

---

EFDA–JET–CP(04)01-21

M.F.F. Nave, B. Alper, D. Borba, C. Boswell, C. Challis, M. Brix, R. Galvão,  
S. Gerasimov, S. Hacquin, N. Hawkes, E. Joffrin, J. Mailloux, E. de la Luna,  
S. Sharapov, P. Smeulders and EFDA-JET contributors

# On the Use of MHD Mode Analysis as a Technique for Determination of Q-Profiles in JET Plasmas



# On the Use of MHD Mode Analysis as a Technique for Determination of Q-Profiles in JET Plasmas

M.F.F. Nave<sup>1</sup>, B. Alper<sup>2</sup>, D. Borba<sup>1</sup>, C. Boswell<sup>3</sup>, C. Challis<sup>2</sup>, M. Brix<sup>4</sup>, R. Galvão<sup>1</sup>,  
S. Gerasimov<sup>2</sup>, S. Hacquin<sup>1</sup>, N. Hawkes<sup>2</sup>, E. Joffrin<sup>5</sup>, J. Mailloux<sup>2</sup>, E. de la Luna<sup>6</sup>,  
S. Sharapov<sup>2</sup>, P. Smeulders<sup>7</sup> and EFDA-JET contributors\*

<sup>1</sup>*Centro de Fusão Nuclear, Associação Euratom-IST, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

<sup>2</sup>*EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK*

<sup>3</sup>*Plasma Science and Fusion Center, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Institut für Plasmaphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, EURATOM Ass., TEC, 55428 Jülich, Germany.*

<sup>5</sup>*Association EURATOM-CEA sur la Fusion Contrôlée Cadarache, Saint Paul-lez-Durance, France.*

\* See annex of J. Pamela et al, "Overview of Recent JET Results and Future Perspectives",  
*Fusion Energy 2002 (Proc. 19<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Lyon (2002)).*

Preprint of Paper to be submitted for publication in Proceedings of the  
15th HTPD Conference,  
(San Diego, California, USA 18-22 April 2004)

“This document is intended for publication in the open literature. It is made available on the understanding that it may not be further circulated and extracts or references may not be published prior to publication of the original when applicable, or without the consent of the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

“Enquiries about Copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Publications Officer, EFDA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK.”

## **ABSTRACT**

JET plasmas show fluctuations from a large variety of MHD instabilities. A combination of spectral analysis, mode number analysis and determination of mode radial position has the potential as a diagnostic for the plasma q-profile. Mode frequencies and mode numbers are obtained from spectral and phase analysis from fast magnetic pick-up signals. Cross-correlation of magnetic and multi-array signals from ECE, SXR and reflectometer systems provide the mode localisation. The present status of the diagnostic systems used for fluctuation analysis is summarised, with particular emphasis on the fast magnetic pick-up system. A new data collection system allows the magnetic signals to be recorded at 2MHz for up to 32s in each pulse. An example of q-profile validation from the observation of Alfvén cascades and a snake in an optimised shear discharge is given.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

MHD mode identification backed by MHD modelling has been used from the early days of JET operation as a diagnostic for the plasma q-profile. For instance, observation of external kink modes and double tearing modes helped to understand current penetration during the plasma start up and to design a safe (disruption-free) current ramp up [1,2]. Another early example is the calibration of the central q value from the observation of sawteeth and the input of constraints (as the sawtooth inversion radius or the radial position of low mode number tearing modes) in the codes IDENTC and IDENTD [3]. The localisation of the (1,1) snake observed after D2 pellet injection has provided the evolution of the q=1 surface in between sawtooth crashes in plasmas with monotonic q-profiles [4]. More recently, MHD modes have been extensively used to calibrate q-profiles obtained with the code EFIT taking into account MSE measurements [5]. Observation of Alfvén cascades has become a standard tool for the determination of the evolution of the minimum q in advanced scenarios with optimised shear [6-10].

MHD fluctuations on JET are studied with a set of fast Mirnov coils placed at different poloidal and toroidal positions. Helical structures with mode numbers  $n \leq 10$ ,  $m \leq 20$  can be determined. The radial location of the fluctuations is obtained by the measurement of Electron Cyclotron Emission (ECE) and plasma radiation in the Soft X-Ray (SXR) wavelength. Finally, MHD modes can be identified from the signal fluctuations of a microwave reflectometry/interferometry diagnostic. Cross-correlation of observations in different diagnostics is possible by synchronous data collection of all the above diagnostic signals using CATS (Central Acquisition and Trigger System [11]). The ECE, SXR and O-mode reflectometer diagnostic measurements allow the identification of low amplitude, core MHD modes not measured by the external magnetic pick-up coils.

## **2. FAST MAGNETIC PICK-UP COILS**

A set of fast magnetic pick-up coils was designed for high frequency MHD activity study (up to 500 kHz). They were wound from titanium wire in a single layer onto a ceramic former with a return wire through the centre of the coil. A typical coil diameter of 35mm was used with about 70

turns of 0.5mm diameter wire with 1.5mm pitch. The coil length is about 100mm. The coils are in the torus vacuum, shielded by individual stainless steel cases with a longitudinal slot. The plasma facing surface of the stainless steel case is protected by a carbon tile case. The butt-ends of the coils were not protected either by stainless steel or carbon case.

There are 7 "High Resolution Array" coils outboard of the plasma distributed toroidally and poloidally at Octant 3, which are basically used for high toroidal (and poloidal) mode mode analysis. Six "Toroidal Array" coils and 2 "Poloidal Limiter Coils" (at octants 4 & 8) are distributed toroidally around the torus outboard of the plasma, which are used for low MHD toroidal mode analysis. Three "Inner Coils" are inboard of the plasma and five "Poloidal Limiter Coils" outboard of the plasma. Together with the "High Resolution Array" coils, these are used for poloidal mode number determination. (For planned upgrades see [12].)

A new data collection system (named KC1M) has been commissioned in 2004 that allows the magnetic signals to be recorded at 2MHz for up to 32s in each pulse. The system is based on 4-channel ADC cards in PCI format, installed in a PC running LINUX. Sampling is started from an external trigger and synchronised to an external clock, both derived from the JET central timing system. Each ADC channel converts to 14-bit resolution and is electronically isolated from its neighbours. To date, 23MHD coils have been digitised, together with 8 selectable reflectometer channels. The system will be enlarged to 32 magnetic MHD signals and 32 other selectable fast diagnostic signals in 2005.

### **3. DIAGNOSTICS USED FOR MHD MODE LOCALISATION**

#### ***3.1 SOFT-X RAY CAMERAS***

The complete multichannel SXR system [13] is based on 12 in-vessel 35-element photo-diode arrays together with two radiation shielded external cameras each with 17 views. Due to radiation damage to in-vessel detectors, primarily during D-T operation, current MHD analysis relies on one vertically-mounted camera of 35 views and one horizontally mounted-camera of 17 views (figure 2). The system is sensitive to SXRs in the energy range 2- 10keV. As well as use in snake, fishbone and sawtooth studies, cross-correlation analyses with magnetic signals are used to supplement mode localisation information and the phase variation across the channels can distinguish even and odd poloidal mode numbers.

#### ***3.2 MICROWAVE O-MODE REFLECTOMETER/INTERFEROMETER***

A multichannel O-mode microwave reflectometer system working at fixed frequency is used to measure the density fluctuations in JET [14]. Information on mode localisation is provided from the reflectometry channels whose cutoff frequency is within the plasma (reflectometry mode). In this mode probing waves are reflected at different plasma densities providing a spatial localisation of the density fluctuations. When the plasma density everywhere is low enough such that there is no cutoff frequency, the system acts as an interferometer with the probing waves reflecting off the

inner wall. In this mode (interferometry mode) the reflectometer gives a line-averaged measurement of the density fluctuations.

### 3.3 ELECTRON CYCLOTRON EMISSION

An ECE heterodyne radiometer with an array of 48 (recently upgrade to 96) channels is used for electron temperature measurements [15]. It has a spacial resolution of 1cm. In most plasmas, the ECE diagnostic line of sight for lies below the plasma midplane (figure 3). The angular separation between the positions of two channels with respect to the magnetic axis can be used to determine the poloidal mode number. This is useful in the case of low amplitude oscillations not seen with the magnetic pick-up coils. Also, it can give a local determination of the poloidal mode number of core oscillations such as sawtooth precursors, whereas the magnetic data gives a larger m due to toroidal coupling.

## 4. EXAMPLE OF MHD ANALYSIS AND Q PROFILE VALIDATION

As an example of the potential use of mode analysis for q-profile calibration we consider an optimised shear discharge from a recent trace Tritium JET campaign. A spectrogram (Fig.4a) from a microwave signal in interferometry mode shows Alfvén Eigenmode (AE) Cascades with frequencies ranging from 100-250kHz, with toroidal mode numbers  $n \geq 5$ . At lower frequencies (5-50kHz) snakes are observed. We consider here the snake observed at  $t=5.4s$ . The snake first harmonic has mode numbers  $m=3, n=1$ . ECE data shows that it is localised at 3.5m (figure 5).

Measurements of Alfvén instabilities are used to solve the inverse problem of identifying the plasma parameters. In the case of reversed shear plasmas the Alfvén Cascades are used to determine the minimum of the safety factor ( $q_{min}$ ) as shown in figure 4. The frequency pattern of the AE cascades reflects the time evolution of the Alfvén continuum at the location of  $q_{min}$  which is linked to the frequency of the eigenmode located at that surface [6-9].

The AE Cascade frequency, being related to the Alfvén continuum frequency at  $q=q_{min}$ , is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \omega_{AC} (t) \approx \frac{d}{dt} \frac{V_A(r_{min})}{R_0} \left| n - \frac{m}{q_{min}(r, t)} \right| = m \frac{V_A}{R_0} \frac{d}{dt} q_{min}^{-1} (r, t). \quad (1)$$

where  $w$  is the observed frequency,  $m$  the poloidal mode number,  $n$  the toroidal mode number,  $R_0$  major radius,  $V_A$  the Alfvén velocity,  $w_{rot}$  is the rotation frequency of the plasma and  $Dw$  is a frequency offset [7]. The presence of eigenmodes with different  $n$  and  $m$  yields the periodic pattern of sweeping frequencies which characterizes the AE cascades. Measurements of the Alfvén instabilities performed using the reflectometer diagnostic are able to detect eigenmodes with higher toroidal mode numbers [16], allowing more accurate determination of the ( $q_{min}$ ) evolution as shown in figure 4b.

At the time the snake is observed, the  $q$  profile is expected to have a non-integer minimum  $q$ ,  $q_{min} \sim 2.7-2.8$  (from AE analysis) and a  $q=3$  value at 3.5m. Figure 6 shows EFIT reconstructions of

the q-profile with different fitting constraints at the time of the snake. Only the MSE data [5] detects the current hole, however, if the detailed features of the MSE measurements are too closely fitted by the equilibrium code then large overshoots in the q-profile can occur. The q profile for the closely fitted MSE data (curve 3) results in a significantly smaller  $q_{\min}$  than is indicated by the MHD events. The MHD analysis is an important guide in selecting the optimum curvature of the fitted profiles.

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a variety of diagnostic systems has been used to analyze MHD modes in JET plasmas. This information has been used to improve the accuracy of the reconstructed q-profile. In particular, Alfvén cascades have been used to determine  $q_{\min}$  in optimized shear discharges, while a variety of low frequency modes (such as the snake in the example given here) allow the determination of the radial position of q integer values in monotonic and reversed shear q-profiles.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed under the European Fusion Development Agreement. It received financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal. The content of the publication is the sole responsibility of the authors and it does not necessarily represent the views of the Commission of the European Union or FCT or their services.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. P.R. Thomas et al., Proc. of Workshop on Tokamak Start-up, Erice, 14-20 July 1985
- [2]. D.J.Campbell, E.Lazzaro, M.F.F.Nave et al., Nuclear Fusion **28**, 981 (1988)
- [3]. J. Blum, E. Lazzaro et al Nucl. Fus. **30**,1475 (1990)
- [4]. R.D. Gill et al., NF 32 (1992) 723
- [5]. B. C. Stratton, D. Long, R. Palladino, N.C. Hawkes Rev. Sci. Instr. 70 (1999) 898
- [6]. Berk H. et al 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** 18,
- [7]. S.E. Sharapov et al Phys. Letters A 289 (2001) 127
- [8]. D. Borba et al. Nuclear Fusion **42** (2002) 1029
- [9]. A. Fasoli et al, Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion **44** (2002) B159
- [10]. J. Mailloux et al., Phys. Plasmas **9** (2002) 2156
- [11]. K. Blackler and A.W. Edwards, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **41**, (1994)111
- [12]. V. Coccoresse et al. Rev. Sci. Instrum. (2004) This conference
- [13]. B. Alper et al Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68**, (1997), 778
- [14]. A. Sips and G. Kramer, Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion **35** (1993) 743
- [15]. E. de la Luna et al. Rev. Sci. Instrum. (2004) this conference
- [16]. S.E.Sharapov et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. (2004)






Figure 1(a): Fast magnetic coil layout, (b): Fast magnetic coil.




Figure 2: JET poloidal cross-section with SXR vertical (showing 18 of 35 lines of sight) and horizontal (17 lines of sight) camera views.




Figure 3: JET poloidal cross-section with lines of sight of ECE radiometer and Microwave Interferometer/reflectometer.




Figure 4(a): Spectrogram from microwave interferometer signal showing AE modes and snake-like modes; (b) Estimated  $q_{min}$ , from AE cascades with toroidal mode numbers  $n=5-15$ , assuming a constant plasma rotational frequency of 10kHz.




Figure 5(a): Magnetic pick-up signal showing snake burst; (b) ECE coherence with magnetic signal (c) Magnetic signal power spectrum. The snake is localized at 3. m that is at the base of the internal transport barrier.




Figure 6:  $q$  profile from EFIT with different boundary conditions: (1) magnetics only, (2) and (3) with magnetics and MSE data, fitting MSE data approximately (2) or very closely (3). Indicated in the figure is the estimated  $q_{min}$  from AE cascade analysis and the radius of  $q=3$  obtained from the snake