked area of the tile, are exhibiting an average tritium concentration of 9.34 $10^8 \pm 2.78 \ 10^8 \ Bq/cm^3$.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Flashlamp photonic cleaning has been tested in-situ and at the Beryllium Handling Facility (BeHF) at JET. Two adjacent number 4 divertor tiles (G4A and G4B) from the MKII-GB configuration, have been exposed to numerous pulses up to the nominal energy of 500J.

Starting with tile G4A the photon-cleaning process using energies ranging between 250 and 350 J did not appeared to be efficient. Indeed the average tritium activity remaining on the surface of the tile after treatment, was $\sim 1.39 \ 10^9 \ Bq/cm^3$ which is very similar to the 1.47 $10^9 \ Bq/cm^3$ measured for the untreated samples. It was therefore decided to repeat the photon-cleaning treatment using the maximum available energy (500J). Consequently, the untreated tile G4B has been sent back to JET where it was partially submitted to the photon-cleaning discharges. To allow a comparison with a non treated tile about 1/3 of the tile was left untreated putting a mask on the plasma exposed surface of the tile.

By visual inspection, it appears that, using higher energy densities of 0.06 MJ/m^2 with a peak power density of 375MW/m^2 , only "part" of the deposited film (~100µm thick), has been removed.

Combustion measurements showed that the second photon-cleaning was still inefficient as the "part" of the tritium released is about 74% of the initial tritium concentration. This indicates that 26% of the surface tritium remains on the surface of the tile. Indeed, the average tritium concentration

on the surface of the tile after treatment, was 2.45 10^8 Bq/cm³ which is only 4 times lower than the initial activity (9.34 10^8 Bq/cm³). However, it is remarkable to notice that the bulk activity of the tiles did not change. Combustion measurements show that, no matter the type of samples, photoncleaned or not, the tritium concentration into the bulk of the tile remains remarkably constant averaging the 2.59 ± 0.62 10^5 Bq/cm³, which is three orders of magnitude lower than the tritium activity measured for the surface samples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work, carried out under the European Fusion Development Agreement, supported by the European Communities and the Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, has been carried out within the Contract of Association between EURATOM and FZK. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission, or FZK.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Federici, H. Wuerz, G. Janeschitz, R. Tivey. Erosion of plasma-facing components in ITER. Fusion Engineering and Design 61-62 (2002) 81-94.
- G. Federici, P. Andrew, P. Barabaschi, J. Brooks, R. Doerner, A. Geier, A. Herrmann,
 G. Janeschitz, K. Krieger, A. Kukushkin, A. Loarte, R. Neu, G. Saibene, M. Shimada, G.
 Strohmayer, M. Sugihara. Key ITER plasma edge and plasma-material interaction issues.
 J. Nucl. Mater. 313–316 (2003) 11–22
- J.P. Coad, M. Rubel, N. Bekris, D. Hole, J. Likonen, E. Vainonen-Ahlgren and EFDA-JET Contributors. Distribution of hydrogen isotopes, carbon and beryllium on in-vessel surfaces in the various JET divertors. Fusion Science and Technology 48, (2005), 551 and J.P. Coad. EFDA-JET report JW4-FT-3.18. del.1-3.
- K.J.Gibson, G.F.Counsell, C.Curran, M.J.Forrest, M.J.Kaya, K.G. Watkins. The removal of co-deposited hydrocarbon films from plasma facing components using high-power pulsed flash lamp irradiation. J. Nucl. Mater. 337–339 (2005) 565–569.
- A. Widdowson, J.-P. Coad, N. Bekris, G. Counsell, M. J. Forrest, K.J. Gibson, D. Hole, J. Likonen, W. Parsons, T. Renvall, M. Rubel. Efficacy of photon cleaning of JET divertor tiles. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365, (2007), 341-345.
- N. Bekris, C.H. Skinner, U. Berndt, C.A. Gentile, M. Glugla, A. Erbe, W. Pilz. Assessment of the heating technique as a possible ex situ detritiation method for carbon wall materials from fusion machines. J. Nucl. Mater. 329–333 (2004) 814–819.
- N. Bekris, C.H. Skinner, U. Berndt, C.A. Gentile, M. Glugla, B. Schweigel. Tritium depth profiles in 2D and 4D CFC tiles from JET and TFTR. J. Nucl. Mater. 313–316 (2003) 504–509.
- 8. N. Bekris, U. Berndt, L. Doerr, A. Erbe, B. Kloppe, K. Sugiyama. Tritium Removal from JET Tiles. EFDA-JET Report JW2-FT-2.9, Oct-2005.
- N. Bekris, J.P. Coad, K. Sugiyama, C. Caldwell-Nichols, T. Tanabe, B. Kloppe, R. Rolli. Ex-situ Tritium removal from JET tiles using RF inductive heating. Proceedings ISFNT-8, September 30-October 5, 2007, Heidelberg, FRG.

Zone of treatment	Description of treatment	Combustion cylinder	Tritium Activity (Bq/cm ²)	
1	Total 1230 pulses @ 500 J/pulse: no stripping	9	2.19E+07	
1	Total 1230 pulses @ 500 J/pulse: stripped but not re-treated after stripping	10	2.38E+07	
1	Masked/untreated	11	6.96E+07	
2	Total 2785 pulses @ 500 J/pulse: no stripping	12	3.18E+07	
2	Total 2785 pulses @ 500 J/pulse: 2500 before stripping,further 285 after stripping	13	3.01E+07	
2	Masked/untreated	14	8.66E+07	
	Masked/untreated	15	1.24E+08	7-7C
3	Masked/untreated	16	1.48E+07	8.11
3	Masked/untreated	_		ğ

Table 1: Photon-cleaning description of the individual position for tile G4B. The corresponding combustion cylinders are also indicated (see also Fig. 3).



Figure 1: Tile G4A after coring.



Figure 2: Combustion results for samples retrieved from the G4A treated tile



Figure 3: Picture of the G4B after photon cleaning. The positions of treatment and masked area are indicated (see also Table 1).



Figure 4: Picture of the cored G4B after photon cleaning.



1010 Photon-cleaned Not treated 250-350J (masked zone) 15 11 Tritium concentration (Bq/cm³) 10 Tile G4B 13 12 9 4 16 10 10⁸ Tile G4A Photon-cleaned 107 5 口8 500J 6 20 JG08.117-6c Erosión zone 10% 5 10 0 15 Cylinder number

Figure 5: Comparison of tritium depth profiles for tile G4B before and after photon cleaning.

Figure 6: Comparison of tritium concentration measured for the plasma exposed side of tile G4A and G4B (MKII-GB). The position of each cylinder is illustrated in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4.